Minutes – Standing Policy Committee on Finance – September 11, 2014

REPORTS

Minute No. 125  Plessis Road Twinning and Grade Separation at CN Rail – Financial Status Report No. 7 for the Period Ending June 30, 2014

STANDING COMMITTEE DECISION:

The Standing Policy Committee on Finance concurred in the recommendation of the Winnipeg Public Service and received the report as information.
DECISION MAKING HISTORY:

Moved by Councillor Nordman,
    That the recommendation of the Winnipeg Public Service be concurred in.

Carried

David Sanders submitted a communication with respect to the matter.
Title: PLESSIS ROAD TWINNING AND GRADE SEPARATION AT CN RAIL – FINANCIAL STATUS REPORT NO. 7 FOR THE PERIOD ENDING JUNE 30, 2014

Critical Path: STANDING POLICY COMMITTEE ON FINANCE

AUTHORIZATION

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author</th>
<th>Department Head</th>
<th>CFO</th>
<th>CAO</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

RECOMMENDATIONS

That this financial status of the Plessis Road Twinning and Grade Separation at CN Rail as contained in this report be received as information.

REASON FOR THE REPORT

Administrative Standard FM-004 requires quarterly reporting to the Standing Policy Committee on Finance.

IMPLICATIONS OF THE RECOMMENDATIONS

The project costs are estimated to exceed the adopted budget of $77 million by $4.3 million, however, it is anticipated that this excess will be offset by recoveries from the rail authority. These recoveries will be determined upon completion of the project when all costs are known. In the interim it is expected that the City will need to finance an additional $4.4 million.

The project continues to experience delays related to rail and oil pipeline works. The City will continue to work with our Engineering Services Providers and Contractors to identify schedule efficiencies to meet the dates identified in the Government of Canada agreement.

HISTORY

On November 16, 2011, Council adopted a first charge of $2 million in 2011 or prior to the adoption of the 2012 Capital Budget to allow for timely award of a Consulting Engineering Assignment to undertake the Preliminary Design Study and to initiate property acquisitions.

On December 13, 2011, Council adopted the 2012 Capital Budget which identified $77,000,000 for the Plessis Road Twinning and Grade Separation at CN Rail, including contributions from the Building Canada Fund (Federal Government; $25,000,000) and the Provincial Government ($25,000,000).

On December 22, 2011, the City submitted the Plessis Road Underpass Project Application to the Government of Canada Infrastructure Funding to the Canada-Manitoba Infrastructure Secretariat.

On January 12, 2012, the City notified the rail authority of the application to the Building Canada Fund and requested that discussions commence as soon as possible. On July 16, 2012, the rail authority requested a proposal from the City demonstrating the need for a grade separation structure and substantiating that an underpass as opposed to an overpass is warranted. On May 31, 2013, the City of Winnipeg submitted the Plessis Road Underpass Project: Cost Apportionment Proposal to the rail authority as a starting point for negotiations pursuant to Canadian Transportation Agency guidelines.

On January 24, 2012, Professional Consulting Services were awarded to AECOM Canada Ltd. for a preliminary land drainage study which was completed on May 4, 2012.
On June 18, 2012, the City was advised that the Government of Canada has identified the Plessis Road Underpass Project as a priority for funding consideration under the Building Canada Fund – Major Infrastructure Component. Under the program, the Government of Canada will consider an investment of up to one-third of the project’s total eligible costs, to a maximum contribution of $25,000,000, conditional upon the completion of a federal project review, fulfilling environmental and Aboriginal consultation requirements, and signing contribution agreements, amongst others.

On July 11, 2012, Professional Consulting Services were awarded to AECOM Canada Ltd. for Project Planning and Preliminary Design. Fees for future services (Detailed Design, Contract Administration and Post Construction Services) will be negotiated at key stages. Future services cannot commence until authorization is provided by the City. Fees for Detailed Design and Contract 1 Contract Administration were negotiated with the Director of Public Works on June 25, 2013; AECOM Canada Ltd. was authorized to proceed with these works on July 12, 2013, following approval of the Chief Administrative Officer. Fees for Hydrogeological Investigations and Groundwater Monitoring, Contract 2 Contract Administration, Contract 3 Contract Administration, and Oil Pipeline Designs and Contract Administration were negotiated with the Director of Public Works and authorization to proceed was received on May 20, 2014.

On July 17, 2012, Manitoba Conservation and Water Stewardship confirmed this project will not require environmental assessment and licensing pursuant to the Environment Act.

On July 20, 2012, the Plessis Road Underpass Project Business Case was submitted to Transport Canada for federal project review.

On October 9, 2012, the Aboriginal Consultation Form was submitted to the Province of Manitoba recommending that consultation is not required as the Project does not adversely affect an Aboriginal treaty right.

On October 9, 2012, owner controlled Professional and Pollution Liability Insurance coverage were put in place.

On October 12, 2012, the Preliminary Environmental Review form was submitted to Transport Canada who will assess federal environmental assessment requirements. On May 1, 2013, Transport Canada confirmed that no further information is required.

On November 15, 2012, Professional Consulting Services were awarded for Third Party Contract Administration (Advisory and Public Participation Services) to MMM Group Limited.

In December 2012, the existing sewers were cleaned and televised where required in order to address any deterioration prior to construction.

On December 19, 2012, Transport Canada advised Mayor Katz of approval-in-principle of funding for the Plessis Road Underpass Project. The draft contribution agreement was received on April 16, 2013; negotiations have been finalized and the agreement is in circulation for approval.

On February 12 and 13, 2013, a value engineering session was attended by subject matter experts and stakeholders. The purpose of the session was to ensure the City of Winnipeg is receiving value for our budget by identifying cost saving, risk reduction and schedule improvement opportunities.

On February 28 and June 19, 2013, Public Open Houses were held. Corporate Support Services provided translation services for the newsletter, advertisements and story boards.

On April 15, 2013, a letter of intent was submitted to the rail authority for the supply of safety flagging and track materials as well as the protection, design and relocation of their signals and fibre optics.
On April 16, 2013, the draft contribution agreement was received from the Government of Manitoba; negotiations are ongoing.

On May 7, 2013, approval-in-principle was received from the rail authority to construct water pipeline crossings subject to submitting evidence of insurance, signing and returning the crossing agreements and paying the associated fees. Final approval to proceed with the work was received from the rail authority on July 9, 2013.

On May 24, 2013, the City of Winnipeg signed and returned an oil authority Letter of Intent confirming both parties' mutual interest in proceeding with relocating their pipeline to accommodate the underpass. The Letter of Intent obligates the City of Winnipeg to pay for the oil authority’s design work and both parties to prepare and negotiate an agreement within 60 days. The oil authority will prepare the initial draft agreement which, once executed, will supersede the Letter of Intent. The initial draft agreement was received on December 27, 2013; negotiations are ongoing. On July 8, 2013, the oil authority indicated that due to their capital project process they cannot continue to administer the design and relocation of their pipeline. The oil authority indicated that the City of Winnipeg will need to procure a design consultant and construction contractor to proceed with these works. On September 9 and 16, 2013, the oil authorities confirmed URS is an acceptable engineering firm to prepare the designs related to their plant relocations; AECOM Canada Ltd. has engaged URS as a subconsultant.

On May 28, 2013, a settlement data sheet approving the temporary easement at Big Freight Systems Inc. was completed.

On May 29, 2013, Council passed expropriation by-law 56/2013 and made the corresponding Declaration of Expropriation. Notices of Intended Expropriation were submitted to the Winnipeg Land Titles Office and owners and encumbrancers. Two objections to the intended expropriations were received; however, one was withdrawn and the other was removed in an Amended Declaration of Expropriation. A hearing before the Inquiry Officer was not required.

On May 29, 2013, Council concurred with the Executive Policy Committee’s recommendation that the Chief Administrative Officer be delegated the authority to award construction contracts exceeding $10,000,000.00, including multi-year contracts not exceeding 5 years, associated with the Plessis Road Twinning and Grade Separation at CN Rail Project, within the Council approved budget.

On June 3, 2013, the City of Winnipeg engaged River East Transcona School Division to provide additional crossing guards at Bernie Wolfe Community School during construction.

On June 11, 2013, the acquisitions of 1168 and 1172 Plessis Road were approved by Standing Committee.

On June 13, 2013, the City of Winnipeg engaged Intergraphics Decal Ltd. to fabricate infrastructure signage for the project.

On June 14, 2013, Hy-Way Construction Inc. was awarded Contract 1: Rail Shoofly Grade Preparation and Miscellaneous Wastewater Sewer, Watermain and Land Drainage Works (Bid Opportunity No. 342-2013).

On June 25, 2013, a Request for Service was sent to the Traffic Signals Branch for the design, supply and installation of traffic signals.

On June 27, 2013, Contract 2: Shoofly Track Installation and Permanent Track Construction (Bid Opportunity No. 539-2013) was advertised on the Materials Management website. On July 19, 2013, Materials Management indicated that no bids were received. On October 2, 2013, the Chief Operating Officer approved the Single Source Negotiation and Award to Cando Contracting Ltd.
On June 28, 2013, detailed design drawings were submitted to the rail authority for comment. The railway provided feedback on November 18, 2013.

On July 9, 2013, the rail authority confirmed they cannot supply track materials. The supply of the majority of these materials has been included in Contract 2; the remainder is anticipated to be included in Contract 3. On October 15, 2013, the rail authority confirmed they will supply the No. 12 turnouts for the permanent track. On March 17, 2014, the rail authority confirmed that they will supply the track materials for the permanent alignment.

On July 15, 2013, Enter and Construct agreements were executed with Fresh Water Fish Marketing Corporation and the rail authority.

On July 15, 2013, the rail authority indicated they do not have the available staff to supply safety flagging and the City would need to procure these services. In the interim works in the rail authority’s right of way could not commence. The City’s contractors have been supplying safety flaggers since July 29, 2013.

On July 16, 2013, the temporary construction easement was executed with Big Freight Systems Inc.

On July 17, 2013, Council approved the land exchange for the temporary easement with East Side Self Storage.

On July 28, 2013, Plessis Road was closed between Dugald Road and Kernaghan Avenue and is now expected to open in summer 2015.

On August 7, 2013, the City requested that the Federal Government consider costs incurred prior to approval-in-principle, contracts signed prior to approval-in-principle, and services delivered by employees of the City as eligible costs. On August 27, 2013, the Federal Government confirmed that services delivered by employees of the City are eligible, however any cost incurred and/or contract awarded prior to the approval-in-principal date of December 19, 2012 is not eligible for reimbursement by Canada.

On October 17, 2013, an oil authority submitted a Confidentiality Agreement to the City which had to be executed before they would provide the City with design information related to their plant. The oil authority’s design criterion was received in early November, 2013.

On October 17, 2013, the City advised an oil authority that the Water Stewardship is concerned with their proposed design. An alternative alignment has been developed in consultation with the oil authority; the pipelines will go through the underpass retaining walls and will need to be coordinated with the bridge construction.

On October 17, 2013, an oil authority indicated that there is only one contractor that is approved to relocate their pipeline. The City requested a letter from the oil authority instructing the City to engage the contractor for their pipeline relocation works. On December 20, 2013, the oil authority indicated that they will not supply the requested letter.

On October 25, 2013, the City requested that the Government of Manitoba approve a one-year extension to the project completion date. On January 9, 2014, Manitoba confirmed it has no issue with extending the project completion deadline to March 31, 2016.

On November 5, 2013, the City requested that the rail authority relocate their gate cables or decommission the gates as the road is closed. On November 15, 2013, the rail authority identified site safety concerns that required attention before the gates can be decommissioned. The City addressed the rail authority’s concerns by November 20, 2013 and requested a meeting to confirm compliance. In March, 2014, the rail authority indicated that the existing grade crossing cannot be decommissioned until the agreement with the City is executed. The gates have been decommissioned.
On November 13, 2013, the rail authority submitted the draft agreement; the issue as to whether the railway’s share of the costs should be calculated after applying the amounts received from Canada and Manitoba has been highlighted as a matter for further adjudication by the Canadian Transportation Agency. Negotiations have concluded and the agreement has been distributed for signatures. The rail authority shall contribute 15% towards the shareable costs upon completion of the Grade Separation and the Canadian Transportation Agency’s ruling.

On November 22, 2013, Contract 3 (roadworks, structures, pump station, land drainage and miscellaneous works) was advertised on the City’s Materials Management website. The bid submission deadline has been extended twice due to delays associated with relocating the oil pipelines and closed on February 7, 2014. The City intended to award the contract by February 21, 2014. The contract was awarded to PCL Constructors Canada Inc. on March 5, 2014.

On November 28, 2013, the City received a letter confirming funding support from Manitoba.

On December 2, 2013, an oil authority indicated that their works associated with relocating their plant will be completed approximately six weeks later than anticipated. On January 14, 2014, the oil authority indicated that their reconnection works will need to be staged and will take a further three weeks.

In December, 2013, Notices of Expropriation, Offers of Compensation and Notices of Possession were distributed to affected persons in accordance with the Expropriation Act. Notice of the confirming order was published in a local paper on December 3, 2013. The persons served must surrender and give up possession of the expropriated land on or before noon on January 30, 2014. Any owner/encumbrancer/occupant may request that the possession date be extended.

On January 10, 2014, the Government of Canada indicated that in the event the City is unable to open two lanes on Plessis Road to traffic by December 31, 2014, it may terminate the Agreement or suspend its funding obligations. On February 13, 2014, the City requested that Transport Canada approve a one-year extension to the project completion date.

On January 25, 2014, it was identified that if the pipeline is lowered, a secure (fenced) shutoff valve is required on the oil pipeline south of the rail line. The supply time for the valve was estimated at six months and ownership of the majority of the property south of the rail line is expected to be transferred to an adjacent landowner.

On January 27, 2014, Contract 4: Oil Pipelines Relocation Works (Bid Opportunity 1058-2013) was advertised on the City’s Materials Management website with a submission deadline of February 7, 2014. In accordance with the bid opportunity, the successful bidder had to be qualified by the oil authorities. Only one bid was received and the bidder was not qualified. The non-award report and authorization to negotiate a single source contract was approved on March 19, 2014.

On January 28, 2014, an oil authority provided the City with their list of approved Canadian vendors.

On February 14, 2014, the Province indicated that the following costs (an estimate totaling approximately $470,000), which were incurred prior to the City receiving approval-in-principal of funding from Canada, will be considered eligible:

- Owner-controlled professional and pollution liability insurance
- Third party contract administration
- Sewer televising

On February 19, 2014, an oil authority provided the City with a list of their approved contractors. The oil authority had previously declined to provide the City with a list.
On February 24, 2014, an oil authority indicated that the cost estimate they provided in the draft agreement was not a firm estimate and their works associated with relocating their pipeline through the rail shoofly will likely cost an additional $4M. To mitigate the cost and schedule impacts associated with:

- receiving no bids for Contract 4;
- the shutoff valve requirement; and
- the additional $4M associated with relocating the pipeline through the rail shoofly

a structural slab was constructed over the existing pipeline.

On March 19, 2014, the City began single source negotiations for the oil pipeline works with the only contractor that is qualified by both oil authorities. One reason the contractor declined to bid on Contract 4 was they do not want to supply the pipeline materials. Contract 5 Supply of Steel Pipe and Fittings was advertised on the City’s Materials Management website and awarded to Flocor Inc. on July 4, 2014. Single source negotiations for the construction are ongoing.

On April 15, 2014, the Government of Canada added conditions to the contribution agreement indicating that two lanes of Plessis Road between Dugald Road and Kernaghan Avenue will be open to traffic by July 31, 2015, and that four lanes of Plessis Road between Dugald Road and Kernaghan Avenue will be open to traffic by September 30, 2015. In the event that the City is unable to meet these conditions, Canada may terminate the Agreement or suspend its funding obligations.

On May 29, 2014, the City requested assistance from the Government of Canada in getting one of the oil authorities engaged on this project. The oil authority has since assigned significant resources to this project; however this construction did not commence in June, 2014 as identified in Contract 3 and is behind schedule.

On July 3, 2014, PCL Constructors Canada Inc. submitted a letter to AECOM Canada Inc. indicating that a Change in Work will be required due to delays in completing the rail shoofly. Contract 3 indicates that the rail shoofly will be complete by June 27, 2014; the shoofly is expected to be operational in September, 2014.

MAJOR CAPITAL PROJECT STEERING COMMITTEE

Administrative Standard for projects with capital cost exceeding $10 million requires formation of Major Capital Project Steering Committee. The Committee members include:

Brad Sacher, Director of Public Works
Diane Sacher, Director of Water and Waste
Dave Wardrop, Director of Transit
Jason Ruby, Manager of Capital Projects
Blake Kibbins, Streets Project Engineer

PROJECT STATUS

The project is estimated to exceed the adopted $77,000,000 budget; however, it is expected that the rail authority’s 15% contribution to shareable costs upon completion will cover the deficit.

DESCRIPTION OF PROJECT

The existing at-grade crossing of Plessis Road at the CNR Redditt Subdivision will be replaced with an underpass grade separation structure, including earth retaining structures, to provide improvements in road safety, mobility, and sustainability. The underpass will be designed to accommodate four through lanes and auxiliary lanes where warranted. The pavement and bridge structure will support the addition
of two through lanes in the future without modification to the bridge structure, retaining structures or embankment slopes.

Geometric and capacity improvements at the intersection of Plessis Road and Dugald Road are required. Plessis Road will be reconstructed between Pandora Avenue and Dugald Road. This section of Plessis Road is part of the City of Winnipeg Strategic Road Network as identified in the Winnipeg Transportation Master Plan and will be widened to four lanes.

Connections to the existing pedestrian and active transportation facilities on Pandora Avenue and Dugald Road will be provided on Plessis Road.

Provision of an underpass pumping facility is required.

Construction of the underpass will require the relocation of several utilities, including but not limited to watermains, sewers, fibre optic cables, gas mains, distribution poles, street lights and Manitoba Telecom Services plant.

Imperial Oil Limited and Shell Canada have pipelines and a valve station in the vicinity of the proposed underpass. The pipelines will need be relocated or lowered.

A temporary rail detour will direct rail traffic around the bridge construction. Disruption to rail operations during construction will be minimized.

**PROJECT SCHEDULE**

Professional Service Contracts pending and awarded as of the date of this report are as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bid Opportunity</th>
<th>Awarded To</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Award / Authorization Date</th>
<th>Completion Date</th>
<th>Award Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Direct Assign</td>
<td>AECOM Canada Ltd.</td>
<td>Preliminary Land Drainage Study</td>
<td>January 24, 2012</td>
<td>May 4, 2012</td>
<td>$65,985.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>142-2012</td>
<td>AECOM Canada Ltd.</td>
<td>Planning and Preliminary Design Services</td>
<td>July 11, 2012</td>
<td>March 31, 2013</td>
<td>$1,114,282.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>142-2012</td>
<td>AECOM Canada Ltd.</td>
<td>Detailed Design Services</td>
<td>July 12, 2013</td>
<td>November 21, 2013</td>
<td>$2,682,642.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>142-2012</td>
<td>AECOM Canada Ltd.</td>
<td>Contract 1 Contract Administration Services</td>
<td>July 12, 2013</td>
<td>On-going</td>
<td>$389,001.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>142-2012</td>
<td>AECOM Canada Ltd.</td>
<td>Hydrogeological Investigations and Groundwater Monitoring</td>
<td>May 20, 2014</td>
<td>On-going</td>
<td>$166,530.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>142-2012</td>
<td>AECOM Canada Ltd.</td>
<td>Contract 2 Contract Administration Services</td>
<td>May 20, 2014</td>
<td>On-going</td>
<td>$299,005.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
142-2012  AECOM Canada Ltd.  Contract 3  May 20, 2014  On-going  $3,847,362.00

142-2012  AECOM Canada Ltd.  Oil Pipeline Designs and Contract Administration  May 20, 2014  On-going  $814,816.00

Construction Contracts pending and awarded as of the date of this report are as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bid Opportunity</th>
<th>Awarded To</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Award Date</th>
<th>Completion Date</th>
<th>Award Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>342-2013</td>
<td>Hy-Way Construction Inc.</td>
<td>Contract 1: Rail Shoofly Embankment and Water Utilities</td>
<td>June 14, 2013</td>
<td>On-going</td>
<td>$2,059,412.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>539-2013B</td>
<td>Cando Contracting Ltd.</td>
<td>Contract 2: Track Installation</td>
<td>October 4, 2013</td>
<td>On-going</td>
<td>$6,892,352.84</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**RISKS AND RISK MITIGATION STRATEGIES**

In accordance with Administrative Standard FM-004, the design consultant is responsible for developing a Risk Management Plan identifying risks and appropriate mitigation strategies as they relate to the successful completion of the project. Tools developed by the City of Winnipeg Audit Department were employed and the following risks have been identified:

**Schedule**
- The original project completion date is not achievable and a one-year extension to the project completion date has been requested of Federal and Provincial funding partners. Manitoba has approved the extension and Canada has the following conditions:
  - two lanes of Plessis Road between Dugald Road and Kernaghan Avenue will be open to traffic by July 31, 2015, and
  - four lanes of Plessis Road between Dugald Road and Kernaghan Avenue will be open to traffic by September 30, 2015
- The project continues to experience delays related to rail and oil works and efficiencies need to be identified to meet the dates in the federal agreement, otherwise Canada may terminate the Agreement or suspend its funding obligations.

**Costs**
- The additional $2,000,000 the City of Winnipeg is contributing to this project is not sufficient to cover ineligible costs identified by the Building Canada Fund and Manitoba.
• Costs that are ineligible for reimbursement by Canada are anticipated to be:
  o Overheads and Other - costs incurred prior to federal approval-in-principle (owner
controlled insurance coverage and sewer televising; $400,000); overhead and
administration expenditures ($2,000,000)
  o Any and all expenditures related to contracts signed prior to federal approval-in-principle
(Professional Service Contracts; $1,900,000)
  o The cost of purchasing land and associated fees ($2,300,000)
  o **Total estimate of potential ineligible costs ($6,600,000)**

• Costs that are ineligible for reimbursement by Manitoba are anticipated to be:
  o Overheads and Other – overhead and administrative expenditures ($2,000,000)
  o Expenditures related to contracts signed prior to federal approval-in-principle
(Professional Service Contracts; $1,430,000)
  o The cost of purchasing land and associated fees ($2,300,000)
  o **Total estimate of potential ineligible costs ($5,730,000)**

• The estimated total project cost is $81,300,000. While the rail authority’s contribution is
outstanding, the City’s contribution to the project is anticipated to be $31,400,000 rather than
$27,000,000. While final negotiations with the rail authority have not been concluded, the net
amount the City expects to receive upon settlement of the cost appropriations is expected to be
at a minimum equal to the required City funding amount of $4,400,000. The final determination
will be based on a ruling by the Canadian Transportation Agency.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Project Budget</th>
<th>Anticipated Contribution</th>
<th>Variance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Federal Funding (1/3 of eligible costs)</td>
<td>25,000,000</td>
<td>24,900,000</td>
<td>-100,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Provincial Funding (1/3 of eligible costs)</td>
<td>25,000,000</td>
<td>25,000,000</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Required City Funding</strong></td>
<td><strong>27,000,000</strong></td>
<td><strong>31,400,000</strong></td>
<td><strong>4,400,000</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Project Cost</strong></td>
<td><strong>77,000,000</strong></td>
<td><strong>81,300,000</strong></td>
<td><strong>4,300,000</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Rail**

• The bridge works (Contract 3) cannot commence until the rail shoofly is operational (Contract
2). Contract 3 expected the rail shoofly to be operational on June 27, 2014; the shoofly works
are behind schedule and are expected to be complete in late September, 2014
• The agreement with the rail authority has been finalized and the crossing signals have been
decommissioned
• The rail work contractor is supplying the rails for the shoofly detours. As this rail is not being
supplied by CN, the railway authority requires that the City provide them with indemnification for
any losses related to the performance of the rail. The rail shoofly cannot be connected to the
mainline rails until the required agreement is executed, which is expected to be completed
shortly.
• Track blocks must be requested of the rail authority in a timely manner to avoid delays in
obtaining approvals
• The rail authority has indicated that winter cutovers will not be permitted. The implications are
being reviewed and may delay commissioning of the structure

**Oil Pipelines**

• The structural slab has been constructed over the oil pipelines and Contract 2 can be completed
• The structural slab design was approved by the oil and rail authorities and an agreement
between the parties was executed
• An alternative alignment has been developed to address Manitoba Conservation and Water Stewardship’s concerns with the east-west pipeline design.
• The pipeline is shallow northeast of the proposed structure and must be relocated. The pipeline easement is located in properties that cannot be expropriated. Acquisition of these properties and modifying the pipeline easements may delay these works.
• Agreement negotiations are ongoing and need to be finalized prior to relocating the pipelines. The pipeline relocations are expected to commence in September, 2014.
• Single source negotiations and award for the oil pipeline relocations must be finalized in time for works to commence in September, 2014.
• Design of the east-west pipeline is ongoing; any changes to the materials that are being supplied under Contract 5 could delay works.
• The permit from the Manitoba Petroleum Branch has been received for the pipeline relocation north of the structure. The submission for the east-west pipeline is not complete and could delay works.

Property Acquisitions
• There are two properties that cannot be expropriated, include the oil pipeline easement, and negotiations have not concluded. The City has received consent to Enter and Construct from the property owners.
• Negotiations with 730 Pandora Avenue West, 1249 Plessis Road and 1225 Plessis Road are ongoing. These properties have been expropriated.

Groundwater
• A groundwater user suggested construction activities have impacted the groundwater quality but will not provide evidence. The City has indicated it will deny any compensation until evidence is provided. The City has implemented a groundwater monitoring program.

FINANCIAL ANALYSIS

Project Funding

The approved capital and projected budget surplus/deficit are as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Capital Program (in 000’s)</th>
<th>Actual + Projected Cashflows</th>
<th>Cumulative Capital Budget Remaining</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2012</td>
<td>$77,000</td>
<td>$957</td>
<td>$76,043</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013</td>
<td></td>
<td>7,131</td>
<td>68,912</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014</td>
<td></td>
<td>60,412</td>
<td>8,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015</td>
<td></td>
<td>12,800</td>
<td>-4,300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>$77,000</td>
<td>$81,300</td>
<td>-4,300</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(1) Includes Building Canada Fund (Federal Government) funding of up to $25 million
(2) Includes Provincial Government funding of up to $25 million
(3) On November 16, 2011 Council adopted a first charge of $2 million in 2011 or prior to the adoption of the 2012 Capital Budget to allow for timely award of a Consulting Engineering Assignment to undertake the Preliminary Design Study and to initiate property acquisitions.
(4) Project Funding does not account for the rail authority’s 15% contribution towards the shareable costs of the Grade Separation.

Financial Analysis
A summary of the budget to forecast comparison is contained in the Appendix. The comparison does not differentiate between costs that may be eligible or ineligible for Federal and Provincial funding.
Financial Impact Statement  Date:  September 3, 2014

Project Name:

PLESSIS ROAD TWINNING AND GRADE SEPARATION AT CN RAIL – FINANCIAL STATUS REPORT NO. 7 FOR THE PERIOD ENDING JUNE 30, 2014

COMMENTS:

As this report is submitted for information purposes only there is no financial impact associated with this recommendation.

The current forecasted expenditures for this project identify that the project will be completed at $81.3 million, exceeding the $77 million capital budget adopted by Council. The report identifies under the Risks and Risk Mitigation Strategies that estimated ineligible costs for the agreement with Government of Canada are $6.6 million and the estimated ineligible costs for the agreement with the Province of Manitoba are $5.73 million. The total estimate of ineligible amounts and the increased forecasted expenditures will increase the City’s required funding to $31.4 million for an increase of $4.4 million to the previously approved $27 million.

The adopted budget for this project does not include any funding that will be received for the railway’s share of the project costs which will be determined by a ruling of the Canadian Transportation Agency upon project completion.

“Original signed by D. Stewart”
D. Stewart CA
Manager of Finance & Administration
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Attachment:

Appendix: Financial Analysis - Plessis Road Twinning and Grade Separation at CN Rail
## APPENDIX
PLESSIS ROAD TWINNING AND GRADE SEPARATION AT CN RAIL
As of June 30, 2014

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project Component</th>
<th>Capital Budget</th>
<th>Capital Expenditures Forecast</th>
<th>Surplus (Deficit)</th>
<th>Variance Last Report</th>
<th>Change in Variance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Original</td>
<td>Revised</td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>To June 30, 2014</td>
<td>Remainder of 2014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Professional Services</td>
<td>$10,000,000</td>
<td>$10,000,000</td>
<td>$5,335,079</td>
<td>$4,214,921</td>
<td>$1,250,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Construction Work</td>
<td>43,500,000</td>
<td>43,500,000</td>
<td>4,002,945</td>
<td>$2,147,055</td>
<td>11,350,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rail and Utility</td>
<td>15,000,000</td>
<td>15,000,000</td>
<td>1,128,589</td>
<td>$6,771,411</td>
<td>100,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Property</td>
<td>5,000,000</td>
<td>5,000,000</td>
<td>929,518</td>
<td>$1,370,482</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overheads and Other</td>
<td>3,500,000</td>
<td>3,500,000</td>
<td>971,155</td>
<td>$1,628,845</td>
<td>100,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>$77,000,000</strong></td>
<td><strong>$0</strong></td>
<td><strong>$12,367,286</strong></td>
<td><strong>$56,132,714</strong></td>
<td><strong>$12,800,000</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Notes:**
The City’s engineering services provider will be responsible for design and construction administration services associated with the oil pipelines which accounts for the changes in the forecasts for Professional Services and Rail and Utility.