Minute No. 82
Report – Executive Policy Committee – December 6, 2017

Item No. 5   Transition of the Regulation of Vehicles for Hire from the Province of Manitoba to the City of Winnipeg

COUNCIL DECISION:

Council concurred in the recommendation of the Executive Policy Committee, as amended, and adopted the following:

1. That the attached Vehicle for Hire By-law draft set out in Appendix A:
   
   A. Be amended by deleting any reference to “individuals confined to a wheelchair” and replacing it with “individuals who use a wheelchair and cannot self-transfer”.
   
   B. Be enacted as amended, with an effective date of February 28, 2018.

2. That the Taxicab Licence Fee By-Law No. 6123/93 be repealed.

3. That the fees set out in Appendix B be approved effective February 28, 2018, with authority provided to the designated employee to accept payment for fees up to two (2) months in advance of the effective date.

4. That Council requests from the Province of Manitoba express legislative authority to assess fines and penalties administratively as part of the vehicle for hire program, in addition to fines and penalties imposed under the Municipal By-Law Enforcement Act and the Provincial Offences Act.

5. That new taxi licenses may be issued by the Designated Employee for the City when the ratio of City of Winnipeg taxi licenses / population falls below the ratio of 1:1203, which results in the immediate issuance of 120 licenses.

6. That the 2018 maximum fares for taxis be approved as set out in Appendix C.

7. That membership on the Vehicles for Hire Appeal Board be established in accordance with the Policy - Citizen Appointments on Boards and Commissions.

8. That, as a temporary measure, and notwithstanding its Operating Charter, the Winnipeg Parking Authority be authorized to administer and enforce the Vehicle for Hire By-law and the collection of all fees and any penalties imposed for contraventions of the By-laws.
COUNCIL DECISION (continued):

9. That the full time equivalents (FTEs) as outlined in Appendix D be approved to be added to the Winnipeg Parking Authority’s FTE complement to enable the administration and enforcement of the By-law.

10. That the 2018 Operating Budget for the Winnipeg Parking Authority be amended to increase revenues by $1.3 million and increase expenditures by $1.3 million for a net budget impact of nil, as included in Appendix E.

11. That the City enter into, execute and deliver an information sharing agreement with Manitoba Public Insurance Corporation and such other agreements as determined necessary by the City Solicitor / Director of Legal Services (“ancillary agreements”) to facilitate enforcement of the Vehicles for Hire By-law and all other By-laws of the City, which agreement may contain provisions concerning real-time access to personal information and the provision of personal information for the purposes of collecting fines.

12. That authority be delegated to the Chief Administrative Officer to negotiate and approve the terms and conditions of the information sharing agreement and the ancillary agreements, in accordance with this report and such other terms and conditions deemed necessary by the City Solicitor / Director of Legal Services to protect the interests of the City.

13. That the Public Service be required to report back every 12 months for the first 5 years with an update on the vehicle for hire industry under the new By-law and to request approval for any By-law revisions deemed necessary.

14. That the Stakeholder Engagement Summary included under Appendix G be received as information.

15. That Section 43 (1) of the Vehicle for Hire By Law be amended to eliminate the 20 year period to transfer taxicab licenses thereby allowing transferable taxicab licenses to be transferred for an indefinite time period;

16. That Council approve the issuance of 60 year round taxicab licenses effective March 1, 2018 with another 60 year round taxicab licenses effective December 1, 2018 all to be allocated through a lottery.

17. That Council authorize licenced taxis to travel in diamond lanes effective March 1, 2018 on a one year trial period.
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COUNCIL DECISION (continued):

18. That a driver safety surcharge of $.03 per trip be applied to Personal Transportation Providers with all revenue raised from this surcharge used to support a program to promote safety and security in the vehicle for hire industry;

19. That the Public Service report back in 120 days with recommendations on a program to support safety and security in the vehicle for hire industry to be developed in consultation with stakeholders from this industry;

20. That Sections 33, and 34 of the Vehicle for Hire By-law be amended to enable PTP drivers that have undergone a criminal record and vulnerable person sector search to begin driving in the vehicle for hire industry pending the outcome of a Child Abuse Registry Check.

21. That the Vehicles for Hire By-law be amended to make it clear that Taxi drivers with valid licenses on February 28, 2018 may continue driving until their licenses expire, at which point they will require Vulnerable Sector Searches as part of their Criminal Record Check in order to renew their licenses.

22. That section 32 be amended to remove the following from the information required to be provided by Personal Transportation Providers dispatchers to passengers:

   A. The dispatcher’s contact information;
   
   B. The minimum number of seats provided in a PTP vehicle;
   
   C. the PTP vehicle’s provincial licence plate number;
   
   D. a process to keep a record of the acceptance or refusal of the transportation service and information concerning that process.

23. That the Proper Officers of the City be authorized to do all things necessary to implement the foregoing.
DECISION MAKING HISTORY:

Moved by His Worship Mayor Bowman,
That the recommendation of the Executive Policy Committee be adopted.

In amendment,
Moved by His Worship Mayor Bowman,
Seconded by Councillor Mayes,

WHEREAS on November 9, 2017 The Province of Manitoba passed Bill 30-The Local Vehicle for Hire Act, which will dissolve the existing Taxi Cab Board, the Taxicab Act and associated regulations, and transition oversight and regulation of the vehicle for hire industry to the City of Winnipeg, effective February 28, 2017.

AND WHEREAS in order to assume responsibility for the vehicle for hire industry, the City of Winnipeg must have a new vehicle for hire by law in place no later than February 28, 2018.

AND WHEREAS after the public release of a draft by-law and administrative report on vehicles for hire the City of Winnipeg has received feedback from industry stakeholders on potential amendments to strengthen the by law.

AND WHEREAS one of the primary objectives of the Vehicles for Hire By-law is to ensure Winnipeg is a leader in Canada in the enforcement of safety standards for passengers and drivers in the vehicle for hire industry.

AND WHEREAS the current requirements in the Vehicles for Hire By law require all drivers to undergo an annual criminal record check, vulnerable person sector search and child abuse registry check.

AND WHEREAS having two of three record checks is an industry leading step towards safety and both the Taxi industry and Personal Transportation Providers would be respectively taking on a third record check, providing a grace period on the third record check maintains safety and equalizes the industry.
DECISION MAKING HISTORY (continued):

AND WHEREAS the aforementioned background screening process will make Winnipeg a leader in Canada in the safe vetting of drivers in the vehicle for hire industry.

AND WHEREAS another key objective of the new Vehicles for Hire By law is to support the current vehicle for hire industry while allowing for new entrants into this industry in order to increase competition and improve consumer choice.

AND WHEREAS upon further review the draft by law included certain information requirements from Personal Transportation Providers that are inconsistent with other jurisdictions.

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED:

1. That Section 43 (1) of the Vehicle for Hire By Law be amended to eliminate the 20 year period to transfer taxicab licenses thereby allowing transferable taxicab licenses to be transferred for an indefinite time period;

2. That Council approve the issuance of 60 year round taxicab licenses effective March 1, 2018 with another 60 year round taxicab licenses effective December 1, 2018 all to be allocated through a lottery.

3. That Council authorize licenced taxis to travel in diamond lanes effective March 1, 2018 on a one year trial period.

4. That a driver safety surcharge of $.03 per trip be applied to Personal Transportation Providers with all revenue raised from this surcharge used to support a program to promote safety and security in the vehicle for hire industry;

5. That the Public Service report back in 120 days with recommendations on a program to support safety and security in the vehicle for hire industry to be developed in consultation with stakeholders from this industry;

6. That Sections 33, and 34 of the Vehicle for Hire By-Law be amended to enable PTP drivers that have undergone a criminal record and vulnerable person sector search to begin driving in the vehicle for hire industry pending the outcome of a Child Abuse Registry Check.
DECISION MAKING HISTORY (continued):

7. That the Vehicles for Hire By-law be amended to make it clear that Taxi drivers with valid licenses on February 28, 2018 may continue driving until their licenses expire, at which point they will require Vulnerable Sector Searches as part of their Criminal Record Check in order to renew their licenses.

8. That section 32 be amended to remove the following from the information required to be provided by Personal Transportation Providers dispatchers to passengers:
   
   A. The dispatcher’s contact information;
   
   B. The minimum number of seats provided in a PTP vehicle;
   
   C. The PTP vehicle’s provincial licence plate number;
   
   D. A process to keep a record of the acceptance or refusal of the transportation service and information concerning that process.

   In amendment,

Moved by Councillor Eadie,
Seconded by Councillor Lukes,

THAT Item No. 5 of the Report of the Executive Policy Committee dated December 6, 2017, entitled Transition of the Regulation of Vehicles for Hire from the Province of Manitoba to the City of Winnipeg, along with any amending motions, be referred back to Executive Policy Committee, with the request that it be referred to the Stakeholder Working Group for due consideration as to the effects of the amendments and how any other changes to the by-law can be more effective, and that the report come back to Council on or before February, 2018.

The motion moved by Councillor Eadie and seconded by Councillor Lukes was put.

Councillor Wyatt called for the yeas and nays as follows:

Yea: Councillors Dobson, Eadie, Lukes, Schreyer, Wyatt and Sharma. 6

Nay: His Worship Mayor Bowman, Councillors Allard, Browaty, Gerbasi, Gillingham, Gilroy, Mayes, Morantz, Orlikow and Pagtakhan. 10

and the motion moved by Councillor Eadie and seconded by Councillor Lukes was declared lost.
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DECISION MAKING HISTORY (continued):

The motion moved by His Worship Mayor Bowman and seconded by Councillor Mayes was put and declared carried.

The motion for adoption of the item, as amended, was put.

Councillor Wyatt called for the yeas and nays as follows:

Yea: His Worship Mayor Bowman, Councillors Allard, Browaty, Gerbasi, Gillingham, Gilroy, Mayes, Morantz, Orlikow and Pagtakhan.

Nay: Councillors Dobson, Eadie, Lukes, Schreyer, Wyatt and Sharma.

and the motion for adoption of the item, as amended, was declared carried.

EXECUTIVE POLICY COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION:

On December 6, 2017, the Executive Policy Committee concurred in the recommendation of the Winnipeg Public Service with the following amendments:

- Amend Recommendation 13. by deleting the words “in 24 months” and replacing them with “every 12 months for the first 5 years”.

- Delete Recommendation 1. in its entirety and replaced with the following:

  “1. That the attached Vehicle for Hire By-law draft set out in Appendix A:

  A. Be amended by deleting any reference to “individuals confined to a wheelchair” and replacing it with “individuals who use a wheelchair and cannot self-transfer”.

  B. Be enacted as amended, with an effective date of February 28, 2018.”

and submitted the matter to Council.
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EXECUTIVE POLICY COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION (continued):

The following persons submitted communications in support of the matter:

MADD Canada
Loren Remillard
Chris Schafer

The following persons submitted communications in opposition to the matter:

Gurdial Singh
Jaspal Bedi
Gurmail Gill

The following persons submitted communications with respect to the matter:

Murray Malanchuk
Allen Mankewich
Paul Moist
Mike Kindie
Albert Prince
ADMINISTRATIVE REPORT
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EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

On November 9, 2017, The Province of Manitoba passed Bill 30 – The Local Vehicles for Hire Act, which will dissolve the existing Taxicab Board, the Taxicab Act and associated regulations, and transition oversight and regulation of the vehicle for hire industry to the City of Winnipeg, effective February 28, 2018. In order to assume responsibility for the vehicles for hire industry, the City of Winnipeg must have a new Vehicle for Hire By-law in place no later than February 28, 2018.

Through the Vehicles for Hire By-law, the City of Winnipeg is proposing to streamline and modernize vehicle for hire regulations. The By-law would also allow Personal Transportation Providers (PTPs) such as Uber and Lyft to operate legally within the City of Winnipeg. The By-law proposes requirements that would support passenger and driver safety and increase accessible options for passengers.

The City of Winnipeg conducted extensive stakeholder engagement over the past few months, receiving feedback from the taxi industry, potential PTP dispatchers, and organizations which have a direct stake in the industry. While not all feedback from stakeholders was incorporated, it was invaluable to shaping the Vehicle for Hire By-law. It is envisioned that future changes to the By-law will be necessary and stakeholder engagement will continue as we move forward.

Some of the key highlights of the Vehicles for Hire By-law include:

- Increasing the taxi license supply and creating a formula that will adapt to population growth.
- Enabling PTPs to operate within Winnipeg.
• 24/7 on-street enforcement.
• Require licencing of dispatchers and impose reporting requirements on dispatchers, (dispatchers have not previously been licensed).
• Setting a maximum taxi fare structure rather than a mandatory fare structure, to allow taxi service providers to compete on price with PTPs by negotiating lower fares with passengers.
• Allowing existing taxi licenses (standard and accessible) and existing taxi driver’s licenses to be transitioned into the new By-law structure to minimize disruption to the taxi industry.
• Creating a five-member Vehicle for Hire Appeal Board appointed by Council.

It is being recommended that the administration of the Vehicle for Hire By-law and associated industry licensing and enforcement be initially placed with the Winnipeg Parking Authority. License fees are being set to achieve full cost recovery and no budgetary impact to the City, with the appropriate budget and staff being added to the Winnipeg Parking Authority’s 2018 operating budget.

RECOMMENDATIONS

1. That the attached Vehicle for Hire By-law draft set out in Appendix A be enacted with an effective date of February 28, 2018.

2. That the Taxicab Licence Fee By-Law No. 6123/93 be repealed.

3. That the fees set out in Appendix B be approved effective February 28, 2018, with authority provided to the designated employee to accept payment for fees up to two (2) months in advance of the effective date.

4. That Council requests from the Province of Manitoba express legislative authority to assess fines and penalties administratively as part of the vehicle for hire program, in addition to fines and penalties imposed under the Municipal By-Law Enforcement Act and the Provincial Offences Act.

5. That new taxi licenses may be issued by the Designated Employee for the City when the ratio of City of Winnipeg taxi licenses / population falls below the ratio of 1:1203, which results in the immediate issuance of 120 licenses.

6. That the 2018 maximum fares for taxis be approved as set out in Appendix C.

7. That membership on the Vehicles for Hire Appeal Board be established in accordance with the Policy - Citizen Appointments on Boards and Commissions.
8. That, as a temporary measure, and notwithstanding its Operating Charter, the Winnipeg Parking Authority be authorized to administer and enforce the Vehicle for Hire By-law and the collection of all fees and any penalties imposed for contraventions of the By-laws.

9. That the full time equivalents (FTEs) as outlined in Appendix D be approved to be added to the Winnipeg Parking Authority’s FTE complement to enable the administration and enforcement of the By-law.

10. That the 2018 Operating Budget for the Winnipeg Parking Authority be amended to increase revenues by $1.3 million and increase expenditures by $1.3 million for a net budget impact of nil, as included in Appendix E.

11. That the City enter into, execute and deliver an information sharing agreement with Manitoba Public Insurance Corporation and such other agreements as determined necessary by the City Solicitor / Director of Legal Services (“ancillary agreements”) to facilitate enforcement of the Vehicles for Hire By-law and all other By-laws of the City, which agreement may contain provisions concerning real-time access to personal information and the provision of personal information for the purposes of collecting fines.

12. That authority be delegated to the Chief Administrative Officer to negotiate and approve the terms and conditions of the information sharing agreement and the ancillary agreements, in accordance with this report and such other terms and conditions deemed necessary by the City Solicitor / Director of Legal Services to protect the interests of the City.

13. That the Public Service be required to report back in 24 months with an update on the vehicle for hire industry under the new By-law and to request approval for any By-law revisions deemed necessary.

14. That the Stakeholder Engagement Summary included under Appendix G be received as information.

15. That the Proper Officers of the City be authorized to do all things necessary to implement the foregoing.

**REASON FOR THE REPORT**

By-laws, new fees, and additional budgetary resources require the approval of Council.
### IMPLICATIONS OF THE RECOMMENDATIONS

#### Financial

With the Winnipeg Parking Authority assuming responsibility for the administration of the Vehicle for Hire By-law, adjustments to its submitted 2018 operating budget are required on a zero net budgetary impact basis. Revenues and Expenditures have been estimated both at $1.3 million for 2018.

Fees charged under the Vehicle for Hire By-law have been set out in Appendix B at a level that is aimed at providing full cost recovery to the City. The budget for costs to administer and enforce the By-law is based on best estimates but adjustments will be required over time as actuals to revenues and expenditures occur. Future fee increases within the rate of inflation may be requested, and would be approved by the Chief Financial Officer under the authority of the Fees and Charges By-Law 196/2008.

Community Services currently collects business licenses fees from taxi business owners under the Taxicab License Fee By-law No. 6123/93. The new license fees in Appendix B will replace this business license and By-law No. 6123/93 will be repealed, and the proposed license structure will ensure the revenue Community Services previously collected is also covered.

Other City services are expected to be impacted by the City’s new legislated responsibilities, including 311. There is a potential for a high volume of requests to come into 311 once the City assumes responsibility for the vehicle for hire industry. To assist 311 in its ability to handle the potential for increased call volume, an additional budgetary transfer to 311 from the Winnipeg Parking Authority has been included in the cost estimates.

#### Human Resources

For the By-law to be in force on February 28, 2018, implementation of the Vehicle for Hire Office within the Winnipeg Parking Authority will require staff resourcing and office facilities to be functional by February 1, 2018.

Seven (7) new permanent FTEs (increasing to 8 in 2019, and 8.5 in 2020) are required by the Winnipeg Parking Authority to administer the By-law and regulate the vehicle for hire industry.

#### Regulatory

The By-law includes a revision to the City Organization By-law to give responsibility to the Standing Policy Committee on Infrastructure Renewal and Public Works for vehicle for hire policy.
The By-law regulates more vehicles than Bill 30 requires, such as handicab vans and limousines with more than 10 seats. These vehicles were previously regulated by the Taxicab Board.

The following has not been addressed in the Vehicle for Hire By-law and further work will be required to determine if and how to regulate:

- Party buses;
- Charter vehicles that fall under the authority of Manitoba Transport Board; and
- Tourism focused vehicles providing tours with a tour guide.

In addition, the following issues have been identified by stakeholder groups but require further consideration before a regulatory position can be determined:

- Maximum number of hours a driver can drive per day;
- Expanded video retention period over the 14 days currently contemplated;
- Setting a flat rate fare for trips from Winnipeg to other cities or municipalities;
- Understanding effective fleet proportions for responsive accessible service.

Several of the issues raised by stakeholder groups will be addressed by the authority given to the Designated Employee, such as:

- Creating a passenger and driver bill of rights;
- Determining training requirement details;
- Creating educational materials;
- Requiring audio as well as video in-vehicle cameras for taxis;
- Approving an appropriate data security, passenger property return, and complaints processes for dispatchers.

Once determined by the Designated Employee, each will be set out in writing, filed with the City Clerk, and posted on the City’s website.

**HISTORY/DISCUSSION**

**Background**

On November 9, 2017, the Province of Manitoba passed Bill 30 – The Local Vehicles for Hire Act, which will dissolve the existing Taxicab Board, the Taxicab Act and associated regulations, and transition oversight and regulation of the vehicle for hire industry to the City of Winnipeg effective February 28, 2018.
A City of Winnipeg Vehicle for Hire By-law is legally required to be in effect on or before February 28, 2018. If not, the vehicle for hire industry in Winnipeg will be entirely unregulated on March 1, 2018. In order to meet this deadline, an administrative structure to renew licenses, to issue new licenses, and to make decisions concerning a variety of issues must be operating by February 1, 2018.

The Taxicab Board currently oversees approximately 2600 licensed drivers, 661 business licenses (for all vehicle for hire types), and an additional 120 seasonal taxicab licenses.

MNP LLP (MNP) carried out a comprehensive overview of the vehicle for hire industry in Winnipeg at the request of the Province, which was completed in December 2016 and is publicly available on the Province’s website: http://www.gov.mb.ca/mr/taxicab/pubs/summary_overview-of-the-taxicab-industry2016.pdf. As part of the study, extensive public and stakeholder consultations were conducted. MNP surveyed 9215 consumers online and 500 residents in a telephone survey. Study findings indicated “the public feels more supply is needed, particularly during winter months, peak periods of the day/evening, and after special events.” Due to the high response rate, recentness of the study, and clarity around public perception and desires, engagement carried out for the development of the Vehicle for Hire By-law focused on targeted stakeholder engagement rather than public engagement.

MNP was engaged by the City in August 2017 as a consultant to:

- Assist in the development of the draft By-law for regulation of the industry,
- Support stakeholder engagement processes, and
- Analyze and make recommendations for the administrative structure and requirements to enable implementation.

The report from MNP has been included under Appendix F.

Other Jurisdictions
As part of MNPs review of the industry, comparisons to other cities was undertaken by exploring legislation and regulatory frameworks, fare structures, organizational structures as well as how other jurisdictions are adapting to emerging trends and issues. The MNP report included in Appendix F includes: comparisons and lessons learned from other jurisdictions included in Appendix A of the report, as well as comparison tables to other jurisdictions from the 2016 review, included as Appendix C of the same report.

In addition, in 2016, MNP reported that: the Competition Bureau has provided guiding principles for regulating the taxicab and ride-sharing industry, including:

- Provincial and municipal governments should limit regulations to those required to achieve legitimate policy goals such as protecting passenger safety. This has been applied to elements of regulation such as driver licensing, insurance, vehicle inspections
and training. The extent of background checks and required training should not exceed what is necessary for safety and knowledgeable service.

- Care should be taken to ensure that regulations do not restrict competition and innovation any more than is necessary to achieve legitimate policy goals.
- Regulations should be applied equally to taxicabs and ride-sharing services to ensure that one does not gain a competitive advantage over the other.
- Where possible, restrictions on traditional taxicabs should be relaxed rather than imposing additional regulations on ride-sharing services.

Based on the reviews of other jurisdictions, the Winnipeg model has been based on regulatory systems operating in Edmonton and Hamilton as well as incorporating some of the existing regulations of the Taxicab Board.

**By-Law**

The new Vehicle for Hire By-law aims to:

- Transition the regulation of vehicles for hire (taxicabs, accessible transport vehicles, and limousines) from the Province to the City,
- Enable the operation of Personal Transportation Providers (PTPs) such as Lyft and Uber,
- Modernize the regulations and streamline administration of vehicles for hire,
- Exclude any regulations already covered in other existing By-laws, laws or regulations so as to avoid unnecessary duplication, and
- Increase competition and allow the market to improve service for passengers.

**Approach**

The new Vehicle for Hire By-law establishes regulatory requirements to accomplish objectives in the following four categories:

1. Passenger Safety
2. Driver Safety
3. Consumer Protection
4. Accessibility for all Citizens

Other key elements of the By-law will include processes that promote administrative efficiency, a simplified licensing framework, and continued supply management of taxi licenses through a transparent process.

**Major Changes to Vehicle for Hire Regulations**

The draft By-law modernizes the vehicle for hire industry, and is designed to be non-intrusive so that competition can influence how the industry evolves and innovates. The proposed By-law
creates a regulatory environment that encourages industry participants to find innovative ways to improve service for passengers.

The following are major changes from the current Provincial regulations of the vehicle for hire industry to the new City of Winnipeg By-law regulating the vehicle for hire Industry as well as highlights:

**Licenses**

- There are three types of licenses, some with multiple categories:
  1. Dispatcher (new license category)
     a. Taxi
     b. Personal Transportation Provider (PTP)
  2. Vehicle (previously a Business License)
     a. Taxi Vehicle (accessible)
     b. Taxi Vehicle (standard)
  3. Driver
     a. Taxi Driver (accessible)
     b. Taxi Driver (standard)

- In addition to the above licenses, the following vehicle classes are regulated, but not licensed:
  1. PTP Vehicle (accessible)
  2. PTP Vehicle (standard)
  3. PTP Vehicle (limousine)

**Dispatchers**

- PTPs (such as Lyft and Uber) will be permitted to operate in Winnipeg.
- All vehicles for hire will be required to be registered with a Dispatcher.
- All vehicle for hire Dispatchers will be licensed.
- Dispatchers will be required to ensure ongoing compliance with vehicle safety and driver screening standards so passenger and driver safety is maintained.
- Dispatchers with PTP vehicles in their fleet will be required to screen and register PTP drivers and PTP vehicles to the standard of the By-law and are subject to audits.
- Dispatchers will be responsible for:
  - Ensuring timely, accurate, automated electronic trip data reporting on trip numbers, response times, and refused trips;
  - Establishing complaint resolution processes, including tracking and resolving complaints, and reporting back to the City;
  - Establishing a process to track and return lost passenger property;
  - Overseeing compliance of their fleet with all regulations; and
  - Maintaining a minimum ratio of accessible vehicles to non-accessible vehicles.

**Taxi Vehicle Licenses**
• Current taxi license holders who are permitted to transfer their licenses will be allowed to continue to do so for 20 years from the effective date of the By-law, at which point the license will no longer be transferable.

• Limousines will no longer be licensed individually by the regulator (i.e. now the City); instead the company will have to register as or with a PTP dispatcher. A PTP dispatcher can restrict its operations to limousines if desired.

• Various other license categories will be folded into two categories – standard taxis and accessible taxis. For example, handicap van licenses will be issued as accessible taxi licenses if they have a meter, or will fall under the PTP category if they do not have a meter.

• The number of licenses issued annually will be determined by a formula established by Council, being that when the ratio of City of Winnipeg taxi licenses / population falls below the ratio of 1:1203, further licenses may be issued until the ratio is achieved. Further changes are subject to Council approval.

**Drivers**

• A clearly articulated standard for criminal record checks, child abuse registry checks and acceptable driving records will be included. The standards are more stringent than exists currently – a conviction for a relevant criminal offence or major driving offence within the past 10 years, or registration on the child abuse registry will disqualify drivers. Being charged with a relevant criminal offence or major driving offence could also result in temporary disqualification. Current taxi drivers would be ‘grandfathered’ so that prior criminal or driving history that did not disqualify them previously under the Taxicab Act would not be considered under the new City regulatory system. Moving forward, all drivers – both taxi drivers and PTP drivers - will have to comply with the new standards, and any new charge, conviction or registration on the child abuse registry could result in a suspension or cancellation of a license, or make a driver ineligible for a license renewal as determined through the provisions in the By-law.

**Accessibility**

For the purposes of the Vehicles for Hire By-law, accessible vehicles are those in which individuals can be transported while remaining in their wheelchairs or similar devices (i.e. roll on, roll-off service). In order to improve accessible transportation availability for those who require it, the following policies are included in the proposed By-law:

• A minimum ratio of accessible vehicles will be encouraged through a surcharge mechanism;

• An Accessibility Surcharge will be applied to all licensed Dispatchers on a per trip basis when applicable;

• The Accessibility Surcharge is applicable to a licensed Dispatcher if less than 10% of a Dispatcher’s fleet is comprised of accessible (wheelchair roll-on) vehicles demonstrated
to be in operation each month. (In order to qualify, an accessible vehicle must provide accessible service at least one day per week);

- Clients requiring accessible vehicles must be served on a priority basis by accessible vehicles; and
- Differentiation on fares to be charged for accessible service will be based on whether the service is door to door vs. curb to curb. Higher maximum fares can be charged for door to door service, while standard maximum taxi fares will apply when the service is curb to curb.

**Fares**

- Taxi-fare regulation will include a maximum fare only. Current fares are lower than other cities, so the maximum fare has been increased to allow the industry to better recover costs that have increased since 2010, including the proposed fee increases with this By-law. Removing the minimum fare will allow taxis to compete on price through negotiation with customers should they so choose.
- Future adjustments to the maximum taxi fare will be based on a Taxi Cost Index (TCI), and will be reviewed every two years. The TCI is a weighted index that considers three categories: fuel and insurance, labour, and general expenses. Each category is evaluated based on published data (e.g. Statistics Canada, Consumer Price Index (CPI)) or the source (e.g. MPI for insurance).

**Other**

- Customer service, cleanliness, and aesthetic standards will no longer be regulated. Increased market competition is expected to increase consumer choice, and encourage the market to improve customer service.
- The By-law provides the ‘Designated Employee’, who is assigned responsibility by the Chief Administrative Officer to administer and enforce the By-law, with extensive powers. Decisions of the Designated Employee can be appealed to the Vehicle for Hire Appeal Board.
- The By-law will be enforced through penalties for non-compliance under the Municipal By-law Enforcement Act. The penalties are included in Schedule A of the By-law.
- In addition, the Designated Employee will have the authority to impose penalties on licence holders, including suspending or cancelling a licence.
- Insurance requirements for taxi vehicles as well as PTP vehicles will be determined by Manitoba Public Insurance.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1: Sample Regulatory Items for Vehicle Classes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Passenger Safety</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pillar</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Driver Safety

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Feature</th>
<th>Y</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Y</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>In-Car Camera</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Driver shield and strobe light</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Passenger information/ID</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prepayment</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Y*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Consumer Protection

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Feature</th>
<th>Y***</th>
<th>Y***</th>
<th>Y***</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Insurance coverage minimum</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum taxi fares</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>n/a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Predetermined fares</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Detailed receipts</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GPS tracking</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Return of passenger property</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reporting of detailed trip data</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Requirement to pre-arrange trips</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Accessibility

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Feature</th>
<th>Y</th>
<th>Y</th>
<th>Y</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fleet ratio / driver ratio for accessible (wheelchair roll-on) service</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accessibility Surcharge</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Requirement to serve accessible client first (accessible fleet / driver)</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Specialized training</td>
<td>Y***</td>
<td>TBD</td>
<td>TBD</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Fees

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Feature</th>
<th>Y</th>
<th>Y</th>
<th>Y</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dispatcher License (The fee will increase based on the size of the fleet)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Taxi Vehicle License</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Taxi Driver License</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Per trip charge / fee</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Other

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Feature</th>
<th>Y****</th>
<th>n/a</th>
<th>n/a</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Transferability of vehicle license</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Limit on number of vehicle licences</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Street Hails and use of Taxi Stands</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Prepayment agreement in place, option for passenger to pay cash.
**Applies to the portion of fleet or drivers who provide accessible service.
***Will be determined by Manitoba Public Insurance
****Only current licences remain transferable for 20 years

### Fee Structure

Fees have been established based on the goal of recovery of at least the City's full costs for administration, regulation, and enforcement. Under The Taxicab Board, the fees charged did not cover the costs of operating the Board, with a net loss budgeted in 2015/2016 of $468,000. The result of utilizing a cost recovery model is that the fees proposed in this Report represent an increase over existing fees. However, increases in the maximum fares have also been recommended, which can be charged to customers to enable a recovery of the additional costs from the customer.

Fees will be charged for:
• Dispatchers
  o Licence fee to be based on fleet size
  o Per trip fee
  o Accessibility surcharge (see additional information below)

• Taxi Vehicle: annual license fee
• Taxi Driver: annual license fee

A complete fee structure is included in Appendix B.

It should be noted that the license fees for accessible vehicles and drivers are significantly lower than for standard vehicles and drivers. This is in recognition of the higher costs associated with purchasing accessible vehicles and the additional training required of accessible vehicle drivers.

The accessibility surcharge being proposed is to encourage the industry to make available more accessible vehicles. An accessibility surcharge will be charged on every trip (accessible and non-accessible trips) during the month when less than 10% of all registered vehicles for hire of a dispatcher were accessible vehicles for hire demonstrated to have provided transportation services during the month. To count as an accessible vehicle for the purposes of determining the applicability of the accessibility surcharge, the dispatcher must demonstrate that an accessible vehicle provided multiple trips on at least one day per week in the month, was occupied on those days by a driver qualified to provide accessible transportation services, and was available on those days for dispatch to individuals who required accessible transportation services. Records of trips by dispatchers will include the identification of the vehicle type as being accessible or standard and will be submitted. The trip records will be used to verify that vehicles being counted in the number of accessible vehicles providing service were actually performing trips.

In addition, the City of Winnipeg’s existing Taxicab Licence Fee By-Law No. 6123/93, which charged a business license per taxicab or booking office, is being repealed and replaced by the new fee structure for licensing.

Penalties
The Taxicab Board currently has the authority to impose penalties of up to $1000 – an authority that is independent of any prosecution or enforcement of the Act or regulations. However, the Province did not grant this authority to the City when devolving to the City responsibility for vehicles for hire. This report is recommending that the Province be asked to consider providing the City with the authority to assess fines and penalties as part of the vehicle for hire program. Currently, the only financial penalty that can be imposed for By-law contraventions must come through the formal enforcement process. The proposed Vehicle for Hire By-law contains a schedule of fines to be enforced through the Municipal By-law Enforcement Act.

Taxi Vehicle Licenses Supply Cap
In the report prepared by MNP on the taxi industry, an evaluation of the supply of taxi licenses to the population was undertaken, which included public and stakeholder feedback as well as comparisons across Canada. The feedback indicated that there is not a sufficient supply of taxis in Winnipeg.

The following are the ratios of taxis to population reported by MNP in 2016.
The ratio for Winnipeg does not include the months of November – March when 120 additional seasonal licenses are issued. Using the 2016 census population and including the seasonal licenses, the ratio becomes 1:1203. The recommended initial ratio is 1:1203 which means an additional 120 non-transferrable licenses can be issued immediately, and as the population increases new non-transferrable licenses will be issued. Seasonal licenses will no longer be issued. The industry will now have more flexibility to adjust vehicles in use at any time based on demand. The previous Act and Regulations required that a vehicle could only be out of use for 30 days or less.

This ratio will be reviewed by the Public Service in 24 months to assess the impact that Personal Transportation Providers have had on the market and approval will be obtained to adjust the ratio as necessary.

Establishing a taxi-to-population ratio to determine the number of licenses to be issued and available in the market provides a transparent and predictable supply of licenses to the public.

Priority for new licenses will be given to accessible vehicles, which is based on information from the MNP report that indicates roughly 15.6% of Manitobans deal with barriers to accessibility. The population of persons who require accessible services is expected to grow, creating a higher demand for accessible taxi service. Currently, accessible taxi licenses make up 12% of total taxi licenses.

New licenses will be issued based on a priority list established through an initial lottery of applicants.

**Maximum Taxi Fares**

The Vehicles for Hire By-Law will only regulate a maximum fare. Passengers and drivers may negotiate a lower fare. The meter must always be running to ensure the passenger will be charged less than the maximum, even when a fixed rate has been negotiated.

The last increase to taxi fares in Winnipeg was made in 2010. MNP undertook an analysis of the Taxi Cost Index (TCI) for Winnipeg to assess changes in cost factors that impact the taxi industry. This index considers fuel, labour and general Consumer Price Index (CPI).

MNP’s assessment is that the TCI for Winnipeg has increased by approximately 14% since 2010. Therefore a fare increase of approximately 14% is supported. Additional information on the calculation of the TCI is available in the MNP report (Appendix B) in Appendix F of this report.

In addition, the City will be requiring the payment of increased license and per trip fees from the Dispatchers which ultimately must be recovered from the customer.
Future adjustments to the maximum taxi fare will be based on the TCI, reviewed every two years by the Public Service. Any proposed increase for 2020 will be brought back to Council with future requirements for Council approval to be considered at that time.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Current</th>
<th>Proposed</th>
<th>% increase</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Basic charge (drop fee)</td>
<td>$3.50</td>
<td>$3.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rate per 1 km</td>
<td>$1.38</td>
<td>$1.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rate per 1 minute waiting</td>
<td>$0.46</td>
<td>$0.52</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Proposed Maximum Fares are included in Appendix C, which includes separate fees for airport service, as well as accessible service for door to door vs. curb to curb.

Impact to customer based on a 5 km trip will be $1.35, or 13% as follows:
Existing cost: $3.50 basic charge + $1.38 per km x 5 km = $10.40 total cost for the trip.
New cost: $3.75 basic charge + $1.60 per km x 5 km = $11.75 total cost for the trip.

**Vehicles for Hire Appeal Board**

The City of Winnipeg Charter provides that all orders or decisions of a City employee are subject to an appeal. Administering and enforcing the Vehicle for Hire By-law will involve numerous appealable orders and decisions, and accordingly, a mechanism to enable appeals to be heard is necessary.

The By-law provides the authority for an appeal body of five (5) members, with the members being appointed by Council. The Vehicles for Hire Appeal Board would meet on a frequency to be determined by the Chair, who would be appointed by Council.

As required by The City of Winnipeg Charter, the By-law establishes remuneration for members of the appeal board who are not members of Council. The remuneration established is identical to that established for the Winnipeg Police Board.

As By-law enforcement will begin March 1, 2018 applications for membership on the Vehicles for Hire Appeal Board should be undertaken as soon as possible, with a report to be submitted to Council to seek approval for members as well as term length thereafter in accordance with the Vehicle for Hire By-law.

**Administrative Structure**

As part of its engagement, MNP provided analysis of the administrative structure required by the City, along with providing a recommendation on the best departmental fit.

The three main areas of administration required with this new service area are: Licensing, Enforcement, and Support. Three departments were looked at to assess fit including:
- Community Services as this department currently undertakes Business Licensing and By-law enforcement,
- Winnipeg Transit as this department currently undertakes limited By-law enforcement and operates the Handi-Transit service which has some similarities with the vehicle for hire industry, and
- Winnipeg Parking Authority as this department has an established permitting process and undertakes 24/7 on-street By-law enforcement.
MNP concluded that the administration and enforcement fits best with the Winnipeg Parking Authority (WPA). On this basis, this Report recommends authority for WPA to have the appropriate authority and budgetary resources to undertake this new service area. The compatibility with WPA will be assessed two years after implementation to determine if the vehicle for hire industry administration and enforcement will remain with the department, or transition to a stand-alone Special Operating Agency (SOA) or alternate department.

Discussion by the Public Service with the Manitoba Taxicab Board staff and agencies in other cities responsible for administration of vehicles for hire has indicated that there is often a higher level of disputes relating to enforcement than found with other By-laws. With the implementation of the MBEA for other City of Winnipeg By-laws in November, screening services is already projected to be operating at or above capacity by the implementation date of the Vehicle for Hire By-law in early 2018. As a result, the WPA anticipates that an increase to the number of Screening Officers and supporting resources, particularly office space and IT, assigned to screening services – beyond the additional position proposed for 2019 - may be required. Without a sense of the volume of requests for screening and adjudication the anticipated number of screening officers and associated supporting resources that will be needed cannot be accurately forecasted and, as such, the projected costs are not outlined in this report.

The full-time equivalents (FTEs) required both on a permanent and temporary basis assuming the administration and enforcement remain with WPA are presented in Appendix D. Should the responsibility for this service area transition to a new SOA or alternative department in the future, the permanent FTE resources included in this report would transition to the new department.

**Information sharing agreement with MPI**
Currently, the Taxicab Board works closely with MPI in enforcing the Taxicab Act. For example, MPI advises the Board whenever a licensed taxicab driver’s license is suspended. This allows the Taxicab Board to act quickly to enforce its rules on drivers and vehicle owners. In order to enforce the Vehicles for Hire By-law, similar information sharing will be necessary.

In addition, although it currently has an information sharing agreement with MPI for the enforcement of parking offences, the City requires a revised and updated agreement in order to properly administer new responsibilities given to the City by the Province under The Municipal By-law Enforcement Act and The Provincial Offences Act for enforcement of other City By-laws as well. For example, the Province has made the City responsible for collecting fines owed for violations of By-law offences but has not given the City the powers it enjoys to carry out this function. Driver and vehicle information from MPI is required for issuing tickets as well as collecting outstanding fines, among other things.

**Stakeholder Engagement**
The Office of Public Engagement coordinated and facilitated stakeholder engagement focus group sessions and meetings in September, October, and November 2017, with the following groups:
Focus Groups
- Accessibility Interest Groups and Accessible Taxicab Owners
- Equitability Interest Groups
- Limousine and Executive Car Companies
- Related Industries (e.g. Winnipeg Chamber of Commerce, Tourism Winnipeg, Manitoba Hotel & Restaurant Association)
- Taxicab Dispatchers
- Taxicab Drivers
- Taxicab Owners

Meetings
- Disabilities Issues Office (Province of Manitoba)
- Green Action Centre
- Ikwe Rides - Women Helping Women (safe-ride non-profit group)
- Independent Living Resource Centre (training provider)
- Manitoba Public Insurance
- Oshki Annishinabe Nigaaniwak partners
- St. Amant Centre (contract holder)
- St. James - Assiniboia School Division (training provider)
- Taxicab Board Members and Administration (Province of Manitoba)
- Uber Canada
- Wheelchair Service of Manitoba and Vital Transit (handicab owners)
- Winnipeg Airports Authority (contract holder)
- Winnipeg Regional Health Authority (contract holder)

Internal City of Winnipeg Stakeholders
- 311
- Community By-law Enforcement Services
- Corporate Information Systems
- Corporate Information Systems (Open Data)
- Handi-Transit
- Indigenous Relations Division
- Legal Services
- Risk Management Division (Insurance)
- Transit
- Winnipeg Police Service

Stakeholder Working Group
- Winnipeg Community Taxi Coalition
- Taxicab driver representative
- Taxicab owner representative
- Accessibility group representative
- Equitability group representative
- Related industry group representative
- Transportation Network Company representative
- Indigenous partners representative
• Environmental group representative

Written submissions from stakeholders were also encouraged and notes were distributed to attendees for their review and additions. Input and feedback provided by stakeholders during focus groups and meetings was compiled and considered during the drafting of the Vehicle for Hire By-law. The Stakeholder Engagement Report is included as Appendix G to the report. Feedback from stakeholders was invaluable to shaping the Vehicle for Hire By-law, and although not all feedback was incorporated into the By-law, the input provided was carefully considered and stakeholder perspectives were highly appreciated. It is envisioned that future changes to the By-law will be necessary and further stakeholder engagement will continue as we move forward.

**Future Steps**

The intent is to review the By-law after two years in order to make any required modifications. Public and stakeholder input will be solicited to assist with the review through the formation of a vehicle for hire advisory committee and ongoing analysis of customer feedback. The advisory committee will be established by the Winnipeg Parking Authority in 2018 after the initial transition from the Province to the City for all license holders is complete and processes have been well established.
Financial Impact Statement

Date: November 30, 2017

Project Name: First Year of Program 2018
Transition of the Regulation of Vehicles for Hire from the Province of Manitoba to the City of Winnipeg

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2018</th>
<th>2019</th>
<th>2020</th>
<th>2021</th>
<th>2022</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Capital</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capital Expenditures Required</td>
<td>$-</td>
<td>$-</td>
<td>$-</td>
<td>$-</td>
<td>$-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Less: Existing Budgeted Costs</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Additional Capital Budget Required</td>
<td>$-</td>
<td>$-</td>
<td>$-</td>
<td>$-</td>
<td>$-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Funding Sources:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Debt - Internal</td>
<td>$-</td>
<td>$-</td>
<td>$-</td>
<td>$-</td>
<td>$-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Debt - External</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grants (Enter Description Here)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reserves, Equity, Surplus</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other - Enter Description Here</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Funding</td>
<td>$-</td>
<td>$-</td>
<td>$-</td>
<td>$-</td>
<td>$-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Additional Capital Budget Required</td>
<td>$-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Additional Debt Required</td>
<td>$-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current Expenditures/Revenues</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Direct Costs</td>
<td>$1,299,000</td>
<td>$1,544,000</td>
<td>$1,544,000</td>
<td>$1,574,880</td>
<td>$1,606,378</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Less: Incremental Revenue/Recovery</td>
<td>$1,299,000</td>
<td>$1,544,000</td>
<td>$1,544,000</td>
<td>$1,574,880</td>
<td>$1,606,378</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net Cost/(Benefit)</td>
<td>$-</td>
<td>$-</td>
<td>$-</td>
<td>$-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Less: Existing Budget Amounts</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net Budget Adjustment Required</td>
<td>$-</td>
<td>$-</td>
<td>$-</td>
<td>$-</td>
<td>$-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Additional Comments:
1. Direct costs reflect the current estimate of costs of establishing a vehicles for hire office within the Winnipeg Parking Authority.
2. Incremental Revenues reflects the current estimate of licence and per trip fees to be charged to dispatchers, vehicle owners and drivers as more fully described in Appendix B.
3. Additional details on estimated revenues and expenses is presented in Appendix E.
4. As the industry adapts to the introduction of Personal Transportation Providers, changes to the underlying assumptions to the revenues is anticipated and therefore actual revenues earned may be higher or lower.

Original Signed by
Tanis Yanchishyn
Manager of Finance (Campus)
Corporate Finance Department
CONSULTATION

See list of stakeholder consultations in the main body of this Administrative Report, or the attached Stakeholder Engagement Report.

OURWINNIPEG POLICY ALIGNMENT

These changes are aligned with the key goals of the City of Winnipeg 2011 Transportation Master Plan (a part of the OurWinnipeg framework):

“A key underlying goal of the transportation plan is to expand the range of travel options that are available to residents, workers and visitors, and to ensure that people are not dependent on one single mode. Expanded travel choice creates countless community benefits.”

SUBMITTED BY

Department: Chief Administration Office
Prepared by: D. Wardrop
Date: November 30, 2017

Attachments:
- Appendix A - Vehicle for Hire By-law
- Appendix B – Fee Structure
- Appendix C – Fares
- Appendix D – Full Time Equivalents
- Appendix E – Budgetary Requirements
- Appendix F – MNP Report
- Appendix G - Stakeholder Engagement Report
THE CITY OF WINNIPEG

BY-LAW NO. 017/2017

A By-law of THE CITY OF WINNIPEG to provide for the regulation of vehicles for hire in the city of Winnipeg.

WHEREAS Bill 30 of the 2nd Session of the 41st Legislature of the Province of Manitoba, The Local Vehicles for Hire Act, C.C.S.M. c. L195, has been enacted and will come into force on February 28, 2018;

AND WHEREAS The Local Vehicles for Hire Act dissolves the Taxicab Board and requires that the City of Winnipeg must make by-laws for the purpose of regulating the vehicle for hire industry, including vehicles for and vehicle for hire businesses;

AND WHEREAS The City of Winnipeg Charter grants the City authority to licence businesses and the activities of businesses;

NOW THEREFORE THE CITY OF WINNIPEG, in Council assembled, enacts as follows:

PART 1

INTRODUCTORY PROVISIONS

Short title
1 This By-law may be cited as the “Vehicles for Hire By-law”.

Categories of regulated dispatchers, vehicles and drivers
2(1) This By-law regulates:
   (a) dispatchers of vehicles for hire;
   (b) vehicles for hire; and
   (c) drivers of vehicles for hire.

2(2) Vehicles for hire are divided into the following categories:
   (a) Taxis, consisting of standard taxis and accessible taxis; and
   (b) Personal Transportation Provider (PTP) vehicles, consisting of standard PTP vehicles, accessible PTP vehicles, and limousines.

Definitions
3(1) In this By-law
“accessible” in respect of a vehicle, means a vehicle

(a) constructed and equipped to permit the loading, transportation and off-loading of individuals confined to a wheelchair, or similar device used to assist disabled persons, without transfer; and

(b) driven by an individual who is physically capable of providing, and trained to provide in compliance with the requirements of this By-law, transportation services to an individual confined to a wheelchair, or similar device used to assist disabled persons, without transfer;

“accessible PTP vehicle” means a vehicle for hire that is accessible and that is dispatched by a licenced PTP dispatcher;

“accessible taxi” means a vehicle for hire in respect of which an accessible taxi licence has been issued under this By-law;

“accessible taxi driver’s licence” means licence issued under this By-law which authorizes an individual to operate and to provide, or offer to provide, transportation services by way of an accessible taxi;

“accessible taxi licence” means a licence issued in respect of an accessible taxi;

“charges” in relation to a relevant criminal offence or a major driving offence means the initiation of law enforcement proceedings

(a) in the case of an enactment of the Parliament of Canada, by way of an information laid by a peace officer before, and received by, a justice; or

(b) in the case of an offence under an enactment of Manitoba, a proceeding under The Provincial Offences Act, C.C.S.M. c. P160 by information or ticket;


"child abuse registry check" means a report about a person’s listing in the child abuse registry established and maintained under The Child and Family Services Act, C.C.S.M. c. C80;

“City” means The City of Winnipeg continued under section 8 of the Charter;

“city” means the geographical area within the jurisdictional boundaries of the City of Winnipeg;

“Council” means the council of the City;
“Criminal record check” means a report about a person obtained from a law enforcement agency stating whether or not the person has been convicted under an enactment of the Parliament of Canada of an offence in respect of which a record of the person’s fingerprints is maintained under the Identification of Criminals Act, R.S.C., 1985, c. I-1, or has any outstanding charges for such offences awaiting court disposition, and includes a Vulnerable Persons Sector Search;

“designated employee” means the City employee whom the Chief Administrative Officer has designated as responsible for exercising the authority given to the designated employee by this By-law, and may be the Chief Administrative Officer;

“digital payment” means payment through a dispatcher’s digital platform;

“digital platform” includes an on-line enabled application and a website but does not include communication by telephone, e-mail or SMS text messages;

“disabled person” means an individual with a physical, mental, intellectual or sensory disability, or a combination of these;

“dispatch” means the act of receiving a request for a transportation service or sending a vehicle for hire to a location for the purpose of providing or offering to provide a transportation service to a passenger and includes:

(a) Receiving requests for transportation services from passengers by any medium, except street hails;

(b) Directing a person driving a vehicle for hire to attend at the passenger’s location;

(c) Operating any part of a platform that receives requests for transportation services from passengers and connects such requests to a person driving a vehicle for hire; and

(d) Any other action that results in a vehicle for hire being sent to a passenger’s location for the purpose of providing the passenger with transportation services, regardless of whether transportation services are actually provided to the passenger;

“dispatcher” means a person who dispatches one or more vehicles for hire and who holds a dispatcher licence issued under this By-law;

“dispatcher licence” means a taxi dispatcher licence and a PTP dispatcher licence issued under this By-law;

“enforcement officer” means

(a) The designated employee;
(b) Every person designated under subsection 176(1) of the Charter as an enforcement officer for the purposes of this By-law; and

(c) Every person appointed under subsection 176(2) of the Charter as a special constable who, by the terms of the appointment, is authorized to enforce this By-law;

“fare” means compensation for the provision of a transportation service;

“fare schedule” means a schedule of maximum fares that are permitted to be charged to passengers of taxis which has been approved by Council or pursuant to a formula established by Council;

“GPS” means any global positioning system;

“limousine” means a vehicle determined by the designated employee to qualify as a luxury or specialized vehicle that provides transportation services;

“major driving offence” means an offence set out in subsection 125(6) of The Drivers and Vehicles Act, C.C.S.M. c. D104;

“Manitoba Public Insurance” means the Manitoba Public Insurance Corporation continued by The Manitoba Public Insurance Corporation Act, C.C.S.M. c. P215;

“non-digital platform” means a platform that is not a digital platform;

“owner”, in respect of a vehicle, means the person who is registered with Manitoba Public Insurance as a registered owner of the vehicle and includes a lessee of a vehicle who is so registered;

“PTP” means personal transportation provider;

“PTP dispatcher” means a person who dispatches a PTP vehicle;

“PTP driver” means an individual providing or offering to provide transportation services in a PTP vehicle, and includes a standard PTP driver, an accessible PTP driver and a limousine driver;

“PTP vehicle” means a vehicle for hire that is not a taxi, and includes a limousine;

“passenger” includes a prospective passenger;

“person” includes an individual, a partnership, and a corporation (including a cooperative);
“personal information” has the same meaning as in *The Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act*, C.C.S.M. c. F175;

“platform” means any means of communication by which transportation services are offered to the public and includes an on-line enabled application, a website, e-mail, SMS text message, telephone, or any other system or mode of communication offered, used or operated that is used to pre-arrange a transportation service;

“pre-arranged” in respect of a transportation service, means a transportation service that is arranged, booked, scheduled or requested by the passenger in advance of the vehicle for hire arriving at the passenger’s location;

“Provincial driver’s licence” means a driver’s licence issued under *The Drivers and Vehicles Act*, C.C.S.M. c. D104 that is applicable to the vehicle for hire being driven by the individual;

“Provincial registration” means a vehicle registration under *The Drivers and Vehicles Act*, C.C.S.M. c. D104;

“relevant criminal offence” means an offence under an enactment of the Parliament of Canada involving

(a) An offence involving actual or threatened violence;

(b) An offence involving weapons, including the illegal possession of weapons;

(c) An offence involving sexual assault, sexual exploitation, sexual interference, procuring, or invitation to sexual touching;

(d) An offence involving trafficking of controlled drugs or substances;

(e) An offence involving fraud, false pretences, bribery, extortion or theft over $5000; or

(f) An offence related to the unlawful operation of a motor vehicle;

“standard taxi” means a taxi that is not an accessible taxi;

“street hail” means the offer of, solicitation of, or acceptance of an offer to provide, a transportation service, or the provision of a transportation service, that is not pre-arranged;

“taxi” means a vehicle for hire in respect of which a standard taxi licence or an accessible taxi licence has been issued;
“taxi dispatcher” means a person who holds a taxi dispatcher licence;

“taxi dispatcher licence” means a taxi dispatcher licence issued under this By-law which authorizes the licence holder to dispatch taxis;

“taxi driver” means an individual to whom a taxi driver licence has been issued under this By-law;

“taxi driver’s licence” means a standard taxi driver’s licence and an accessible taxi driver’s licence issued under this By-law;

“transportation service” means the provision, or the offer to provide, transportation of a passenger for compensation in which

(a) the passenger controls the route or the destination; and

(b) the vehicle is hired for a single uninterrupted engagement, which may include multiple stops;

but does not include

(c) the transportation of a passenger in exchange for a receipted donation to a non-share capital corporation so long as the transportation is provided whether or not the donation is provided or offered;

(d) transportation of a passenger provided or offered as part of package of goods or services for which there is a fee or charge so long as the transportation of a passenger is shown by the owner or driver of the vehicle to be merely ancillary to the provision of the goods or services;

(e) transportation in vehicles regulated by the Motor Transport Board;

(f) a shuttle service in which transportation is provided based on a pre-determined schedule or route;

(g) transportation of students by vehicles owned or operated by or on behalf of a school division established under The Public Schools Act, C.C.S.M. c. P250, or a private school as defined in The Education Administration Act, C.C.S.M. c. E10;

(h) the provision of transportation by vehicles owned or operated by or on behalf of the City to provide transportation to residents of the city, including Winnipeg Transit vehicles; or

(i) the transportation of a patient by ambulance;
“valid” in the context of a licence issued under this By-law means a licence that has not been revoked, cancelled or expired and is not suspended;

“vehicle for hire” means any vehicle in which transportation services are provided and includes, but is not limited to, the following:

(a) a taxi;
(b) an accessible taxi;
(c) a PTP vehicle;
(d) an accessible PTP vehicle; and
(e) a limousine;

“Vehicle for Hire Appeal Board” means the Vehicle for Hire Appeal Board created by this By-law.

General prohibitions
4(1) A person must not provide, or offer to provide, transportation services except in compliance with this By-law.

4(2) The owner of a vehicle must not allow it to be used, or to be offered to be used, to provide transportation services except in compliance with this by-law.

4(3) A person must not dispatch a vehicle except in compliance with this By-law.

Failing to pay fare prohibited
5(1) A person who fails to pay a fare validly charged under this By-law commits an offence.

PART 2
DISPATCHERS

DIVISION 1 - ALL DISPATCHERS

Dispatching without licence prohibited
6 A person who is not a licenced dispatcher under this By-law must not dispatch, or offer to dispatch, a vehicle for hire.

Dispatching unregistered or unlicenced drivers or vehicles prohibited
7 A person must not dispatch a vehicle for hire unless both the vehicle being dispatched and the driver of the vehicle are

(a) In compliance with the requirements set out in this By-law,
(b) registered with the dispatcher; and

(c) in the case of a taxi being dispatched, licenced under this By-law.

**Qualifications for dispatch licence**

8(1) In order to be issued a dispatcher licence, an applicant must

(a) pay the applicable application fee or licence fee to the City;

(b) provide evidence satisfactory to the designated employee that the applicant holds policies of insurance consistent with the requirements set out in this By-law;

(c) demonstrate to the satisfaction of the designated employee that the applicant has data security measures and policies in place to protect the personal information collected by the dispatcher related to drivers and passengers;

(d) demonstrate to the satisfaction of the designated employee that the dispatcher has in place a process by which it will accept, record, review and respond to complaints concerning drivers and vehicles registered with the dispatcher;

(e) demonstrate to the satisfaction of the designated employee that the dispatcher has in place a process by which it will facilitate the retrieval by passengers of their property left in vehicles for hire registered with the dispatcher;

(f) provide to the designated employee the number of vehicles for hire registered with the dispatcher in each of the following categories:

(i) taxis;

(ii) accessible taxis;

(iii) PTP vehicles;

(iv) accessible PTP vehicles; and

(v) limousines; and

(g) provide other information or documentation reasonably required by the designated employee.

8(2) In addition to the requirements in subsection (1), an applicant that is a corporation must provide to the designated employee the following:

(a) a copy of its incorporating documents;
(b) a Certificate of Status issued by the Companies Office;

(c) a list of the members of its board of directors;

(d) an address for service in the Province of Manitoba for service of all documents and notices related to this By-law; and

(e) other documents reasonably required by the designated employee.

8(3) In addition to the requirements in subsection (1), an applicant that is a partnership must provide to the designated employee

(a) the names and addresses of each member of the partnership;

(b) the business name under which it operates and proof of the registration of its business name;

(c) an address for service in the Province of Manitoba for service of all documents and notices related to this By-law; and

(d) other documents reasonably required by the designated employee.

Renewal of dispatcher licence

9 In order to be issued a renewal of a dispatcher licence, the licence holder

(a) Must pay the applicable renewal fee;

(b) must provide information concerning any factual changes to information provided at the time of its application or most recent renewal and, where required by the designated employee, evidence that changes have not taken place; and

(c) Must not owe any outstanding fines or fees with respect to this By-law (including per-trip fees) or any City parking by-law.

Data security measures and policies

10(1) A licenced dispatcher must comply with data security measures and policies for personal information about passengers and drivers proposed by the licenced dispatcher and approved as satisfactory by the designated employee.

10(2) A licenced dispatcher may propose changes to its data security measures and policies at any time but these changes do not apply until and unless they are approved by the designated employee.
10(3) The designated employee may order that a licenced dispatcher make changes to its data security measures and policies and, when doing so, must provide a reasonable time for compliance with the order. The licenced dispatcher must comply with such an order.

10(4) This section does not apply to a dispatcher who is operating under a provisional licence issued under clause 73(2)(g) (Powers of designated employee).

**Passenger property retrieval policy**

11(1) A licenced dispatcher must comply with a process proposed by the dispatcher and approved as satisfactory by the designated employee by which passengers can retrieve their property left in vehicles for hire registered with the dispatcher.

11(2) A licenced dispatcher may propose changes to its passenger property retrieval policy at any time but these changes do not apply until and unless they are approved by the designated employee.

11(3) The designated employee may order that a licenced dispatcher make changes to its passenger property retrieval policy and, when doing so, must provide a reasonable time for compliance with the order. The licenced dispatcher must comply with such an order.

**Complaints Process**

12(1) A dispatcher must comply with a process proposed by the dispatcher and approved as satisfactory by the designated employee for accepting, recording, reviewing and responding to complaints.

12(2) As part of its complaints process, a dispatcher must maintain records required by the designated employee concerning

   (a) every complaint received;

   (b) evidence provided in respect of or in response to a complaint; and

   (c) the response of the dispatcher to the complaint;

and must make these records available to the designated employee within 48 hours upon request.

12(3) A licenced dispatcher may propose changes to its complaints process at any time but these changes do not apply until and unless they are approved by the designated employee.

12(4) The designated employee may order that a licenced dispatcher make changes to its complaints process and, when doing so, must provide a reasonable time for compliance with the order. The licenced dispatcher must comply with such an order.
12(5) This section does not apply to a dispatcher who is operating under a provisional licence issued under clause 73(2)(g).

Dispatcher insurance mandatory
13(1) It is a condition of being issued and maintaining a licence that a dispatcher must obtain and maintain policies of commercial general liability insurance and non-owned automobile insurance in an amount and with provisions determined by the designated employee to be sufficient to adequately protect the City, drivers, vehicle for hire owners, and the general public.

13(2) The insurance required by subsection (1) must contain an endorsement to provide the City no less than 30 days' prior written notice from the insurer of any cancellation.

13(3) Failure to comply with this section invalidates the dispatcher's licence without the need for any action on the part of the designated employee. Once the dispatcher's licence has been invalidated, the dispatcher must not engage in dispatching until the dispatcher has applied for and been issued a new licence.

13(4) A dispatcher must provide proof of compliance with this section to the designated employee within two business days after being given a written order to do so.

Dispatcher records
14(1) Dispatchers must create and maintain records of the following information, separated by taxis and PTP vehicles, by taxi drivers and PTP drivers, and, in the case of taxis and limousines, separated by whether they have been dispatched or street hailed, in a format required by the designated employee:

(a) The total number of transportation services provided by the dispatcher on a monthly basis;

(b) The total number of transportation services provided by accessible vehicles for hire on a monthly basis;

(c) The total number of vehicles for hire providing a transportation service through the dispatcher over the course of a month;

(d) The total number of accessible vehicles for hire providing a transportation service through the dispatcher over the course of a month;

(e) The driver and vehicle involved in providing each transportation service, including:

   (i) The type of vehicle by by-law category;

   (ii) The name of the driver;
(iii) The Provincial licence plate number of the vehicle;

(iv) The date, time and duration of the transportation service;

(v) The pick-up and drop off locations;

(vi) The elapsed time between a request for an transportation service (other than a transportation service scheduled in advance) and the initiation of the transportation service;

(f) A daily list of registered vehicles and registered drivers containing information reasonably required by the designated employee;

(g) Other information and records reasonably required by the designated employee.

14(2) The information required by subsection (1) and all records related to registered drivers and registered vehicles must be kept by the dispatcher for at least three years after the date they were created.

14(3) The dispatcher must provide the information required by this section to the designated employee within two business days after a written request by the designated employee.

14(4) If a dispatcher’s licence is suspended, invalidated or cancelled, the information required by this section must be provided to the designated employee immediately.

14(5) The dispatcher must make the information required by this section available for inspection by the designated employee or an enforcement officer upon demand.

14(6) This section does not apply to a dispatcher while operating under a provisional licence issued under clause 73(2)(g).

Obligation to report to designated employee

15 A dispatcher must report the following information to the designated employee within 24 hours:

(a) The fact that a driver has been registered or ceases to be registered with the dispatcher;

(b) Information concerning convictions and charges reported to the dispatcher by the driver under subsection 46(2) (Rules for all vehicle for hire drivers) of this By-law.

Public information

16 A dispatcher who uses a digital platform to dispatch must make available the following information to the public through the digital platform:
(a) The insurance coverage maintained by the dispatcher and its drivers;
(b) The transportation services offered by the dispatcher and its drivers;
(c) The screening process for its drivers and its vehicles;
(d) The process by which it will accept, review and respond to complaints concerning drivers and vehicles registered with the dispatcher; and
(e) The process by which passengers can retrieve their property left in vehicles for hire registered with the dispatcher.

Notification of estimated time of arrival
17 At the time a request for a transportation service is made, a dispatcher must provide an estimated time of arrival of the vehicle for hire that is being or will be dispatched in response to the request.

Obligation to dispatch in order of request
18 A dispatcher must dispatch taxis in the order in which a request for transportation service is made.

Dispatching accessible vehicles for hire
19(1) Despite section 18 (Obligation to dispatch in order of request), if a dispatcher has one or more registered accessible vehicles for hire available for dispatch that are not actively engaged in providing transportation services to passengers, the dispatcher must immediately dispatch an accessible vehicle for hire to respond to a request for service from a passenger identifying as requiring an accessible vehicle for hire.

19(2) For greater clarity, a dispatcher must not dispatch an accessible vehicle for hire to any other request for service so long as a request from a passenger identifying as requiring an accessible vehicle for hire is outstanding.

19(3) A dispatcher must not hold out that it has available an accessible vehicle for hire unless the vehicle for hire meets the definition of an accessible vehicle for hire set out in this By-law.

Dispatchers may enter into contracts to provide transportation services
20(1) The following provisions of this By-law do not apply to the dispatchers, vehicles and drivers if they can show that they are engaged in providing transportation services pursuant to a contract which the dispatcher has entered into with an employer, restaurant, bar, entertainment venue, service provider, government or any other person to provide transportation services for multiple individuals:

(a) section 18 (Obligation to dispatch in order of request);
(b) section 19 (Dispatching accessible vehicles for hire);
(c) section 22 (Only taxi dispatchers may dispatch by non-digital platform;)

(d) if the contract involves provision of PTP vehicles, section 25 (Information to be provided to passengers);

(e) If the contract involves payment to the dispatcher rather than the vehicle for hire driver, section 57 (Negotiated taxi fares).

20(2) In order for subsection (1) to apply, the dispatcher must make the contract available to the designated employee within 24 hours upon request.

DIVISION 2 – TAXI DISPATCHERS

Only taxi dispatchers may dispatch taxis
21 A person who is not a taxi dispatcher must not dispatch a taxi.

Only taxi dispatchers may dispatch by non-digital platform
22 Subject to section 30 (PTP dispatchers may use non-digital platform to dispatch limousines),

(a) a person who is not a taxi dispatcher must not dispatch or offer to dispatch a vehicle for hire by a non-digital platform; and

(b) a dispatcher must not dispatch by non-digital platform except to a taxi driver driving a taxi, both of which are registered with the dispatcher.

Camera records in taxis
23 A taxi dispatcher is responsible for ensuring that any and all recordings made by the in-vehicle camera required by subclause 38(1)(g)(ii) (Qualifications for taxi licence) are preserved for a period of time determined by the designated employee after the date of recording, and are turned over to an enforcement officer or a police officer immediately upon request.

24/7 service
24 A taxi dispatcher who has registered more than 50 taxis must ensure that at least one taxi is available for dispatch on a 24 hour basis, seven days a week, 365 days a year.

Information to be provided to passengers
25 A taxi dispatcher must ensure that the following information is provided to passengers by having it prominently displayed in every taxi registered to the dispatcher in a way that is visible to all passengers:

(a) The dispatcher’s name and contact information;

(b) The taxi driver’s licence of the driver of the taxi, which must include a photograph of the driver;
(c) A current fare schedule;
(d) The process by which a complaint can be made;
(e) The process by which property left behind by a passenger can be retrieved;
(f) Information required by the designated employee concerning the operation of the camera located in the taxi.

**Refusal of service or not dropping passenger at preferred destination to be reported**

26 Within 24 hours of receiving a report from a taxi driver registered with the dispatcher that he or she has refused to accept a request for service or has refused to drop off a passenger at his or her preferred destination under section 58 (Obligation to accept passengers and drop off at preferred destination), a taxi dispatcher must notify the designated employee in writing, providing the following information:

(a) The date, time and location of the refusal;
(b) The taxi driver’s licence number;
(c) The taxi’s licence number;
(d) A complete description of the circumstances and the reasons for refusing the request for service;
(e) Any other information reasonably requested by the designated employee.

**Driver disqualification to be reported**

27 A taxi dispatcher who becomes aware of facts that would make a driver registered with that dispatcher ineligible to be licenced under this By-law or which would result in the driver’s licence being cancelled must immediately report those facts to the designated employee.

**DIVISION 3 - PTP DISPATCHERS**

**Only PTP dispatchers may dispatch PTPs**

28 A person who is not a licenced PTP dispatcher must not dispatch a PTP vehicle.

**PTP dispatcher may only dispatch insured vehicles**

29 A PTP dispatcher must not dispatch a PTP vehicle on days or at times when it is not insured to operate as a vehicle for hire.

**PTP dispatchers may use non-digital platform to dispatch limousines**

30 Despite section 22 (Only taxi dispatchers may dispatch by non-digital platform), a PTP dispatcher may use a non-digital platform to dispatch a limousine.
PTP dispatcher must provide ID cards to drivers
31 A PTP dispatcher must issue to every driver registered with the dispatcher an identification card in written or electronic form providing the following information:

(a) the first name of the driver and a recent photograph of the driver;
(b) the driver’s identifying number maintained by the dispatcher;
(c) the name and contact information of the dispatcher.

Information to be provided to passengers
32(1) A PTP dispatcher must provide the following information to a prospective passenger at the time the request for service is made:

(a) The dispatcher’s name and contact information;
(b) The type of vehicle and minimum number of seats provided in the PTP vehicle that will be dispatched in response to the request for service;
(c) An estimate of the total cost of the transportation service.

32(2) When a PTP vehicle other than a limousine is dispatched, a PTP dispatcher must provide the following information through the digital platform used to dispatch the vehicle to the person requesting the service:

(a) The first name and photograph of the driver that will provide the transportation service;
(b) A description of the make, model, Provincial licence plate number and estimated time of arrival of the vehicle that will provide the transportation service.

32(3) A PTP dispatcher must provide the following through the platform used to dispatch its registered PTP vehicles:

(a) information that its drivers can only provide transportation services through the dispatcher’s digital platform and cannot accept street hails;
(b) information that its drivers cannot accept payment for transportation services and that payment may only be made through the dispatcher’s digital platform;
(c) information concerning the process of filing a complaint concerning the driver, the vehicle or the dispatcher;
(d) a process allowing the passenger to accept or refuse the transportation service prior to it being initiated and to keep a record of the acceptance or refusal and information concerning that process;

(e) a secure payment mechanism through a digital platform;

(f) a printed or electronic receipt to the passenger after providing the transportation service that includes information confirming

   (i) The total amount paid;
   (ii) The date and time the passenger was picked up;
   (iii) The first name of the driver; and
   (iv) The licence plate number of the vehicle.

Criteria for driver registration with PTP dispatcher

33(1) A PTP dispatcher must not register an individual as a driver unless the individual provides the following:

   (a) A birth certificate or other documentation proving that he or she is at least 18 years of age;
   (b) Evidence that he or she holds a valid Provincial driver’s licence applicable to the use of the vehicle being driven;
   (c) a criminal record check and a child abuse registry check issued no more than 90 days before the application for registration was made;
   (d) the applicant’s driver’s abstract issued by Manitoba Public Insurance.

33(2) A dispatcher must not register an individual as a PTP driver if he or she has been convicted within the past 10 years of a relevant criminal offence unless a record suspension (pardon) has been issued in respect of that offence.

33(3) A PTP dispatcher must not register an individual as a PTP driver if he or she is listed on the child abuse registry.

33(4) A PTP dispatcher must not register an individual as a PTP driver if he or she has been convicted of a major driving offence within the past 10 years.

33(5) If an individual who applies to be registered as a PTP driver has been charged with a relevant criminal offence or a major driving offence but not yet acquitted or convicted, the PTP
dispatcher must notify the designated employee and must not register the individual until and unless the designated employee approves the registration.

33(6) After being notified of an applicant for registration with a PTP dispatcher who has been charged with a relevant criminal offence or a major driving offence but not yet acquitted or convicted, the designated employee must not approve the registration if he or she determines that the nature of the charges are such that approving the registration would result in a significant risk of harm to the public.

33(7) A PTP dispatcher must not register an individual as a PTP driver unless the individual provides a document satisfactory to the designated employee indicating that the individual has provided consent

(a) for his or her personal information to be submitted to the designated employee; and

(b) for the designated employee to have access to his or her personal information maintained by Manitoba Public Insurance, the Winnipeg Police Service and the body responsible for maintaining the Child Abuse Registry;

for the purposes of administering and enforcing this By-law.

33(8) If the designated employee requires that PTP drivers must undergo training before being dispatched, a PTP dispatcher must not register an individual as a PTP driver unless he or she has undergone the required training.

Termination of PTP drivers’ registration
34(1) At the conclusion of each 12 month period after an individual has been registered as a PTP driver, a PTP dispatcher must require that a registered driver provide a criminal record check, child abuse registry check and a driver’s abstract from MPI which have been issued no more than 90 days prior to the end of the 12 month period. If the driver fails to do so, the dispatcher must terminate that driver’s registration.

34(2) A PTP dispatcher must terminate a PTP driver’s registration if, after being registered, the driver fails to meet the requirements for registration set out in section 33 (Criteria for driver registration with PTP dispatcher) or fails to hold a valid Provincial licence applicable to use of the vehicle being driven.

34(3) A PTP dispatcher must terminate or suspend a PTP driver’s registration if required to do so by the designated employee.

Accessible PTP driver training
35(1) A PTP dispatcher must not register an individual as an accessible PTP driver unless the individual provides proof that he or she has completed any training program required by the designated employee for accessible PTP drivers.
35(2) A PTP dispatcher must terminate the registration of an individual as an accessible PTP driver if the person fails to complete training programs required by the designated employee within time periods or prior to deadlines established by the designated employee.

Criteria for vehicle registration with PTP dispatcher

36(1) A dispatcher must not register a PTP vehicle unless

(a) the owner of the vehicle provides evidence that the vehicle is insured with Manitoba Public Insurance as a PTP for the period or periods of time during which it will operate as a PTP and in an amount per occurrence which is determined by the designated employee to be sufficient to adequately protect the City, its drivers, its owners and members of the public; and

(b) has been inspected and has been issued inspection certificates applicable to that vehicle under The Drivers and Vehicles Act, C.C.S.M. c. D104, within 30 days prior to the application for registration.

36(2) A dispatcher must terminate a PTP’s registration if the owner of the vehicle fails to provide on an annual basis

(a) evidence that it meets the insurance requirements set out in subsection (1); and

(b) evidence that it has been inspected has been issued inspection certificates applicable to that vehicle under The Drivers and Vehicles Act, C.C.S.M. c. D104.

36(3) A dispatcher must terminate or suspend a vehicle’s registration if required to do so by the designated employee.

PART 3
TAXI LICENCES

Services exclusive to taxis

37 The owner of a vehicle for hire must not permit the driver of the vehicle to offer or provide transportation services

(a) By means of a street hail;

(a) By means of dispatch through a non-digital platform; or

(b) In exchange for compensation provided by any method other than digital payment;

unless the vehicle is a licenced taxi driven by a licenced taxi driver and both are registered with a licenced taxi dispatcher.
Qualifications for taxi licence

38(1) In order to qualify for a taxi licence, a vehicle must

(a) Have been issued a valid Provincial registration;

(b) be insured with Manitoba Public Insurance as a vehicle for hire in an amount per occurrence which is determined by the designated employee to be sufficient to adequately protect the City, its drivers, its owners and members of the public;

(c) have been inspected and been issued inspection certificates applicable to that vehicle under *The Drivers and Vehicles Act*, C.C.S.M. c. D104, within 30 days prior to the application for registration;

(d) Be in good working order, meeting all road safety requirements;

(e) Allow for access and exits by passengers that are controlled by the passenger;

(f) Be registered with a licenced dispatcher; and

(g) Be equipped with the following equipment approved by the designated employee

   (i) a driver safety shield;

   (ii) an operating in-vehicle camera;

   (iii) a global positioning system;

   (iv) a strobe light affixed to the roof of the vehicle that can provide warning of an emergency situation;

   (v) a certified meter which measures time, distance or both;

   (vi) a light on the exterior of the vehicle that turns off when the meter is operating.

38(2) In order for an accessible taxi licence to be issued in respect of it, a vehicle need not meet the requirements set out in subclauses (g)(i) and (iv). However, it must meet the other requirements of subsection (1) and, in addition, must to the satisfaction of the designated employee be constructed and equipped to permit the loading, transportation and off-loading of individuals confined to a wheelchair, or similar device used to assist disabled persons, without transfer.

38(3) The designated employee may require that a vehicle and any required equipment be examined and certified as operating appropriately before a licence is issued in respect of the vehicle.
38(4) In order for a taxi licence to be issued in respect of a vehicle, the owner of the vehicle must complete forms and provide information reasonably required by the designated employee.

Ownership of licence
39(1) The designated employee may only issue a taxi licence in the name of the person who is registered with Manitoba Public Insurance as the owner of the vehicle in respect of which the taxi licence is being issued.

39(2) Before the designated employee may issue or renew a taxi licence, the owner of the vehicle must

   (a) Pay the applicable fee;

   (b) Provide documentation and information reasonably required by the designated employee to determine whether the vehicle’s meets the vehicle requirements of a taxi set out in this By-law;

   (c) If required by the designated employee, submit the vehicle to an inspection; and

   (d) Provide an address for service in the Province of Manitoba for service of all documents and notices related to this By-law.

39(3) If the owner of the taxi is a corporation, a licence must not be issued or renewed in its name if it is not active as determined by the Companies Office.

Transitional - current taxicab business licences valid as taxi licences
40(1) Notwithstanding section 38 (Qualifications for taxi licence), upon payment of the applicable fee, the designated employee must issue a taxi licence under this By-law to the holder of a standard taxicab licence, except a seasonal licence, that was issued under The Taxicab Act, RSM 1987, c. T10, and that was valid immediately prior to the date that Act was repealed. The licence must be issued in respect of the vehicle identified by the Taxicab Board as associated with that business licence.

40(2) Notwithstanding section 38 (Qualifications for taxi licence), upon payment of the applicable fee, the designated employee must issue an accessible taxi licence under this By-law effective March 1, 2018 to each of the following:

   (a) A person who holds an accessible taxicab licence, except a seasonal licence, that was issued under The Taxicab Act, RSM 1987, c. T10, and that was valid immediately prior to the date that Act was repealed;

   (b) A person who holds a handicab van licence that was issued under The Taxicab Act, RSM 1987, c. T10, and that was valid immediately prior to the date that Act was repealed, so long as the handicab van in respect of which the licence was issued has a meter installed prior to the licence under this By-law being issued.
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The licence must be issued in respect of the vehicle identified by the Taxicab Board as associated with that business licence.

40(3) If a licence issued by the Taxicab Board under *The Taxicab Act* RSM 1987, c. T10, is not eligible for renewal under this section because the licence is suspended on February 28, 2018, the designated employee must hold a hearing by March 31, 2018 and, using the criteria set out in that Act and *The Taxicab Regulation* MR 209/91, determine whether the licence should continue to be suspended or should be cancelled. Subsections 74(2) to (6) (*Licence suspensions and cancellations*) apply to the hearing.

40(4) Once a taxi licence has been issued under this By-law pursuant to subsection (1), that vehicle and licence holder are fully subject to the provisions of this By-law.

40(5) If the holder of a business licence that was issued under *The Taxicab Act*, RSM 1987, c. T10, is not eligible to have a licence issued under this section, that business licence is hereby cancelled.

**Renewal**

41 In order to be issued a renewal of a taxi licence, the licence holder

(a) Must meet the requirements for an applicant for an initial licence set out in section 38 (*Qualifications for taxi licence*);

(b) must provide information concerning any factual changes to information provided at the time of its application or most recent renewal; and

(c) Must not owe any outstanding fines or fees with respect to this By-law or any City parking by-law.

**Taxi licences limited in number**

42(1) The designated employee must ensure that the total number of valid taxi licences at any point in time is no more than the maximum number established by Council or determined according to a formula established by Council.

42(2) When the number of taxi licences determined in accordance with subsection (1) increases or a licence is cancelled, the designated employee shall issue licences to new eligible applicants from a priority list as determined by lottery from a list of eligible applicants maintained by the designated employee.

42(3) If the number of taxi licences determined in accordance with subsection (1) decreases, the designated employee need not cancel existing licences in order to meet the new total but must not issue new licences until the total falls to the level determined in accordance with subsection (1).
Existing transferable taxicab licences transferable
43(1) If the holder of a

(a) standard taxicab licence,

(b) accessible taxicab licence; or

(c) handicab van licence;

that was permitted to be transferred under The Taxicab Act, RSM 1987, c. T10, from one vehicle owner to another has been issued a licence under this By-law pursuant to section 40 (Transitional), the licences issued under this By-law may continue to be transferred for a period of 20 years from the date this By-law comes into force, on condition that the person to whom the licence is being transferred is registered with Manitoba Public Insurance as the owner of the vehicle in respect of which the licence is being transferred and the vehicle and owner are otherwise eligible to be issued a taxi licence.

43(2) Subject to subsection (1), if the holder of a taxi licence that is permitted to be transferred under subsection (1) is an individual and the owner dies, the taxi licence for that vehicle may be transferred by the executor or administrator of the owner’s estate within one year of the owner’s death. If it is not transferred within that time, the licence is cancelled.

43(3) Taxi licences may be transferred from one vehicle to another vehicle on condition that the vehicle to which the licence is transferred is eligible for a taxi licence.

43(4) A transfer of a taxi licence is not effective until it has been approved by the designated employee and any applicable fees have been paid.

Convertibility of licences
44(1) The owner of a standard taxi licence may convert the licence to an accessible taxi licence so long as the vehicle in respect of which the accessible taxi licence is sought meets the requirements for an accessible taxi licence.

44(2) The owner of an accessible taxi licence may not convert the licence to a standard taxi licence.

Operating requirements and inspections of taxis
45(1) The owner of a taxi must ensure that it meets all applicable requirements set out in subsection 38(1) (Qualifications for taxi licence) at all times while it is offering or providing transportation services.

45(2) The owner of a taxi must ensure that notices of a size and containing language approved by the designated employee are prominently displayed on or within the taxi in a way that is visible to all passengers concerning the operation of a camera in the taxi.
45(3) The owner of a taxi must ensure that it complies with all signage and markings requirements required by the designated employee.

45(4) The owner of a taxi must ensure that it undergoes annual inspections and occasional inspections ordered by an enforcement officer in order to determine compliance with subsection (1).

PART 4
DRIVERS

DIVISION 1 - ALL DRIVERS

Rules for all vehicle for hire drivers
46(1) Subject to section 51 (Transition), an individual must not operate a vehicle for hire unless he or she

(a) Is registered with a licenced dispatcher;

(b) Maintains a valid Provincial driver’s licence applicable to the use of the vehicle being driven;

(c) Has not been convicted within the previous 10 years of a relevant criminal offence unless a record suspension (pardon) has been issued in respect of that offence;

(d) Has not been convicted within the previous 10 years of a major driving offence; and

(e) Is not registered on the child abuse registry.

46(2) The driver of a vehicle for hire must immediately report to the dispatcher with which he or she is registered any of the following:

(a) His or her conviction for any relevant criminal offence or a major driving offence;

(b) Charges laid against him or her of any relevant criminal offence or for a major driving offence;

(c) His or her registration on the child abuse registry;

(d) The suspension of his or her Provincial driver’s licence.
DIVISION 2 - TAXI DRIVERS

Prohibition on unlicenced taxi drivers

47 An individual must not drive a taxi unless he or she holds a valid taxi driver’s licence under this By-law.

Services exclusive to taxi drivers and taxi vehicles

48 Subject to section 65 (Rules for limousine drivers), unless an individual is a licenced taxi driver registered with a licenced taxi dispatcher and is driving a licenced taxi registered with that dispatcher, he or she must not provide or offer to provide transportation services through a street hail; (a) through a dispatch by a non-digital platform; or (b) in exchange for payment by any method other than digital payment.

Requirements for obtaining taxi driver’s licence

49(1) In order to be eligible to be issued a taxi driver’s licence, an individual must

(a) pay the applicable licence and application fee or fees;
(b) be at least 18 years of age;
(c) Meet the requirements set out in section 46 (Rules for all vehicle for hire drivers);
(d) Not be charged with a relevant criminal offence or a major driving offence if the designated employee determines that the nature of the charges are such that issuing a taxi driver’s licence would result in a significant risk of harm to the public;
(e) demonstrate a level of verbal English language proficiency determined by the designated employee to be adequate for the purposes of providing transportation services in a taxi;
(f) provide a document satisfactory to the designated employee indicating that the individual has provided consent

(i) for his or her personal information being submitted to the designated employee; and

(ii) for the designated employee to have access to his or her personal information maintained by Manitoba Public Insurance, the Winnipeg Police Service and the body responsible for maintaining the Child Abuse Registry
for the purposes of administering and enforcing this By-law;

(g) complete training required by the designated employee;

(h) demonstrate to a level required by the designated employee the skills and knowledge reasonably considered by the designated employee to be necessary in order to provide the services exclusive to taxi drivers; and

(i) provide all documentation reasonably required by the designated employee to determine the individual’s eligibility for a taxi driver’s licence.

49(2) In order to be eligible to be issued an accessible taxi driver’s licence, an individual must meet the requirements set out in subsection (1) and must, in addition, as determined by the designated employee either

(a) complete within a period of time prior to the application, as determined by the designated employee, any training required by the designated employee with respect to transportation service for individuals confined to a wheelchair, or similar device used to assist disabled persons, without transfer; or

(b) demonstrate to a level required by the designated employee the skills and knowledge reasonably considered by the designated employee to be necessary in order to provide transportation services to individuals confined to a wheelchair or similar device used to assist disabled persons.

Requirements for renewing taxi driver’s licence

50 In order to be eligible to have his or her licence renewed, a taxi driver

(a) Must meet the requirements for an applicant for a taxi driver’s licence set out in section 49 (Requirements for obtaining taxi driver’s licence);

(b) Must provide information to the designated employee concerning any factual changes to information provided at the time of its application or most recent renewal;

(c) Must provide to the designated employee a criminal record check and a child abuse registry check issued no more than 90 days before the application for registration was made; and

(d) Must not owe any outstanding fines or fees with respect to this By-law or any City parking by-law.

Transition - current taxi driver’s licences

51(1) Subject to subsection (3) and suspensions or cancellations of a driver’s licence under section 74 (Enforcement authority), all standard taxicab driver’s licences issued by the Taxicab
Board under *The Taxicab Act*, RSM 1987, c. T10, which were valid on February 28, 2018 are valid until the date of their expiry and are then eligible for renewal as a standard taxi driver’s licence under section 50.

51(2) Subject to subsection (3) and suspensions or cancellations of a driver’s licence under section 74 (*Enforcement authority*), all valid accessible taxicab driver’s licences issued by the Taxicab Board under *The Taxicab Act*, RSM 1987, c. T10, which were valid on February 28, 2018 are valid until the date of their expiry and are then eligible for renewal as accessible taxi driver’s licences under section 50 (*Requirements for renewing taxi driver’s licence*).

51(3) Subsection (1) and (2) do not apply if taxi driver

(a) Has been convicted within the previous 10 years of a relevant criminal offence and a record suspension (pardon) has not been issued in respect of that offence;

(b) Has been convicted within the previous 10 years of a major driving offence; and

(c) Is registered on the child abuse registry.

In this situation, subject to an appeal under this By-law, the taxi driver’s licence is immediately cancelled.

51(4) Subject to subsection (3), if a taxicab driver’s licence issued by the Taxicab Board under *The Taxicab Act* RSM 1987, c. T10, is not eligible for renewal under this section because the licence is suspended on February 28, 2018, the designated employee must hold a hearing by March 31, 2018 and, using the criteria established by *The Taxicab Regulation* MR 209/91, determine whether the licence should continue to be suspended or should be cancelled. Subsections 74(2) to (6) (*Licence suspensions and cancellations*) apply to the hearing.

**Driver’s licence must be visible**

52 At all times while providing transportation services, a taxi driver must ensure that a valid licence card or other object that has been issued by the designated employee as proof that he or she is a taxi driver is prominently displayed and clearly visible to passengers within the taxi.

**Reporting requirements for taxi drivers**

53 A taxi driver must immediately report to the designated employee

(a) His or her conviction of a relevant criminal offence or a major driving offence;

(b) Charges laid against him or her of a relevant criminal offence or a major driving offence;

(b) His or her registration on the child abuse registry;

(c) The suspension of his or her Provincial driver’s licence; and
Any change in his or her medical condition that could affect his or her ability to drive and to maintain a Provincial driver’s licence.

**Taxi may accommodate street hails**

54(1) A taxi driver may accept a request for service by any means, including a street hail, or through a non-digital platform.

54(2) If a taxi driver accepts a street hail, the driver must immediately record the transportation service and, within 24 hours, inform the licenced dispatcher with whom he or she is registered of

(a) the street hail;

(b) the times and locations of the pick-up and drop off.

**Taxi may accept any mode of payment**

55 A taxi driver may accept compensation for providing a transportation service in any form, including through non-digital payment.

**Passenger service rules for taxi drivers**

56 Taxi drivers must

(a) take the most economical route to the passenger’s destination unless otherwise directed by the passenger; and

(b) provide reasonable assistance to any passenger as requested or required in the circumstances.

**Negotiated taxi fares**

57(1) Subject to section 20 (*Dispatchers may enter into contracts to provide transportation services*) and this section, a taxi driver must not charge fares other than in accordance with the fare schedule.

57(2) A taxi driver may, before the transportation service is initiated, agree with a passenger on a fare for the transportation service. However, an agreed fare must not be higher than would be charged under the fare schedule.

57(3) In order to ensure that an agreed fare is not higher than the fare schedule, the driver must ensure that the taxi meter is operating while the transportation service is being provided. If the agreed fare is higher than the fare shown on the meter at the termination of the trip, driver must charge the fare shown on the meter.

**Obligation to accept passengers and drop off at preferred destination**

58(1) Subject to section 59 (*Mandatory pre-payment authorized*), a taxi driver must not refuse a request for service or refuse to drop a passenger at the passenger’s preferred destination unless, based on the circumstances, the taxi driver reasonably believes that there is a danger to
his or her personal safety or of serious damage to property in accepting the request for service or dropping off the passenger at the passenger’s preferred destination.

58(2) The fact that a prospective passenger is accompanied by a service animal is not sufficient to support a reasonable belief that there is a danger to the driver’s personal safety or of serious damage to property. In this subsection, a service animal means an animal that has been trained to provide assistance to a person with a disability that relates to that person’s disability.

58(3) If a taxi driver refuses a request for service or refuses to drop off a passenger at the passenger’s preferred destination, he or she must immediately provide verbal notice of the refusal or failure to the dispatcher with whom the driver is registered.

**Mandatory pre-payment authorized**

59(1) Despite section 58 (Obligation to accept passengers and drop off at preferred destination), a taxi driver may refuse to provide a transportation service unless a passenger pre-pays the fare if

(a) The transportation service is being provided during hours of the day and days of the week designated by the designated employee as being those during which pre-payment may be required by taxi drivers; or

(b) The driver believes on reasonable grounds on the basis of past behavior that the passenger is unable or unwilling to pay the fare;

and the pre-payment required by the driver is no more than that authorized in a zone structure established by the designated employee.

59(2) If the fare as determined in accordance with the taxi meter is less than the amount of the pre-payment, the taxi driver must refund the difference to the passenger. If the fare as determined in accordance with the taxi meter is more than the amount of the pre-payment, the passenger must pay the difference.

**Obligation to return passenger property**

60 A taxi driver must comply with the approved passenger property retrieval policy for the dispatcher with whom he or she is registered and which has dispatched the passenger whose property has been left behind.

**Accessible taxi drivers must prioritize passengers using mobility aid**

61(1) Subject to section 58 (Obligation to accept passengers and drop off at preferred destination), an accessible taxi driver must not refuse service to individuals confined to a wheelchair or similar device used to assist disabled persons.
61(2) Unless actively engaged in providing a transportation service to another passenger, an accessible taxi driver must respond to a request for service from a passenger confined to a wheelchair or similar device used to assist disabled persons.

DIVISION 3 - PTP DRIVERS

PTP driver must be registered with PTP dispatcher
62 A PTP driver must not offer transportation services unless
   
   (a) he or she is registered with a PTP dispatcher;
   
   (b) is driving a PTP vehicle registered with that dispatcher; and
   
   (c) has been dispatched by that dispatcher.

Obligation to return passenger property
63 A PTP driver must comply with the policy of the dispatcher with which he or she is registered in respect of property left behind by the passenger.

Accessible PTP vehicles
64 A PTP driver must not hold out that the vehicle he or she is driving is accessible unless

   (a) The vehicle meets the definition of accessible vehicle set out in this By-law; and

   (b) The driver has undergone the training, or demonstrated to a level required by the designated employee the skills referred to in clause (1)(c) and knowledge reasonably considered by the designated employee to be necessary to provide services to individuals confined to a wheelchair, or similar device used to assist disabled persons, without transfer.

DIVISION 4 – LIMOUSINE DRIVERS

Rules for limousine drivers
65 Notwithstanding clause 48(a) (Services exclusive to taxis), the driver of a limousine

   (a) may accept street hails at the international airport in Winnipeg so long as

      (i) zone fares for limousines hailed at the airport have been approved by the designated employee and are posted and visible to passengers of the limousine before they agree to pay for the transportation service; and

      (ii) the driver charges a fare no greater than is consistent with the zone fares approved by the designated employee; and

   (b) may accept payment by any means, including non-digital payments.
PART 5
ADMINISTRATION AND ENFORCEMENT

DIVISION 1 – APPEAL BODY

Orders and decisions appealable
66(1) Upon payment of the applicable fee, an order or decision of the designated employee or an enforcement officer that is subject to an appeal under the Charter may be appealed to the Vehicle for Hire Appeal Board within 14 days after the appellant has received or is deemed to have received the order or decision.

66(2) An appeal must be in writing and must be served on the designated employee electronically, personally or by mail.

66(3) The designated employee and enforcement officers must comply with the requirements of the Charter in providing information about an appeal whenever an appealable order or decision is made.

Vehicle for Hire Appeal Board created
67(1) The Vehicle for Hire Appeal Board is hereby created, which consists of five individuals appointed by Council. The members of the Board need not be members of Council.

67(2) Council shall appoint a Chair and a Vice-Chair of the Appeal for Hire Appeal Board from among the members of the Board.

67(3) The Chair of the Appeal for Hire Appeal Board is authorized to call meetings of the Board and to set dates for appeal hearings.

67(4) The Vehicle for Hire Appeal Board must sit in panels of three members selected by the Chair of the Vehicle for Hire Appeal Board to hear an appeal. If the Chair or Vice-Chair is not sitting on a panel, the Chair shall appoint a member to chair the appeal hearing.

67(5) All appeals are public.

67(6) A majority of a panel is required to determine the outcome of an appeal.

67(7) The Vehicles for Hire Appeal Board may develop procedures for hearing appeals and rules for conducting its affairs that are consistent with this By-law.

Appeals
68(1) When hearing an appeal, a panel of the Vehicle for Hire Appeal Board

(a) Must treat the both appellant and the person whose decision or order is being appealed fairly;
Must allow both the appellant and the person whose decision or order is being appealed an opportunity to present their cases and to respond to the other party’s case; and

is not bound by the rules of evidence or any other law applicable to judicial proceedings and may determine the admissibility, relevance and weight of evidence.

68(2) Subject to subsection (3), the Vehicles for Hire Appeal Board must use the same criteria and standards for deciding an appeal as this By-law requires the decision-maker to use when making the decision being appealed.

68(3) When hearing an appeal concerning

(a) the refusal of the designated employee to issue or renew, or to cancel, a taxi driver’s licence; or

(b) an individual’s disqualification from being registered as a PTP driver; or

(c) the suspension or termination of the individual’s registration as a PTP driver;

due to the fact that

(d) he or she has been convicted within the previous 10 years of a relevant criminal offence and a record suspension (pardon) has not been issued in respect of that offence;

(e) has been convicted within the previous 10 years of a major driving offence; or

(f) is registered on the child abuse registry;

the Vehicle for Hire Appeal Board must consider whether the nature of the criminal offence, the major driving offence or the actions that resulted in the registration on the child abuse registry justify denying the individual the taxi driver’s licence or the registration, as the case may be. If, on appeal, the Vehicles for Hire Appeal Board decides to authorize the issuance of a licence or a registration, it may impose conditions on the licence or registration.

68(4) A decision by the Vehicle for Hire Appeal Board concerning an appeal is final and no appeal may be taken in respect of the decision.

Renumeration for non-councillor members of the Appeal Board

69 Members of the Vehicles for Hire Appeal Board who are not members of Council shall be paid the following remuneration by the City for time spent in attendance at appeal hearings or meetings of the Board:

(a) Chair and Vice Chair:
(i) $190.00 for appeals or meetings of four hours or less;

(ii) $336.00 for appeals or meetings of more than four hours in length;

(b) other members:

(i) $109.00 for appeals or meetings of four hours or less;

(ii) $192.00 for appeals or meetings of more than four hours in length.

DIVISION 2 - LICENCES

Licence expiry
70(1) Subject to subsection (2), unless renewed prior to the date of its expiry, a licence issued under this By-law or under The Taxicab Act, RSM 1987, c. T10, terminates on its expiry date.

70(2) A taxi licence issued under this By-law does not terminate until 30 days after its expiry date but the licence holder is subject to a late payment fee if the licence is not renewed prior to its expiry date.

Licence holders must comply with licence conditions and restrictions
71(1) The holder of a licence must comply with all terms, restrictions or conditions imposed on the licence by the designated employee.

71(2) If a licence issued under this By-law is suspended, the holder of a licence must not engage in the activities authorized by the licence during the period of its suspension.

71(3) Without restricting the general nature of subsection (2), a person must not

(a) Dispatch, or offer to dispatch, a taxi if his or her taxi dispatcher’s licence is suspended;

(b) Dispatch, or offer to dispatch, a PTP if his or her PTP dispatcher’s licence is suspended;

(c) offer or provide transportation services in, or dispatch, a taxi if the taxi licence in respect of that vehicle is suspended;

(d) as the owner of a taxi, allow it to be used to provide transportation services or to be dispatched if the licence in respect of that taxi is suspended; or

(e) offer or provide transportation services in a taxi if his or her taxi driver’s licence is suspended.
DIVISION 3 – POWERS OF ENFORCEMENT AND ADMINISTRATION

General power of designated employee and enforcement officers

72 The designated employee and enforcement officers to whom these powers have been delegated by the designated employee may conduct inspections and take steps to administer and enforce this By-law or remedy a contravention of this By-law and to provide services or do work in accordance with the Charter and, for these purposes, have the powers of a “designated employee” under the Charter.

Powers of designated employee

73(1) In order to administer and enforce this By-law, the designated employee is granted the powers and authorities reasonably required to administer and enforce this By-law.

73(2) Without restricting the general nature of subsection (1) or the authority given to the designated employee in other provisions of this By-law, the designated employee is granted authority to

(a) appoint enforcement officers;

(b) issue licences referred to in the By-law, including licence cards or other evidence that a licence has been issued;

(c) create application forms and other forms for the purpose of administering the By-law;

(d) conduct, and to authorize enforcement officers to conduct, inspections and audits without notice of all dispatchers, vehicles and drivers that are regulated by this By-law;

(e) receive, consider and – where he or she considers it appropriate - investigate complaints or allegations that a contravention of this By-law has taken place;

(f) suspend or cancel licences issued under this By-law as provided in section 75 (Suspensions and cancellations) and section 76 (Immediate suspensions);

(g) grant a provisional licence, which must expire no later than May 31, 2018, to a dispatcher who, despite making good faith and reasonable efforts to do so, requires additional time after the date this By-law comes into effect to meet the requirements of clause 8(1)(c) (Qualifications for dispatcher – data security), clause 8(1)(d) (Qualifications for dispatcher – complaints procedure) or section 14 (Dispatcher records); and

(h) impose, as a condition of the provisional licence referred to in clause (g), the requirement that the dispatcher take interim measures to meet the intent of clauses 8(1)(c) or (d) or section 14 until full compliance can be achieved.
73(3) Without restricting the general nature of subsection (1) or the authority given to the designated employee in other provisions of this By-law, the designated employee is also granted authority

(a) upon payment of fees which are pro-rated to reflect shorter terms, to issue licences for a period of less than one year so that annual licences are renewable at various points throughout the year;

(a) to establish insurance requirements for dispatchers;

(b) to establish mandatory or optional mechanisms for regulated persons to meet reporting requirements;

(c) to prescribe or create mandatory signs and notices;

(d) to require that taxis be marked or signed in specified ways and to approve or reject signage and markings proposed for taxis;

(e) to approve the equipment required to be installed in a vehicle in order to be issued a taxi licence under clause 38(1)(g);

(f) to establish the duration of time a recording from a camera in a taxi must be preserved before it can be destroyed;

(g) to establish appropriate levels of skills and knowledge necessary to be licenced as a taxi driver, and tests to determine whether an applicant or licence holder has the required level of those skills and that knowledge;

(h) to determine the type and quantity of training required of taxi drivers and applicants for a taxi driver licence;

(i) to determine whether an applicant for a taxi driver’s licence or taxi driver’s licence holder must undergo training or may instead demonstrate the skills and knowledge necessary to be licenced as a taxi driver;

(j) to determine the level of English language proficiency necessary for taxi drivers;

(k) to determine whether training should be required of PTP vehicle drivers and, if so, to determine the quality and quantity of such training;

(l) to impose requirements for adequate protection of personal information by dispatchers, drivers and vehicle owners;

(m) to determine standards and qualifications for vehicles to be considered limousines for the purposes of this By-law;
subject to section 77 (Suspending or terminating PTP driver or vehicle registration), to require that a dispatcher cancel the registration of a driver and to prohibit all dispatchers from registering that individual;

(o) to manage transitional issues associated with the implementation of this By-law, including, without restricting the general nature of this authority, determining when a provision of this By-law is in force prior to the coming into force of this By-law pursuant to section 85 (Transitional matters); and

(p) to establish and to conduct a lottery process referred to in subsection 42 (Taxi licences limited in number) and to issue new licences using that process.

73(4) Actions taken by the designated employee under subsection (3) are effective only when they have been set out in a written document which is:

(a) dated and signed by the designated employee;
(b) filed with the Office of the City Clerk; and
(c) posted on the City’s website.

73(5) Actions taken by the designated employee under subsection (3) continue in effect until a termination date specified in the written document or until they are revoked or replaced by another written document that meets the requirements of subsection (4).

Enforcement authority

74(1) Subject to section 75, if the designated employee determines that a contravention of this By-law, other relevant legislation or conditions imposed on a licence has occurred, or the licence holder has acted in a manner contrary to the public interest, he or she may do one or more of the following:

(a) Reprimand the licence holder;
(b) Order that the licence holder take specific actions or measures, including undergoing training or obtaining supervised practical experience;
(c) Impose conditions on the licence;
(d) Suspend the licence for a period of less than one year in accordance with section 74 (Licence suspensions and cancellations);
(e) Cancel the licence in accordance with section 75 (Licence suspensions and cancellations);
Require the licence holder to pay all or part of the costs of the hearing and any investigation carried out in respect of the contravention.

74(2) If a Designated Officer imposes conditions on a licence under clause (1)(c), the conditions:

(a) must be related to the criteria for issuing the license or administering or enforcing this By-law; and

(b) may take into account the previous relevant conduct of the applicant.

74(3) In addition to, or as an alternative to, any enforcement carried out under subsection (1), and without any need for a hearing, the designated employee or an enforcement officer may initiate by-law enforcement proceedings under The Municipal By-law Enforcement Act, C.C.S.M. c. M245 or The Provincial Offences Act, C.C.S.M. c. P160, as the case may be.

Licence suspensions and cancellations
75(1) The designated employee may cancel or suspend a licence issued under this By-law if

(a) the licence holder has failed to comply with this By-law, other relevant legislation, conditions imposed on the licence or an order issued by an enforcement officer;

(b) allowing the licence holder to continue to hold the licence poses a danger to drivers, passengers or the general public;

(c) the licence holder has provided false information on a material matter when applying for the licence or when engaged in mandatory reporting;

(d) information on which the decision to issue the licence was based was incorrect and the licence would not have been issued had the correct information been known;

(e) the licence holder does not meet the requirements for holding a licence;

(f) the past conduct of the licence holder creates a reasonable belief that the licence holder will not in the future act in compliance with this By-law, other relevant legislation or conditions placed on the licence;

(g) If the person holds a taxi driver’s licence under this By-law, the person has been charged with a major driving offence or a relevant criminal offence since the licence was issued or last renewed; or

(h) The licence holder has acted in a manner contrary to the public interest.
75(2) The designated employee must cancel a taxi driver's licence issued under this By-law if the licence holder

(a) has been convicted of a relevant criminal offence within the past 10 years;

(b) has been convicted of a major driving offence within the past 10 years; or

(c) is registered on the child abuse registry.

75(3) Before suspending or cancelling a licence under subsections (1) or (2), the designated employee must hold a hearing into the matter at which the licence holder is given an opportunity to respond to the allegations and provide reasons why the licence should not be suspended or cancelled.

75(4) At least 7 days before the hearing referred to in subsection (3), the designated employee must, in compliance with The City of Winnipeg Charter, give a notice to the licence holder

(a) specifying the date, time and place of the hearing;

(b) setting out the fact that the hearing could result in the suspension or cancellation of the person's licence,

(c) identifying in general terms the alleged actions or inactions and circumstances which, if found to be factual, would justify the suspension or cancellation; and

(d) indicating that the licence holder has a right to attend the hearing, be represented by legal counsel or an agent, challenge the truth of the allegations being made, and make arguments as to why the licence should not be suspended or cancelled.

75(5) If the licence holder fails to attend the hearing on the time and date set out in the notice, the designated employee may nonetheless hold the hearing in the absence of the licence holder if he or she is satisfied that the licence holder was properly served with the notice required by subsection (3).

75(6) If a designated employee decides to cancel a licence under this section, he or she must identify a date before which, or a time frame within which, the holder is not permitted to reapply for that licence.

75(7) A decision concerning the suspension or cancellation of a licence must be sent to the licence holder in accordance with the Charter and is subject to appeal to the Vehicle for Hire Appeal Board.
Immediate suspensions
76(1) In addition to the authority to suspend a licence under section 75 (Licence suspensions and cancellations), if the designated employee or an enforcement officer forms the reasonable belief that a licence holder or taxi is in contravention of this By-law and

(a) the contravention poses an immediate danger to a vehicle for hire driver, a passenger or the public;

(b) the contravention involves the failure of a dispatcher, vehicle owner or driver to provide information or records to the designated employee;

(c) the contravention involves mandatory equipment malfunctioning; or

(d) the contravention is a failure to submit a vehicle for an inspection or to comply with another order of an enforcement officer;

he or she may order that the licence holder remedy the contravention immediately and may suspend the licence holder’s licence immediately until such time as the designated employee or enforcement officer is satisfied that it has been remedied.

76(2) The fact that a contravention has been corrected and an immediate suspension has been withdrawn under subsection (1) does not preclude enforcement action under section 74 (Enforcement authority) or section 78 (Penalties for offences).

Suspending or terminating PTP driver or vehicle registration
77(1) The designated employee may require that a dispatcher terminate or suspend the registration of a driver or a PTP vehicle, or prohibit all dispatchers from registering that driver or vehicle, or both.

77(2) The designated employee may only act under subsection (1) if the designated employee concludes on reasonable grounds that

(a) The driver or vehicle did not at the time of registration or does not currently qualify to be a PTP driver or vehicle under section 33 (Criteria for driver registration with PTP dispatcher); or

(b) The driver has been charged or convicted of a major driving offence or relevant criminal offence since being registered.

77(3) Before acting under subsection (1), the designated employee must hold a hearing and subsections 74(2) to (6) apply, with necessary changes, to that hearing.
DIVISION 4 – PENALTIES

Penalties for offences
78(1) Subject to the Municipal By-law Enforcement Act (MBEA) Enabling By-law, a person who contravenes a provision of this By-law that is referred to in Schedule A of the Municipal By-law Enforcement Act (MBEA) Enabling By-law must pay the penalty for that contravention set out in that Schedule.

78(2) Notwithstanding subsection (1), a person who contravenes a provision of this By-law referred to in Schedule “A” of the Municipal By-law Enforcement Act (MBEA) Enabling By-law may pay a reduced consent payment in the amount set out in the applicable column of Schedule “A” within 14 days after the date the ticket or Information alleging the contravention was issued, and thereafter will not be prosecuted for the contravention.

DIVISION 5 - ADDRESS FOR SERVICE

Address for Service
79(1) The holder of a licence issued under this By-law may be served at the address provided to the designated employee in the person’s application or most recent renewal, unless the licence holder has provided a more recent address since that time, in which case the designated employee or enforcement officers may use that address for service of documents.

79(2) Where service of documents, notice or orders is required on a person who does not hold a licence issued under this By-law, the following shall be used:

   (a) If the person owns a vehicle in respect of which the document, notice or order is being served or sent, the address maintained by Manitoba Public Insurance in respect of that vehicle;

   (b) In all other situations, the last known address for the person.

PART 6
MISCELLANEOUS

DIVISION 1 - CONSEQUENTIAL AMENDMENTS

Taxicab Licence Fee By-law repealed
80 The Taxicab Licence Fee By-law No. 6123/93 is repealed.

SPC on IRPW given responsibility for vehicles for hire policy
81 The City Organization By-law No. 7100/97 is amended by adding the following subclause after subclause 13(a)(xii):

   (xiii) vehicles for hire.
MBEA Enabling By-law amended
82 The Municipal By-law Enforcement Act (MBEA) Enabling By-law No. 59/2016 is amended by adding the table set out in Schedule A to this By-law to Schedule A of the Municipal By-law Enforcement Act (MBEA) Enabling By-law immediately following the table setting out provisions in the Vacant Buildings By-law.

Winnipeg Parking By-law amended
83 Subsection 11(3) of the Winnipeg Parking By-law No. 86/2016 is replaced with the following:

11(3) No vehicle other than a taxi licenced under the Vehicles for Hire By-law may be stopped or parked in a space designated by a traffic control device for taxi or taxicab parking.

DIVISION 2 - COMING INTO FORCE

Coming into force
84 Subject to section 85, this By-law comes into force on February 28, 2018.

Transitional
85 Despite section 84 (Coming into force) and pursuant to subsection 11(1) of The Local Vehicles for Hire Act, provisions of this By-law are hereby made effective prior to the coming into force of this By-law to the extent that it is necessary for them to be effective earlier in order for the By-law as a whole to be effective and operational on that date.

DONE AND PASSED this day of , 2017.

Mayor

City Clerk

Approved as to content:

Chief of Transportation and Utilities

Legally reviewed and certified as to form:

for Director of Legal Services and City Solicitor
### THIS IS SCHEDULE A TO

**THE VEHICLES FOR HIRE BY-LAW NO. XX/2017**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Provision</th>
<th>Contravention</th>
<th>Administrative Penalty</th>
<th>Discounted Amount ($)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>s.4(1)</td>
<td>Provide transportation services without a license</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>s.4(2)</td>
<td>Allow provision of transportation services without a license</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>s.4(3)</td>
<td>Dispatch a vehicle without a license</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>s.5</td>
<td>Failure to pay a fare</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>s.6</td>
<td>Dispatch or offer to dispatch vehicle for hire without a valid dispatcher license</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>s.7</td>
<td>Dispatch an unlicensed or unregistered vehicle for hire or driver</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>s.10(1)</td>
<td>Failure to establish or maintain approved data security measures</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>s.10(2)</td>
<td>Make changes to data security measures without approval</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>s.10(3)</td>
<td>Failure to comply with an order to make changes to a data security measures</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>s.11(1)</td>
<td>Failure to establish or maintain an approved property retrieval process</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>s.11(2)</td>
<td>Make changes to property retrieval process without approval</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>s.11(3)</td>
<td>Failure to comply with an order to make changes to a property retrieval process</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>s.12(1)</td>
<td>Failure to establish or maintain an approved complaints response process</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>s.12(2)</td>
<td>Failure to maintain complaints response process records</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>s.12(2)</td>
<td>Failure to make records available within required time</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>s.12(3)</td>
<td>Make changes to a complaints response process without approval</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>s.12(4)</td>
<td>Failure to comply with an order to make changes to a complaints response process</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>s.13(1)</td>
<td>Failure to maintain dispatch insurance</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>s.13(4)</td>
<td>Failure to provide proof of insurance within required time</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>s.14(1)</td>
<td>Failure to maintain required vehicle records</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>s.14(1)(e)</td>
<td>Failure to maintain required driver records</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>s.14(2)</td>
<td>Failure to retain required records for required period</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>s.14(3)</td>
<td>Failure to provide records within approved time</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section</td>
<td>Description</td>
<td>Fine</td>
<td>Fee</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>s.14(4)</td>
<td>Failure to provide required records on license suspension, invalidation or termination</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>s.14(5)</td>
<td>Failure to make required records available for inspection</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>s.15(a)</td>
<td>Failure to report change in driver registration with dispatcher</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>s.15(b)</td>
<td>Failure to report information regarding convictions and charges</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>s.16</td>
<td>Failure to provide required public information through a digital platform</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>s.17</td>
<td>Failure to provide estimated time of arrival of requested vehicle</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>s.18</td>
<td>Failure to dispatch taxis in order of request</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>s.19(1)</td>
<td>Failure to immediately dispatch an accessible taxi for hire to passenger identifying a need for such a vehicle</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>s.19(3)</td>
<td>Offering to dispatch accessible taxis without accessible taxis available for service</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>s.21</td>
<td>Unauthorized dispatch of a taxi</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>s.22(a)</td>
<td>Dispatch or offer to dispatch a taxi by a non-digital platform</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>s.22(b)</td>
<td>Dispatch of unregistered taxi or taxi driver</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>s.23</td>
<td>Failure to preserve camera records for required time period</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>s.23</td>
<td>Failure to turn over camera records on request</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>s.24</td>
<td>Failure to provide 24/7 service</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>s.25</td>
<td>Failure to ensure required information is correctly displayed in taxi registered to the dispatcher</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>s.26</td>
<td>Failure by dispatcher to report refusal of service within 24 hours of receipt of report.</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>s.26</td>
<td>Failure to report all required information regarding a refusal of service</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>s.27</td>
<td>Failure to report change in eligibility of drivers registered with dispatcher</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>s.28</td>
<td>Dispatch of PTP or limousine without authorization</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>s.29</td>
<td>Dispatch of an uninsured vehicle</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>s.30</td>
<td>Use of a non-digital platform to dispatch a PTP other than a limousine</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>s.31</td>
<td>Failure to issue identification card to PTP driver registered with the dispatcher</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>s.32(1)</td>
<td>Failure to provide required information to prospective passenger at time of request for service</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>s.32(2)(a)</td>
<td>Failure to provide driver information to person requesting PTP service</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>s.32(2)(b)</td>
<td>Failure to provide vehicle information to person requesting PTP service</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>s.32(2)(c)</td>
<td>Failure to provide estimate of total cost of PTP service/</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section</td>
<td>Description</td>
<td>Penalty 1</td>
<td>Penalty 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>s.32(3)(a)</td>
<td>Failure to identify service limitations through digital platform</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>s.32(3)(b)</td>
<td>Failure to identify that payment may only be made through the digital platform</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>s.32(3)(c)</td>
<td>Failure to outline the complaints process on the digital platform</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>s.32(3)(d)</td>
<td>Failure to provide a process for a prospective passenger to accept or refuse the transportation service</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>s.32(3)(d)</td>
<td>Failure to maintain records of acceptance and refusal of service</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>s.32(3)(e)</td>
<td>Failure to provide a secure payment mechanism through the digital platform</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>s.32(3)(f)</td>
<td>Failure to provide a receipt following the provision of service</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>s.32(3)(f)</td>
<td>Failure to provide required information on the receipt</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>s.33(1)</td>
<td>Registration of PTP driver without required documentation</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>s.33(2)</td>
<td>Registration of an ineligible driver (convictions)</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>s.33(3)</td>
<td>Registration of an ineligible driver (child abuse registry)</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>s.33(4)</td>
<td>Registration of an ineligible driver (major driving offence)</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>s.33(5)</td>
<td>Failure to notify designated employee of charges against a prospective driver</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>s.33(5)</td>
<td>Registration of potentially ineligible driver without approval</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>s.33(7)</td>
<td>Registration of driver without required consent regarding personal information release</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>s.33(8)</td>
<td>Registration of a PTP driver without required training</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>s.34(1)</td>
<td>Failure to require updated driver eligibility documentation</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>s.34(1)</td>
<td>Failure to terminate PTP driver registration if information not provided by driver</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>s.34(2)</td>
<td>Failure to terminate an ineligible driver PTP registration.</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>s.34(3)</td>
<td>Failure to comply with order to terminate PTP driver registration</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>s.35(1)</td>
<td>Registration of accessible PTP driver without proof of required training</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>s.35(2)</td>
<td>Failure to terminate existing registration of accessible PTP driver without proof of required training</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>s.36(1)</td>
<td>Registration of an ineligible vehicle (PTP)</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>s.36(2)</td>
<td>Failure to terminate PTP vehicle registration where required eligibility documentation is not provided</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>s.36(3)</td>
<td>Failure to comply with order to terminate PTP vehicle registration</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section</td>
<td>Description</td>
<td>Fine 1</td>
<td>Fine 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>--------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>s.37(a)</td>
<td>Provision or offer of transportation by means of a street hail without a licence and registration.</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>s.37(b)</td>
<td>Provision or offer of transportation by means of dispatch through a non-digital platform without a valid taxi licence</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>s.37(c)</td>
<td>Provision or offer of transportation in exchange for compensation by non-digital methods without a valid taxi licence</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>s.43(3)</td>
<td>Transfer of a taxi licence to an ineligible vehicle</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>s.45(1)</td>
<td>Failure to ensure taxi meets qualifications for licensing while in operation</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>s.45(2)</td>
<td>Failure to post required camera signage in taxi</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>s.45(3)</td>
<td>Failure to comply with signage and marking requirements</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>s.45(4)</td>
<td>Failure to have taxi inspected annually</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>s.46(1)(a)</td>
<td>Operating a vehicle for hire without registering with a licenced dispatcher</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>s.46(1)(b)</td>
<td>Operation of a vehicle for hire without valid driver’s licence</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>s.46(1)(c)</td>
<td>Operation of a vehicle for hire while ineligible (conviction)</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>s.46(1)(d)</td>
<td>Operation of a vehicle for hire while ineligible (driving offences)</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>s.46(2)</td>
<td>Failure of driver to notify dispatcher as required.</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>s.47</td>
<td>Operation of a taxi without valid taxi driver licence</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>s.52</td>
<td>Failure to display taxi driver licence as required</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>125</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>s.53(a)</td>
<td>Failure to notify designated employee (convictions)</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>s.53(b)</td>
<td>Failure to notify designated employee (charges)</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>s.53(c)</td>
<td>Failure to notify designated employee (child abuse registry)</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>s.53(d)</td>
<td>Failure to notify designated employee (licence suspension)</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>s.53(e)</td>
<td>Failure to notify designated employee (medical condition)</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>s.54(2)</td>
<td>Failure to provide dispatcher with information regarding street hails in required timeframe</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>125</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>s.56(a)</td>
<td>Failure to take most economical route</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>s.56(b)</td>
<td>Failure to provide reasonable assistance to a passenger</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>s.57(1)</td>
<td>Charge a fare not in accordance with the fare schedule</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>s.57(2)</td>
<td>Negotiating a fare in excess of what would be charged under the fare schedule</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>s.57(3)</td>
<td>Failure to operate the meter during service where a negotiated fare is in place.</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>s.58(1)</td>
<td>Refusal to provide taxi service without reasonable grounds</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section</td>
<td>Description</td>
<td>Fine</td>
<td>Penalty</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>---------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>s.58(3)</td>
<td>Failure to notify dispatcher of notice of refusal of service</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>s.59(2)</td>
<td>Failure to refund difference between fare as shown on meter and pre-payment</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>s.60</td>
<td>Failure to comply with dispatcher’s property retrieval policy</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>s.61(1)</td>
<td>Refusal of service to eligible passenger by accessible taxi driver</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>s.61(2)</td>
<td>Failure to respond to request for accessible taxi service</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>s.62(a)</td>
<td>Offering PTP services without registering with a PTP dispatcher</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>s.62(b)</td>
<td>Offering PTP services in an unregistered vehicle</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>s.62(c)</td>
<td>Offering PTP services without being dispatched by a licenced dispatcher</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>s.64(a)</td>
<td>Holding out of ineligible vehicle as accessible</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>s.64(b)</td>
<td>Holding out of ineligible driver as accessible PTP driver</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>s.65(a)(ii)</td>
<td>Charging a fare greater than the approved zone fare (limo)</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>s.70(1)</td>
<td>Failure to comply with terms, restrictions or conditions of licence</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>s.70(2)</td>
<td>Operation under licence during a period of suspension</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## APPENDIX B

### VEHICLES FOR HIRE

#### Fees

Effective February 28, 2018

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Drivers Licence Fees</th>
<th>Unit/Frequency</th>
<th>Notes</th>
<th>2018</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Taxi Driver (standard)</td>
<td>Annual</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$ 70.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Taxi Driver (accessible)</td>
<td>Annual</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$ 40.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Vehicle Licence Fees</th>
<th>Unit/Frequency</th>
<th>Notes</th>
<th>2018</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Taxi Vehicle (Standard)</td>
<td>Annual</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>$ 600.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Taxi Vehicle (Accessible)</td>
<td>Annual</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>$ 200.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dispatch Company - Taxi</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1-10 Vehicles</td>
<td>Annual</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>$ 2,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11-25 Vehicles</td>
<td>Annual</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>$ 5,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26-100 Vehicles</td>
<td>Annual</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>$ 20,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100+ Vehicles</td>
<td>Annual</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>$ 40,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accessibility Surcharge - Taxi</td>
<td>Per Trip</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>$ 0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Per Trip Fee - Taxi</td>
<td>Per Trip</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>$ 0.10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dispatch Company - Personal Transportation Provider (PTP)</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1-10 Vehicles</td>
<td>Annual</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>$ 2,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11-25 Vehicles</td>
<td>Annual</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>$ 5,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26-100 Vehicles</td>
<td>Annual</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>$ 20,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100+ Vehicles</td>
<td>Annual</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>$ 50,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accessibility Surcharge - PTP</td>
<td>Per Trip</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>$ 0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Per Trip Fee - PTP</td>
<td>Per Trip</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>$ 0.20</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Other Fees</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Vehicle licence transfer fee</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$ 400.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vehicle change fee</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$ 50.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Late payment fee - individual</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$ 300.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Late payment fee - dispatcher</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$ 1,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Appeal fee</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$ 250.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reinstatement of licence - individual</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$ 50.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reinstatement of licence - dispatcher</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$ 1,000.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Notes

1. Taxi Drivers Licenses renewal dates will match those of the Manitoba Driver’s License.

2. Vehicle Licenses are renewable every March 1.

3. Dispatch Licenses are renewable every March 1. Dispatchers must have separate licenses to operate a taxi dispatch and a PTP dispatch, however, only one license fee will apply.

4. An accessibility surcharge will be charged on every trip (accessible and non-accessible trips) during the month when less than 10% of all registered vehicles for hire of a dispatcher were accessible vehicles for hire demonstrated to have provided transportation services during the month. To count as an accessible vehicle for the purposes of determining the applicability of the accessibility surcharge, the dispatcher must demonstrate that an accessible vehicle provided multiple trips on at least one day per week in the month, was occupied on those days by a driver qualified to provide accessible transportation services, and was available on those days for dispatch to individuals who required accessible transportation services.

5. The per trip fee is to be applied to all trips. For taxi vehicles this includes both dispatched and street hails. Per trip fees must be paid monthly within 30 days after the end of month. Interest is charged on overdue amounts at 18% per annum.
• This maximum fare schedule sets out the maximum fare rates to be charged by taxi vehicles.
• The meter on a taxi vehicle must always be on when transportation service is being delivered.
• Lower amounts may be charged and could be negotiated in advance.
• If a rate is negotiated in advance the amount charged to the customer cannot be more than the total fare displayed on the meter at the end of the trip.
• Limousine and Luxury vehicle maximum rates are not regulated, but must be posted and agreed to in advance.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CATEGORY</th>
<th>Basic Charge</th>
<th>Each Additional 100 Metres</th>
<th>Each 15.00 seconds of waiting time</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Curb to Curb Service</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Taxicabs (Standard and Accessible)</td>
<td>$ 3.75</td>
<td>$ 0.16</td>
<td>$ 0.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Taxicabs - from Airport (Standard and Accessible)</td>
<td>$ 5.00</td>
<td>$ 0.16</td>
<td>$ 0.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Door to Door Service</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accessible Service</td>
<td>$ 12.65</td>
<td>$ 0.16</td>
<td>$ 0.13</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Additional Information
GST included in fare
Driver may ask for fare in advance
APPENDIX D

VEHICLES FOR HIRE
FULL TIME EQUIVALENTS
Winnipeg Parking Authority

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PERMANENT</th>
<th>2018</th>
<th>2019</th>
<th>2020</th>
<th>2021</th>
<th>2022</th>
<th>2023</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Manager of Vehicles for Hire</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coordinator of Investigations</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Screening Officer</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clerk C - Licensing</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Financial Analyst</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clerk B - Enforcement Administration</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Programmer Analyst 2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**TOTAL PERMANENT FTES** | 7    | 8    | 8.5  | 8.5  | 8.5  | 8.5  |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TEMPORARY</th>
<th>2018</th>
<th>2019</th>
<th>2020</th>
<th>2021</th>
<th>2022</th>
<th>2023</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Programmer/Analyst 2</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clerk B</td>
<td>2.50</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**TOTAL TEMPORARY FTES** | 3.50 | 1.00 | -    | -    | -    | -    |
## VEHICLES FOR HIRE
### ESTIMATED REVENUES AND EXPENSES

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2018</th>
<th>2019</th>
<th>2020</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Revenues</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drivers License Fees - Taxi</td>
<td>$127,000</td>
<td>$146,000</td>
<td>$146,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vehicle License Fees - Taxi</td>
<td>274,000</td>
<td>329,000</td>
<td>329,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brokerage / Dispatch Fees - Taxi</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>License</td>
<td>135,000</td>
<td>163,000</td>
<td>163,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Per Trip Fee - Taxi</td>
<td>342,000</td>
<td>400,000</td>
<td>400,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Income - Taxi Industry</strong></td>
<td>$878,000</td>
<td>$1,038,000</td>
<td>$1,038,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brokerage / Dispatch Fees - Private Transportation Provider (PTP)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>License</td>
<td>$113,000</td>
<td>$136,000</td>
<td>$136,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Per Trip Fee - PTP</td>
<td>265,000</td>
<td>318,000</td>
<td>318,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other revenues (penalties and fees)</td>
<td>22,000</td>
<td>27,000</td>
<td>27,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Estimated Revenue</strong></td>
<td>$1,278,000</td>
<td>$1,519,000</td>
<td>$1,519,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Expenditures</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salaries and Benefits</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Permanent</td>
<td>$542,000</td>
<td>$690,000</td>
<td>$746,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temporary</td>
<td>193,000</td>
<td>82,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contract Expenditures</td>
<td>252,000</td>
<td>308,000</td>
<td>315,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Office Setup Costs (Furniture, computers etc)</td>
<td>70,000</td>
<td>7,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vehicle lease and operating costs</td>
<td>40,000</td>
<td>49,000</td>
<td>49,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Operating costs (office space, telephone, supplies etc)</td>
<td>80,000</td>
<td>102,000</td>
<td>102,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transfer to 311</td>
<td>60,000</td>
<td>50,000</td>
<td>51,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General Government Charges</td>
<td>20,833</td>
<td>25,000</td>
<td>25,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community Services lost licensing revenue</td>
<td>41,000</td>
<td>41,000</td>
<td>41,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surplus held for future allocation to revenues or expenditures</td>
<td>190,000</td>
<td>215,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Estimated Expenditures</strong></td>
<td>$1,298,833</td>
<td>$1,544,000</td>
<td>$1,544,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Projected Surplus/Deficit - Winnipeg Parking Authority</strong></td>
<td>-</td>
<td>20,833</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Recovery into Corporate Departments for General Government Charges</strong></td>
<td>20,833</td>
<td>25,000</td>
<td>25,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Projected Surplus/Deficit - City of Winnipeg</strong></td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Introduction

Purpose

This report provides background, context, analysis and recommendations for requirements to administer a vehicle-for-hire by-law by the City of Winnipeg.

Background

Vehicles for Hire in Winnipeg were previously regulated under The Taxicab Act and Regulations, administered by the Taxicab Board. In the spring of 2017, the Province of Manitoba tabled Bill 30, The Local Vehicles for Hire Act (the Act). The purpose of this Act is to provide municipalities with specific powers to make by-laws regulating vehicles for hire. The Act states that in regulating the vehicle for hire industry, a municipality “must have regard for the desire to create and maintain a sustainable industry that meets the needs of the travelling public within the municipality as well as those that work within the industry”.

The Act, effective February 28, 2018, obligates the City of Winnipeg to establish by-laws to regulate vehicles for hire under The City of Winnipeg Charter. The current regulatory structure includes two major licencing requirements – a taxicab business licence and a taxicab driver licence. There are currently twelve (12) sub-categories of taxicab, limousine or bus. A notable change enabled by the Act is the inclusion of vehicles ‘hired by way of an online application, a digital network or platform, a website or any other similar manner’ (personal transportation provider, or PTP) as vehicles for hire.

Approach

The City of Winnipeg engaged MNP to provide background and insights from the 2016 Taxicab Services Review to support transition of regulatory authority to the City of Winnipeg. This included

- Assistance in development of the draft by-law for regulation of the industry
- Support for stakeholder engagement processes
- Analysis and recommendations for the administrative structure and requirements to enable implementation.

Guiding principles for this activity included modern, fair regulation, efficient administration, responsible enforcement and full cost recovery. The approach also needs to enable implementation by February 28, 2018, recognizing there may be future adjustments in the by-law or organizational structure as the City learns more through its implementation.

Assistance in Development of Draft By-Law

MNP provided examples of regulatory language from other jurisdictions, and participated in discussions regarding options for the approach in Winnipeg. MNP offered recommendations for inclusion consistent with recommendations contained in the 2016 Taxicab Services Review, including:

- Focusing regulatory attention on key areas of public interest, including passenger safety, driver safety, consumer protection and accessibility
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- Expanding market choice for vehicle for hire services, and in doing so enabling competitive market forces to drive standards of customer service and reduce associated regulation and administrative involvement
- Establishing similar obligations on all classes of vehicle for hire, except where clearly necessary for reasons of passenger safety, driver safety, consumer protection or accessibility.
- Reducing licence classifications and supply limits where unnecessary for consumer protection
- Creating a new licence category that places obligations on dispatchers, including dispatching only to eligible drivers and vehicles, tracking and responding to complaints, maintaining records, and providing detailed trip data to enable the City to effectively monitor supply and demand for consideration of supply limits where necessary
- Maximizing the efficiency of by-law administration to enable full cost recovery.

MNP also calculated changes in costs experienced by the taxi industry, applied on the basis of a Taxi Cost Index contemplated in the MNP 2016 report. This calculation is included in Appendix B.

Support for Stakeholder Engagement
MNP provided information regarding previously conducted consultations, assisted in identifying stakeholders for inclusion in engagement processes related to the proposed by-law, attended engagement meetings to provide background on the original study, and assisted with facilitation as necessary to enable participation of all attendees.

Organizational Structure Analysis
In addition to participation in development of the draft by-law and related stakeholder engagement, MNP updated and validated information regarding the administrative resources employed by the Taxicab Board to administer the current Act and regulations. MNP also researched structures, activity levels and resources in other municipalities that have recently implemented vehicle for hire by-laws enabling personal transportation providers (PTPs) as vehicles for hire to gain insight into their experience.

MNP then reviewed the existing organizational structure and mandates of City of Winnipeg departments that had similar functional responsibilities. MNP met with the management of these departments to discuss alignment, capacity and organizational capability related to implementation of a vehicle-for-hire by-law. Based on this discussion, MNP gathered specific information from each functional area to identify the existing knowledge, capabilities, resources and capacity.
Functional Requirements to Administer Vehicle for Hire Bylaw

There are three primary functional areas of activity required to support regulation of the vehicle-for-hire industry: Licensing, Enforcement, and Support (IT, Finance, HR). An overview of these requirements is shown below. Specific activities within these functional areas reflect adjustments in approach identified by the City of Winnipeg to streamline the regulatory approach, maximize efficiency and enable full cost recovery for regulation of this industry.

**Activities:**
- **Licensing**
  - Receive and process driver, vehicle and dispatcher license applications
    - Screen application forms
    - Review supporting documents according to terms and conditions
    - Manitoba driver licence and safety rating
    - Criminal record checks
    - Training certificates
    - Vehicle registration
    - Insurance
    - Corporate information (dispatch only)
  - Issue or decline license
- **Enforcement**
  - On-street monitoring for non-compliance
    - Evident mechanical safety issues
    - Unauthorized activity by non-metered (street hail, taxi stand, cash)
    - Metre and camera checks
    - Unlicensed participant activity
  - Complaint investigation (regulatory matters)
  - Audit / sample dispatch records of registered drivers / vehicles
  - Assessment of fines, license suspension
- **Support**
  - Data management and reporting
    - Licences
    - Enforcement activity
    - Trip data
      - Supply and demand
      - Service levels
  - Financial management
    - Invoicing trip fees and surcharges
    - Reconciliations
    - Budgets / reporting
    - Fee schedule
    - Fine collections
  - Policy
    - By Law and Policy
    - Number of metered cabs
    - TCI Fare adjustments
  - Communications
    - Stakeholder relations
    - Public and industry communication

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Historic Volume (Oct 2017)</th>
<th>Historic Volume</th>
<th>Historic Volume</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2,600 Drivers</td>
<td>Inspections</td>
<td>n/a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>661 Business Licences</td>
<td>2015/16</td>
<td>4,999</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>120 Seasonal business licences</td>
<td>2014/15</td>
<td>1,743</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2013/14</td>
<td>1,940</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Complaints</td>
<td>155</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>109</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>123</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Organizational Analysis

Current City Structure

The City of Winnipeg has a number of departments that currently support services related to licencing, enforcement, and public transportation. Following an initial review, the departments with the greatest alignment are highlighted in the organizational chart below.

[Organizational chart showing departments and their alignment for consideration]
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Departmental Business Alignment and Capabilities

The information below reflects an overview of the knowledge, capabilities, resources and capacity of the identified departments in the functional areas required for administration of the by-law.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FUNCTIONAL AREA</th>
<th>LICENSING</th>
<th>ENFORCEMENT</th>
<th>SUPPORT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>BUSINESS LICENSING</strong></td>
<td>- Property based licensing</td>
<td>- Enforcement services provided by Community By Law Enforcement Services.</td>
<td>- Regulatory orientation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 FTE</td>
<td>- Street front customer service counter, 8:30 – 4:30 (2 desks)</td>
<td>- Estimated resource allocation of &lt;1 (of 18) FTE</td>
<td>- Community By-Law Enforcement Services receives internal supports for HR, Finance, IT and Strategic Support/Communications.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Revenues $675,000 (est)</td>
<td>- AMANDA database, with automatic internal referrals for approvals</td>
<td>- Activity tracked in PPD Property Database (AMANDA)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Experience reviewing documentation for terms and conditions, including CRC</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Renewal notice / payment annually (no approvals required)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- No available office space within existing offices</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>WINNIPEG PARKING</strong></td>
<td>- Vehicle based permitting</td>
<td>- 24/7 on-street contract enforcement officers -- contract scalable to meet demands, internal oversight</td>
<td>- Regulatory orientation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AUTHORITY</td>
<td>- Street front permitting and customer service desks (5 desks)</td>
<td>- 24/7 Dispatch, intuitive routing and live location monitoring centre</td>
<td>- Self-sustaining mandate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>53 FTE</td>
<td>- Experience reviewing documentation for terms and conditions, including CRC</td>
<td>- Automated licence plate recognition (ALPR) technology linked to permitting information system</td>
<td>- SOA flexibility, authority for use of contracts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80 External FTE (multiple contracts)</td>
<td>- Internal permit adjudication (accept/decline), escalation process for appeals</td>
<td>- 15 mobile units, 7 with ALPR</td>
<td>- Segregated budgeting, reporting capability</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Revenues $16.9 MM (2017 adopted budget)</td>
<td>- Permitting information system (analytical functionality, scalable, linked to license plate)</td>
<td>- Foot patrol officers have access to permit information through hand held units</td>
<td>- Invoicing, reconciliation experience</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- 24/7 customer service</td>
<td></td>
<td>- Dedicated collections staff, contract support</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Capacity for additional staff within existing offices</td>
<td></td>
<td>- Responsible for Manitoba Bylaw Enforcement Act (MBEA) – screening and adjudication process for all City departments, fines, collections, liens capability</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FUNCTIONAL AREA</th>
<th>LICENSING</th>
<th>ENFORCEMENT</th>
<th>SUPPORT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>WINNIPEG TRANSIT</strong>&lt;br&gt;1,586 FTE&lt;br&gt;Operating budget $191.3 MM; Subsidy $55.1 MM (2017 adopted budget)</td>
<td>- Handi Transit passenger registration, dispatch centre&lt;br&gt;- Council mandated appeal board for passenger eligibility&lt;br&gt;- Handi Transit driver training, testing and certification – administer language tests, review background checks and driver abstracts (78 vehicles)&lt;br&gt;- Establish and monitor Handi Transit vehicle requirements&lt;br&gt;- Planned renovations to better accommodate existing staff (no excess office space)&lt;br&gt;- Customer service centre 8 am - 10pm, 7 days per week- take / schedule trip requests&lt;br&gt;- After-hours service 6 am - 12:30 am</td>
<td>- 2 on-street inspectors for Handi Transit – driver/vehicle spot checks, complaint response&lt;br&gt;- Mobile and foot patrol supervisors designated as Special Constables that support and monitor Transit bus operators.&lt;br&gt;- Uniformed instruction staff ride buses, make observations&lt;br&gt;- Non-uniformed investigation officers (contract)&lt;br&gt;- Enforcement oriented to ensuring own service/employees conforms to standards</td>
<td>- Service delivery orientation&lt;br&gt;- Subsidized service&lt;br&gt;- Information systems – Custom built dispatch, scheduling and client maintenance system (converting to RouteMatch)&lt;br&gt;- Maintenance garage, Provincial Vehicle inspections&lt;br&gt;- Invoicing / contract payment, reconciliation&lt;br&gt;- Financial management&lt;br&gt;- Policy development, approved by council</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Best Fit

A summary comparison of the business alignment, capability and capacity of each area is shown below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Business Alignment</th>
<th>BUSINESS LICENSING</th>
<th>WINNIPEG PARKING AUTHORITY</th>
<th>WINNIPEG TRANSIT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Regulatory focus</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Licencing / permitting processing</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Customer service</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>(passenger)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(licensee)</td>
<td>(licensee)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adjudication and appeal</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Compliance monitoring</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enforcement</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business hours</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>24/7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Street/vehicle orientation</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cost recovery</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Capability and Capacity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Suitable management structure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enabling technology</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scalable resources / flexibility</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Extended hours</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stakeholder relations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IT/ Business analysis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Financial analysis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other relevant capabilities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based on our analysis and the information available, the best fit and most efficient use of existing resources would place responsibility for administration of the By-law with the Winnipeg Parking Authority for the following reasons:

- Current business activity focuses on vehicle-based permitting and enforcement
- Existing knowledge, capabilities and experience administering regulatory requirements of similar scale on a cost recovery basis
- Powerful permitting system capabilities adaptable to VFH licensing requirements
- Scalable, Automated Licence Plate Recognition (ALPR) technology-enabled on-street enforcement capabilities
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- 24-7 customer service capability, with street level customer access during business hours
- Alignment with responsibility for implementation of Municipal By-law Enforcement Act (MBEA), management of on-street parking and loading zones used by taxis
- Management structure capable of overseeing functional activities and experience operating with a transparent, full cost-recovery mandate
- Internal supports for financial management, IT, policy
- Resourcing flexibility as a Special Operating Agency.

While Winnipeg Transit has many capabilities suitable for administration of the VFH By-Law, its core business is oriented more toward service delivery than third-party regulation. Transit is also a very large functional area and may dwarf the addition of the small VFH administration team, making it difficult to maintain a view of its functioning, effectiveness and cost-recovery. The training and testing delivered by Handi Transit, while similar to that required for accessible vehicles for hire, is currently and would continue to be a market-delivered service accessed directly by industry participants.

The Business Licensing Branch has very limited resources, and is oriented to property-based licensing and enforcement.

Resource Requirements

Staffing

Administration of the current Act and Regulations is supported by seven (7) full time equivalent employees, as shown to the right. While at times backlogged, the administrative resources were sufficient to process licences, queries, inspections and complaints. The Taxicab Board office was unable to gather or analyze industry information to inform policy or regulatory development with existing resources. Additional enforcement officers may be required should there be an increase in licences and to perform evening enforcement under the current structure.

The City expects to significantly streamline the issuance of new licences, complaint management, and fare adjustments that previously involved the Taxicab Board. For the immediate transition, licence renewals may need to be processed using Taxicab Board legacy processes and database, until the permitting system can be adapted for use. The introduction of a new licence category for dispatchers and the addition of PTPs will add administrative workload that can be estimated with less certainty than the existing requirements, and will vary with the intended level of enforcement activity.

With the opportunity to streamline the regulatory structure overall, the City will also reduce the frequency of inspections for customer service and other minor infractions. Mechanical inspections will be referred to certified service providers, also reducing demand for this expertise. The concerns expressed by the public in the 2016 Review indicate a greater emphasis is required in some areas,
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including choice, public safety and consumer protection. The introduction of PTPs also creates a new enforcement environment and related challenges. The net impact is expected to be a re-orientation versus a lessening of enforcement resources.

Within the existing WPA structure, an addition of approximately five (5) full time equivalent administrative staff, an Investigations Coordinator, plus the contract equivalent of approximately four (4) full time equivalent enforcement resources are recommended to administer the vehicle-for-hire by-law for the first 12-24 months. These resources are reasonably similar to those employed in other municipalities (see Appendix A). It is also important to note that these other municipalities had established structures for regulating vehicles for hire, and only needed to adjust for the addition of PTPs. Most are still learning what will be required on an ongoing basis. Once the function is fully established in Winnipeg, and supporting technology is in place, the resources required to implement the by-law should be reviewed again. Term or contract resources may facilitate this flexibility.

Systems and Equipment

Technology: Some customization of the existing permitting system is required to fully support the new licence applications. A database with an on-line portal to enable licensee data uploads of trip data and registered driver and vehicle information will need to be developed or purchased and customized for this application.

Vehicle: 1-2 mobile units (vehicles with ALPR capability and hand-held computers) will be required to support on-street enforcement (two during peak times), subject to fit with the schedule of the current fleet.

General Office: Work stations for licensing / registration staff.
Implementation

The Vehicles for Hire By-Law must be implemented effective March 1, 2018. A number of activities will be required to implement the by-law:

Policy
- Develop policy to support consistent implementation of the by-law in all areas where authority is given to the Designated Employee
- Communicate the by-law requirements to existing license holders, new licencees (dispatchers and PTPs) and the public

Structure / People
- Develop or refine position descriptions for required staff
- Recruit and train licensing staff
- Train customer service staff
- Organize and train contract enforcement resources

Process
- Design business processes and procedures to enable efficient administration
- Develop scripts, referral protocols and provide training for 311 staff

Technology
- Determine protocols for data entry and/or customize existing systems to enable input of licencee information. Secure software licence for existing taxicab licence database (if needed to support transition).
- Determine requirements and source system or service to enable ongoing upload of data from dispatch companies.

Transition Existing Licences
- Issue new licences (renewals): Approximately sixty-seven (67) person-days of effort may be required to transition licences under the new by-law for existing industry participants:
  - All business licenses (currently 661, plus 120 seasonal) will expire as of February 28, and will need to be renewed for March 1. These licenses also all expired on the same date under the current system. The Taxicab Board annually takes about a week to prepare documentation for business license renewals in advance, and then approximately 5-10 minutes to process each licence renewal with the client. Combined, these renewals would require approximately twenty (20) person-days.
  - There are currently 1,600 licensed drivers. At an average of six renewals per hour (45 per day) these would require approximately thirty-five (35) person-days.
  - New dispatch licences can be expected to require more time. Approximately forty-four (44) cab and limousine companies are currently listed by the Taxicab Board, and at least one PTP
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company has expressed interest. If four (4) licences per day can be issued this would require approximately twelve (12) person-days based on the number of existing companies.

Implementation Resources

Given the intensity of the effort required in a short period of time (assumed to begin approximately mid-December), a dedicated project manager is recommended to coordinate all activity and support effective change management for a minimum of six months. Full-time resources for policy development, business process design and systems development can be expected to be required for three to six months to compliment internal resources. Communications support will be needed for a similar period of time.
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Appendix A - Other Municipalities

Administrative Structures

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Municipality</th>
<th>Department Responsible</th>
<th>Operating Budget</th>
<th>Staffing</th>
<th>Systems / Equipment</th>
<th>Other Resources</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Edmonton</td>
<td>- Development Services, Business Licensing - Citizen Services, Community Standards (enforcement)</td>
<td>$1.2 million revenue $1.5 million expenses for administration and enforcement in first year</td>
<td>7-8 FTE (est) Program Manager Policy Analyst (2) Service Team (2 est.) - Part of team of 7 for all business licensing Peace Officers (6) - For both parking and VFH</td>
<td>Database system in development</td>
<td>Centralized Permit &amp; Licensing Service Centre Customer Service Supervisor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Edmonton</td>
<td>Emergency and Protective Services, By-law &amp; Regulatory Services Branch</td>
<td>Estimated $500,000 salaries</td>
<td>Approx. 7 FTE + access to additional periodic capacity Program Manager (whole unit, incl VFH) 2 Taxi Inspectors (6 am-2am); additional support from other inspectors for semi-annual inspections 1 Coordinator 1 Business Licensing Assistant (6 during peak time of year) Operations support (IT) 1 FTE Strategic Support Coordinator (Policy) 1 FTE</td>
<td>TIM (Taxi Information System) – custom build database Access to UBER App and data Inspectors use laptops in field (no access to TIM)</td>
<td>Complaints through 311</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waterloo Region</td>
<td>Licensing and Enforcement Services (also business licences)</td>
<td>Cost recovery Fees est $480,000 2017</td>
<td>5.5 FTE Manager 1 clerk* Broker processes driver and vehicle licenses 3.5 FTE enforcement officers (8am – midnight, 7 days) – ARIS database, receive files in csv format. Implementing GovPilot with portal for industry uploads ($25,000/yr); enables text complaints</td>
<td>ARIS database, receive files in csv format.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
City of Winnipeg Regulation of Vehicles for Hire

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Municipality</th>
<th>Department Responsible</th>
<th>Operating Budget</th>
<th>Staffing</th>
<th>Systems / Equipment</th>
<th>Other Resources</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>New PTP by-law</td>
<td>Planning &amp; Economic Development, Licensing &amp; By-Law Services</td>
<td></td>
<td>compliance auditing of broker records (10/day) as well as on-street enforcement</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sept 2016</td>
<td>- Licensing Unit</td>
<td></td>
<td>Considering contract forensic auditor</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Municipal Law Enforcement Unit</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hamilton</td>
<td>Planning &amp; Economic Development, Licensing &amp; By-Law Services</td>
<td></td>
<td>Not segmented</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Licensing Unit</td>
<td></td>
<td>Existing compliment for taxicab industry</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Municipal Law Enforcement Unit</td>
<td></td>
<td>+1 admin</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>+2 PT enforcement to administer PTP</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Winnipeg</td>
<td>Manitoba Municipal Affairs, Winnipeg Taxicab Board</td>
<td>$260,000 Revenue (2014)</td>
<td>7 FTE Total</td>
<td>Proprietary Taxicab Database for Licensee information (in VB.NET (Visual Studio 2005), with SQL Server 2005 and Infragistics .NET components)</td>
<td>Taxicab Board Departmental Finance, HR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- Fees only. Fines assessed through court. $764,000 Expenditures - $606,000 Salaries - $148,000 Other</td>
<td>- Director Licensing Administration (3) Compliance Unit (3)</td>
<td>- Mobile Units</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Interviews with representatives from functional areas in each municipality; Published reports; Statistics Canada population
Lessons Learned

The following further observations were offered by the cities identified above as lessons learned through their implementation of by-laws enabling personal transportation providers (PTPs).

- The degree of impact of PTPs on the taxi industry is not yet clear. From what data is available so far, other cities have indicated reductions in fees or trips of 10-20%. One city indicated that total trips by all categories of VFH doubled since introduction of PTPs.
- The number of PTP drivers varies by City (not reliably similar based on population). For example, despite similar population numbers, Ottawa has a much higher number of PTP drivers than Edmonton. Some effect may be due to market conditions driving demand. Some may be the impact of regulatory requirements. For example, only a general driver’s licence is required in Ontario. There are two layers of regulation in Alberta, and a commercial driver’s licence is required.
- Trip data has value to a city’s transportation planning and transit departments. There may be other uses for the data. Including permission in dispatcher licence agreements to use or share this information may be needed to enable this use.
- Clear definitions and communication to educate the public and vehicle for hire drivers on the by-law is important, particularly regarding street hails and licence requirements.
- Regular (daily / weekly) audit of PTP driver and vehicle records is part of standard enforcement practices. Ottawa’s update report confirmed satisfactory compliance was evident on both audit and field enforcement (including no street hailing, acceptance of cash, proof of insurance).
- It is important to establish clear criteria where driver / vehicle screening is done by the dispatcher.
- Enforcement capacity ranges (2-3.5 officers). Most have indicated that more would be better.
Appendix B – Taxicab Cost Index Calculation

**TAXICAB COST INDEX CALCULATION**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Weight</th>
<th>2010</th>
<th>2017</th>
<th>Change</th>
<th>Weighted Change</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Wages</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>894.61</td>
<td>1051.22</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td>0.0525</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fuel</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>93.9</td>
<td>87.6</td>
<td>-7%</td>
<td>-0.0148</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Insurance</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>6563</td>
<td>10360</td>
<td>58%</td>
<td>0.0579</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All other</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>114.5</td>
<td>129.8</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>0.0508</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>14.64%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Total weighted change in costs

Category weightings based on average cost percentages of total from industry-supplied information in 2016 study.

**Source of Benchmark Data:**
- **Wages**
  Statistics Canada Cansim Table 281-0063 Weekly Earnings for Transportation and warehousing, Manitoba, July 2010 and July 2017
- **Fuel**
  Statistics Canada Cansim Table 326-0009 Average Retail Prices for Gasoline and fuel Oil, Winnipeg, July 2010 and July 2017
- **Insurance**
  MPI, Premium Rate for Taxicabs (note, the general rate increase was used in MNPs 2016 report and under-estimated the change in the premium rates for taxicabs)
- **All other**
  Statistics Canada Cansim Table 326-0020, Consumer Price Index, Winnipeg, All Items, July 2010 and July 2017
The following comparisons with other municipalities were prepared for and included in the 2016 Taxicab Services Review report. Unless specifically noted (Tables 9, 14, 18, 20, 21 – Hamilton and Edmonton), the information has not been updated since the 2016 report. Table numbers are as referenced in the 2016 report.

Table 1 Ratio of Standard and Accessible Taxicabs to Population

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Calgary</th>
<th>Edmonton</th>
<th>Hamilton</th>
<th>Ottawa</th>
<th>Vancouver</th>
<th>Winnipeg</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Population</td>
<td>1,235,171</td>
<td>812,900</td>
<td>519,949</td>
<td>960,756</td>
<td>648,608</td>
<td>718,400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Standard Licences</td>
<td>1,699</td>
<td>1,235 (max)</td>
<td>431</td>
<td>1,001</td>
<td>475</td>
<td>410 (+112 Nov-Mar)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accessible Licences</td>
<td>210</td>
<td>95 (8%) (max)</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>187 (19%)</td>
<td>133 (22%)</td>
<td>52 (13%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Combined Ratio</td>
<td>1:647 (calculated)</td>
<td>1:611 (calculated)</td>
<td>1:1,170</td>
<td>1:806</td>
<td>1:1,066 + peak period part-time (calculated)</td>
<td>1:1,555 (1:1,252 Nov-Mar)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Method</td>
<td>Formula</td>
<td>Maximum # in By-Law</td>
<td>Population Ratio</td>
<td>Population Ratio</td>
<td>Public Convenience &amp; Necessity</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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## Table 2 Regulatory Framework Across Jurisdictions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TNC Regulations</th>
<th>Edmonton</th>
<th>Calgary</th>
<th>Ottawa</th>
<th>Hamilton*</th>
<th>Toronto</th>
<th>Waterloo</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>By-Law No.</td>
<td>17400; Amended by 17946 July 2017</td>
<td>12M2016</td>
<td>2016-272</td>
<td>Schedule 24, By-Law 07-170</td>
<td>575-2016</td>
<td>16-044 / 045</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Effective date</td>
<td>Mar 1/16</td>
<td>Apr 4/16</td>
<td>Sept 30/16</td>
<td>Jan 25/17</td>
<td>Jul 15/16</td>
<td>Nov 1/16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Licence Requirement</td>
<td>Class 4 (Commercial)</td>
<td>Class 4 (Commercial)</td>
<td>Class G (General)</td>
<td>Class G (General)</td>
<td>Class G (General)</td>
<td>Class G (General)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Insurance (all by TNC)</td>
<td>$1MM TPL; $2MM Auto</td>
<td>$1MM TPL; $2MM Auto</td>
<td>$5MM GL; $2MM Auto</td>
<td>$5MM GL; $2MM Auto</td>
<td>$5MM GL; $2MM Auto</td>
<td>$5MM GL; $2MM Auto</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Criminal Record Checks</td>
<td>Yes – City PS</td>
<td>Yes – City PS</td>
<td>Yes – City PS</td>
<td>Yes – TNC</td>
<td>Yes - TNC</td>
<td>Yes - TNC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drivers Abstract</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes – TNC</td>
<td>Yes - TNC</td>
<td>Yes – TNC</td>
<td>Yes – TNC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Training</td>
<td>Yes – TNC</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vehicle Age</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>10 years</td>
<td>10 years</td>
<td>10 years</td>
<td>7 years</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Licence Fee</td>
<td>As amended July 2017</td>
<td>TNC Dispatch</td>
<td>Varies by size $5,000--$20,000 for 1001+ vehicles +$0.20/trip</td>
<td>Varies by size $807-$7,253 for 100+ vehicles +$0.11/trip</td>
<td>Varies by size 1-24 vehicles $5,073 25-99, $20,073 100+, $50,073</td>
<td>$20,000 +$0.30/trip</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>TNC Dispatch</td>
<td>Regional PTP</td>
<td>Regional PTP</td>
<td>Regional PTP</td>
<td>Regional PTP</td>
<td>Regional PTP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Regional PTP - $62/yr or $102/2 yrs</td>
<td>$1,020 dispatch</td>
<td>$5,073</td>
<td>$20,073</td>
<td>$50,073</td>
<td>$15 per driver (paid by TNC)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Driver Licence Fee</td>
<td>As amended July 2017</td>
<td>$15 per driver (paid by TNC)</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>$15 per driver (paid by TNC)</td>
<td>No (per trip fee)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fares</td>
<td>TNC set; $3.25 min</td>
<td>TNC set</td>
<td>TNC set</td>
<td>TNC set</td>
<td>TNC set</td>
<td>TNC set</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Table 3 Dispatch Licence Fees Across Jurisdictions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Calgary</th>
<th>Edmonton</th>
<th>Hamilton</th>
<th>Ottawa</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Taxi Broker Licence</td>
<td>$1,753</td>
<td>$1,020 (Jan 2017)</td>
<td>$1,143; $1,038 renewal</td>
<td>Varies by size $807 - $7,253 for 100+ vehicles + $0.11 per trip fee</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TNC* Licence</td>
<td>Current: $1,753 Proposed: Vary by size from $5,000-$20,000 for 1001+ vehicles + $15 per driver + $0.20 per trip</td>
<td>As amended July 2017 Regional PTP: $1,020 (driver and vehicle must also be licensed) Commercial PTP (200+ drivers): $3,000-$20,000 +$0.30 per trip (replacing driver and vehicle licence) Accessibility surcharge $50 per vehicle to max $50,000</td>
<td>PTP (May 2017) 1-24 vehicles $5,073 25-99 vehicles $20,073 100+ vehicles $50,073</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4 Driver Licensing Requirements Across Jurisdictions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Calgary</th>
<th>Edmonton</th>
<th>Hamilton</th>
<th>Ottawa</th>
<th>Vancouver</th>
<th>Winnipeg</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Drivers Licence</td>
<td>Professional</td>
<td>Professional</td>
<td>General</td>
<td>General</td>
<td>Commercial</td>
<td>Professional</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Criminal Records</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>*Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Driving Records</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Service &amp; Skills Training</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Accessible taxi drivers only</td>
<td>Yes Additional for accessible only</td>
<td>Yes Additional for accessible only</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>English Proficiency</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Driver Licence Fee</td>
<td>$135 annual renewal; $220 TNC driver</td>
<td>$100/2 years driver (all)</td>
<td>$281; $209 renewal</td>
<td>$96 $60 accessible</td>
<td>$70 record check</td>
<td>$30 annual renewal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Driver Training Cost</td>
<td>$330</td>
<td>Provided by dispatchers</td>
<td>$500</td>
<td>$1,200 Program currently being revised</td>
<td>$550</td>
<td>$300 + $100 for Accessibility Training</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Table 5 Comparison of Fees Across Jurisdictions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Calgary</th>
<th>Edmonton</th>
<th>Hamilton*</th>
<th>Ottawa</th>
<th>Vancouver</th>
<th>Winnipeg</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Taxicab License Fee</strong></td>
<td>$135 reg. cert + $1051 new. + $877/yr; $220/yr AT</td>
<td>$408 Taxi $408 AT</td>
<td>$4,544</td>
<td>$617 renewal, $305 late fee (all licence categories) Priority list fee $95 before Sept 30; $168 after</td>
<td>$600</td>
<td>$559 + PTB fee ($100-200) $179 handicab van</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Transfer Fee</strong></td>
<td>$250 appln; $250 tsf</td>
<td>$949</td>
<td>$785</td>
<td>$4,033</td>
<td>$136</td>
<td>$400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Driver Licence Fee</strong></td>
<td>$135 annual renewal; $220 TNC driver</td>
<td>$102/2 years driver (all)</td>
<td>Taxi - $192; $100 renewal, Limo - $286; $194 renewal $19 photo fee (3 yrs)</td>
<td>$96* $0 accessible</td>
<td>$970 record check</td>
<td>$30 annual renewal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Dispatch / Broker Fee</strong></td>
<td>$1,753 new; $1,753/yr $5,000 to $20,000 minimum ($0.20 per trip + $15 per driver) TNC</td>
<td>Taxi - $1,020 TNC $1,020 TNC $1,020 TNC $1,020 TNC $1,020 TNC $1,020 TNC</td>
<td>Taxi - $1,166; $1,059 renewal, $305 late fee PTP 1-24 vehicles $5,073 25-99 vehicles $20,073 100+ vehicles $50,073</td>
<td>$807* (1-24) $2,470* (25-99) $7,253* (100+) + $.11/trip</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Limousine License Fee</strong></td>
<td>$703</td>
<td>$408</td>
<td>$691 new; $618 renewal</td>
<td>Owner $996; $600/vehicle/year</td>
<td>$129 per office + $213 per vehicle</td>
<td>$200</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Amended July 2017; all others updated Jan 2017

*Revised May 10, 2017
City of Winnipeg Regulation of Vehicles for Hire

Table 6 Taxi Cost Index – 2012 Base Rate

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cost Category</th>
<th>BC</th>
<th>Calgary</th>
<th>Ottawa</th>
<th>Hamilton *</th>
<th>Winnipeg</th>
<th>Change since 2012</th>
<th>Weighted Calculation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Wages (Statistics Canada, Transportation &amp; Warehousing)</td>
<td>31%</td>
<td>51.1%</td>
<td>50.7%</td>
<td>29.2%</td>
<td>30%</td>
<td>+2.7%</td>
<td>.027*.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fuel (Statistics Canada)</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td>21.6%</td>
<td>23%</td>
<td>22.5%</td>
<td>31.7%</td>
<td>Fuel: -24%</td>
<td>Insurance: +4.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Insurance (MPI base rate adjustments)</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>6.2%</td>
<td>6.2%</td>
<td>8.0%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CPI (All other) (Statistics Canada)</td>
<td>37%</td>
<td>20.1%</td>
<td>20.1%</td>
<td>40.3%</td>
<td>38%</td>
<td>+7.2%</td>
<td>.072*.38</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* January 2017

Total -0.8%

Table 7 Comparison of Taxicab Fares Across Jurisdictions – 2016

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Calgary</th>
<th>Edmonton</th>
<th>Hamilton</th>
<th>Ottawa</th>
<th>Vancouver</th>
<th>Winnipeg</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Limousine Fare</td>
<td>$84.60 per hour; zone fares + surcharges</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>$60 per hour $110 per day</td>
<td>$67.50 for first 90 min; $45 each additional</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Min $44.70/hr Max $96; $170 specialty</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Taxicab Fare*</td>
<td>$3.80 + $1.67/km max; no rate if booked on App</td>
<td>$3.60 + $1.48/km min, 4 x above max. for hail dispatch, no rate</td>
<td>$3.90 + $1.80/km *Meter cabs by agreement - $37/hr</td>
<td>$3.45 + $1.86/km max. No rate if booked on App. Max surcharge for premium service $15</td>
<td>$3.20 + $1.84/km</td>
<td>$3.50 + $1.38/km</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 km fare</td>
<td>$20.50</td>
<td>$18.40</td>
<td>$21.90</td>
<td>$22.05</td>
<td>$21.60</td>
<td>$17.20</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Updated July 2017

*Updated July 2017
### Table 8 Summary of Safety Measures Across Jurisdictions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Calgary</th>
<th>Edmonton</th>
<th>Hamilton</th>
<th>Ottawa</th>
<th>Vancouver</th>
<th>Winnipeg</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Camera (taxicab)</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shield Required</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Criminal Records</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Driving Records</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mechanical Safety Inspections</td>
<td>6 mo.; Annual for stretch</td>
<td>Annual, all</td>
<td>Annual; 2/yr if over 3 yrs. old</td>
<td>Annual &lt;5 years old; 6 mo. if older</td>
<td>6 mo.</td>
<td>6 mo.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General and/or Road Inspections</td>
<td>Can inspect at any time inspector finds livery vehicle operating</td>
<td>Upon the direction of a by-law enforcement officer or the City Manager</td>
<td>As required by Issuer of Licenses</td>
<td>Annual ≤5 yrs; Semi-annual &gt;5 yrs</td>
<td>Annual for Limo; Taximeters every 6 months</td>
<td>Minimum 6 mo.; Annual for limo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vehicle Age Restriction</td>
<td>8 years</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>6 years; 7 if hybrid; 10 if accessible</td>
<td>8 years</td>
<td>7 years</td>
<td>Taxicab – no restriction Exec. car – 8 yrs. Classic limo – 25 yrs. or older Premium / speciality vehicle limo – 5 yrs.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 9 Inspector to Metered Taxicab Ratio Across Jurisdictions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Calgary</th>
<th>Edmonton</th>
<th>Hamilton</th>
<th>Ottawa</th>
<th>Vancouver</th>
<th>Winnipeg</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of Taxicabs</td>
<td>1,699 + 210 AT</td>
<td>1,235 + 95 AT</td>
<td>450</td>
<td>1,188</td>
<td>475 + 133 AT</td>
<td>410 + 52 AT (565 Nov-Mar)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of Inspectors</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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1.0 Introduction

The Province of Manitoba (the Province) put forward legislation in Bill 30 – *The Local Vehicles for hire Act*, which proposed to see the regulation of vehicles for hire be transferred from the Province of Manitoba to the City of Winnipeg (the City) on February 28, 2018. As a result, the City began the steps necessary to draft a new Vehicles for hire By-law.

The City intends to modernize and streamline the regulation of the vehicles for hire industry through a new by-law, so the residents of Winnipeg and visitors will receive vehicle-for-hire services with minimum standards in place for passenger safety, driver safety, consumer protection, and accessibility. The City is planning for the new Vehicles for hire By-law to include taxicabs, limousines, executive cars, accessible transport vehicles, and Private Transportation Providers (PTPs; formerly identified as Transportation Network Companies or ‘TNC’ in engagement materials) like Uber and Lyft.

From September to November 2017, the City invited stakeholders to stakeholder engagement sessions to hear from those who are involved in the industry. Stakeholders were contacted directly by the Taxicab Board or by the Office of Public Engagement and invited to focus group sessions and stakeholder meetings.

Since targeted stakeholder engagement concluded in November 2017, the feedback contained in this report is being considered during the development of an administrative report and draft by-law to be submitted to Executive Policy Committee and Council for approval in late Fall/Winter 2017/2018.

2.0 Approach

Stakeholder engagement for the purpose of collecting feedback for by-law development took place from September 14 to November 14, 2017. Stakeholder engagement was the focus of this engagement process in order to gather detailed feedback from those who will be most affected by the potential transition of regulation of vehicles for hire from the Province to the City and a new Vehicles for hire By-law. Focus groups were used to gather feedback from those with similar interests through a small group discussion and stakeholder meetings were held with stakeholders whose feedback was more specific in nature. Stakeholder focus groups and meetings were intended to gather feedback for the development of the by-law. Ongoing communication with stakeholders would be important to further refine vehicles for hire processes following Council approval and implementation.

MNP LLP previously carried out a comprehensive overview of the vehicle-for-hire industry in Winnipeg at the request of the Province, which was completed in December 2016 and is publicly available on the Province’s website. Public and stakeholder consultation was conducted as part of the study. MNP LLP surveyed 9,215 consumers online and 500 consumers in a telephone survey as part of the Winnipeg Taxicab Services Review, prepared for the Province of Manitoba and released in December, 2016. Report findings indicated “the public feels more supply is needed, particularly during winter months, peak periods of the day/ evening, and after special events.” Due to the high response rate, recentness of the study, and clarity around public perception and desires, the City’s process focused on stakeholder engagement in an effort to avoid redundancy and duplication.

MNP LLP was contracted by the City in August 2017 as a consultant to assist with reviewing existing regulations, comparing existing and proposed regulations to other jurisdictions, and making policy recommendations. MNP LLP also provided their expertise during stakeholder engagement focus group sessions and meetings by providing designated subject matter expert(s) for those sessions and meetings.
Due to time constraints, this approach was limited in flexibility with regards to scheduling. Timeline limitations also prevented the distribution of meeting agendas to focus group participants ahead of time, which meant participants could not formulate their responses to discussion topics in advance of participating. Several participants noted that they did not appreciate arriving at a meeting to discussion questions they had not seen beforehand. In response to this, focus group participants were provided questions electronically following focus groups to allow an opportunity to provide further comment once they had a chance to process the information and questions. Timeline constraints also limited the number of focus group sessions that could be held. Offering more than one date and time for focus groups may have allowed for higher levels of participation.

### 3.0 Engagement Activities

Stakeholder engagement activities were conducted in fall of 2017 to gather input on the considerations made by the City in preparation for the potential transfer of regulation of vehicles for hire from the Province to the City and the development of a Vehicles for hire By-law and. Stakeholder engagement consisted of four activities: focus group sessions, stakeholder meetings, and encouraging and collecting written feedback submissions, and a vehicles for hire working group. A bilingual Vehicles for hire By-law webpage ([winnipeg.ca/vehiclesforhire](http://winnipeg.ca/vehiclesforhire)) was launched on September 18, 2017 to provide a central location for vehicles for hire information and updates. Stakeholders were provided with a handout (Appendix A) to provide a background and introduction to the Vehicles for hire By-law development process. Table 1 summarizes each stakeholder engagement activity and associated participation level.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Timeframe</th>
<th>Activity</th>
<th>Participation level</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sept 14 – Sept 26</td>
<td>Focus group sessions</td>
<td>7 sessions – approximately 142 attendees</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sept 14 – Nov 2</td>
<td>Stakeholder meetings</td>
<td>16 meetings with representatives from 16 organizations, 36 participants</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sept 14 – Oct 13</td>
<td>Written feedback submissions</td>
<td>94 survey submissions from taxicab drivers and owners and 10 email submissions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nov 14</td>
<td>Working group session</td>
<td>10 representatives invited, 9 attended</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1 Stakeholder engagement activities

### 3.1 Focus Group Sessions

Seven stakeholder focus group sessions were held between September 14 and September 26, 2017. Table 2 outlines the details of the focus group sessions. Focus group sessions were held for groups with similar interests to come together and have a shared discussion around their concerns and input about vehicles for hire.

Four focus groups were held with representatives from the existing vehicles for hire industry in Winnipeg. A taxicab drivers focus groups was held with taxicab drivers only, taxicab owners were invited to a separate focus group for those who own taxicabs, and a separate meeting with held with representatives from dispatch companies. In addition, a focus group was held with limousine owners. Some participants representing dispatch companies and limousine owners also attended the taxicab owner focus group because invitations were distributed to all those with an existing business owners license.

Focus groups for non-industry groups were held with equitability groups, accessibility groups and related industries. Equitability groups were identified as groups who may have a particular interest in consumer
protection and passenger safety. Accessibility groups were those who speak from the perspective of those with accessibility needs and accessible vehicle owners. Lastly, related industries were those who are within an industry with a connection to the taxicab industry.

Focus group participants were provided with a discussion guide specific to the issues affecting that group (Appendix B) in addition to the stakeholder handout. Discussion was not limited to the discussion guide. Participants were expressly invited to offer input on any additional areas of interest, and often, discussion with stakeholders in focus group sessions provided insights into issues not captured in the discussion guide.

A total of 1,759 stakeholders were invited to the seven focus group sessions and approximately 142 stakeholders attended the sessions. The approximate number of attendees is based on those who signed in at the focus group sessions.

Invitations to the driver, and owner focus groups were distributed by the Taxicab Board (TCB) to those in their database with an email address at the request of the City of Winnipeg. The TCB also posted the focus group session information in their office and asked that dispatchers post the information in their offices as well. All other session invitations were distributed by the City of Winnipeg.

The driver and owner focus group sessions had a high response rate and unfortunately, not all those who expressed interest in attending could be accommodated due to limited venue capacity.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Focus Group</th>
<th>Invitations email date</th>
<th>Number of stakeholders invited</th>
<th>Date of session</th>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Participation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Accessibility</td>
<td>01-Sep-2017</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>22-Sep-2017</td>
<td>10 a.m. – 12 p.m.</td>
<td>Millennium Library</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dispatchers*</td>
<td>01-Sep-2017 01-Sep-2017</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>10 a.m. – 12 p.m.</td>
<td>Millennium Library</td>
<td>2 6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drivers</td>
<td>01-Sep-2017</td>
<td>1600</td>
<td>18-Sep-2017</td>
<td>6:30 p.m. – 8:30p.m.</td>
<td>Millennium Library</td>
<td>69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Equitability</td>
<td>01-Sep-2017</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>20-Sep-2017</td>
<td>1 p.m. – 3 p.m.</td>
<td>Indian and Metis Friendship Centre</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Limousines</td>
<td>01-Sep-2017</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>26-Sep-2017</td>
<td>1 p.m. – 3 p.m.</td>
<td>Millennium Library</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Owners</td>
<td>01-Sep-2017</td>
<td>131</td>
<td>25-Sep-2017</td>
<td>6:30 p.m. – 8:30p.m.</td>
<td>Millennium Library</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Related Industries</td>
<td>01-Sep-2017</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>22-Sep-2017</td>
<td>1 p.m. – 3 p.m.</td>
<td>Millennium Library</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2 Focus group session details

*Dispatcher representatives attended the limousine focus group session to allow for additional discussion, so the limousine focus group was split into two tables: one limousine focus group and one dispatcher focus group.

Meeting minutes from the focus group sessions were compiled and distributed to participants to inform each participant of what was heard and provide an opportunity to comment and add to the meeting minutes. Table 3 provides dates of minute distribution. One week was provided to participants to submit their comments and additions to focus group meeting minutes.
3.2 Stakeholder Meetings

Stakeholder meetings were held with thirteen organizations that were identified as directly connected to the existing system with the TCB and would provide specific feedback best suited to a one-on-one setting. Due to time constraints, not all stakeholders with an interest in the taxicab industry were met with during this process. This stakeholder engagement process was intended to inform the development of a Vehicles for hire By-law and an ongoing discussion with stakeholders is important to ensure feedback is continually being incorporated when refining processes. Table 4 provides details on the stakeholder meetings that were held during the stakeholder engagement process.

Representatives from identified organizations were contacted directly when a direct contact was known or provided by the TCB. Invitations were sent to general organization emails when a direct contact could not be identified. Several organizations contacted the City directly to set up meetings.

The City provided a stakeholder meeting agenda at each stakeholder meeting with questions specific to that stakeholder in order to build a better understanding of the vehicles for hire industry and related organizations or systems. Stakeholder meetings also allowed stakeholders a chance to discuss their priorities and raise concerns or questions that were not included on the agenda. Notes from meetings were distributed to stakeholders afterwards to provide an opportunity to review what we heard in the meeting and make corrections or additions. Notes are summarized in section 4.2 and have not been appended to this report due to the confidential nature of the one-on-one conversations that were held.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Organization</th>
<th>Type of Organization</th>
<th>Date of session</th>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Location</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Disabilities Issues Office</td>
<td>Government of Manitoba</td>
<td>18-Sep-2017</td>
<td>11 a.m. – 12:30 p.m.</td>
<td>MNP LLP Office</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Green Action Centre</td>
<td>Environmental non-profit</td>
<td>26-Oct-2017</td>
<td>9 a.m. – 10 a.m.</td>
<td>EcoCentre</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ikwe Women Helping Women</td>
<td>Not-for-profit safe ride provider</td>
<td>10-Oct-2017</td>
<td>10:30 a.m. - 11:30 a.m.</td>
<td>City of Winnipeg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Independent Living Resource Centre</td>
<td>Training provider</td>
<td>27-Sep-2017</td>
<td>1:30 p.m. – 2:30 p.m.</td>
<td>MNP LLP Office</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manitoba Public Insurance</td>
<td>Crown Corporation</td>
<td>04-Oct-2017</td>
<td>1:30 p.m. – 2:30 p.m.</td>
<td>City of Winnipeg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oshki Annishinabe Nigaaniwak partners</td>
<td>Partner organizations</td>
<td>01-Nov-2017</td>
<td>11:30 p.m. – 12:30 p.m.</td>
<td>Ma Mawi Wi Chi Itata Centre</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Small Owners/Operators Coalition</td>
<td>Representative of small taxicab owners and operators</td>
<td>27-Nov-2017</td>
<td>2:30 p.m. – 3:30 p.m.</td>
<td>City of Winnipeg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>St. Amant Centre</td>
<td>Taxicab contract and account holder</td>
<td>29-Sep-2017</td>
<td>10:30 a.m. – 11:30 a.m.</td>
<td>MNP LLP Office</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>St. James – Assiniboia School Division</td>
<td>Training provider</td>
<td>27-Sep-2017</td>
<td>11 a.m. – 12 p.m.</td>
<td>MNP LLP Office</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3 Focus group notes distribution information
### Table 4 Stakeholder session meeting details

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Organisation</th>
<th>Role</th>
<th>Date/Time</th>
<th>Location</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sunshine Limo and Transit Service</td>
<td>Accessible service provider</td>
<td>21-Nov-2017, 10 a.m. – 11 a.m.</td>
<td>City of Winnipeg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Taxicab Board Members and Administration</td>
<td>Province of Manitoba</td>
<td>16-Aug-2017, 1 p.m. – 3 p.m.</td>
<td>City of Winnipeg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uber Canada</td>
<td>Transportation network company</td>
<td>27-Sep-2017, 10 a.m. – 11 a.m.</td>
<td>Teleconference</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wheelchair Service of Manitoba and Vital Transit</td>
<td>Handi-cab van company owners</td>
<td>01-Nov-2017, 3 p.m. – 4 p.m.</td>
<td>City of Winnipeg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Winnipeg Airport Authority</td>
<td>Taxicab contract holder</td>
<td>02-Oct-2017, 10 a.m. – 11 a.m.</td>
<td>James Richardson International Airport</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Winnipeg Community Taxi Coalition</td>
<td>Representative of Duffy’s and Unicity Taxi</td>
<td>20-Nov-2017, 9 a.m. – 9:30 a.m.</td>
<td>City of Winnipeg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>27-Nov-2017, 2:30 p.m. – 3:30 p.m.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Winnipeg Regional Health Authority</td>
<td>Taxicab contract and account holder</td>
<td>02-Oct-2017, 11:30 a.m. – 12:30 p.m.</td>
<td>MNP Office</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 3.3 Written Feedback Submissions

The City received a high response rate to both the taxicab owner and taxicab driver focus group sessions. Due to venue capacity limits, not all those who expressed an interest in attending could be accommodated. As such, those who could not be accommodated were invited to submit written feedback. Written feedback was also invited from those who attended the focus group sessions. A Survey Monkey link was provided to those who attended and those who could not attend. The survey contained the questions asked during the focus group session. The City received 78 written responses from taxicab drivers and 16 written responses from taxicab owners. In addition, 10 emails containing feedback were sent to the City.

### 3.4 Working Group session

Invitations to a working group session were distributed to a representative from each focus group session in addition to one PTP representative, one environmental organization representative, and one Indigenous organization representative. Ten stakeholder representatives were invited to gather a cross-section of vehicles for hire stakeholders to work through some of the feedback that was heard through focus group and stakeholder meeting sessions and nine stakeholder representatives attended. The list of groups invited and their method of choosing were:

1. Winnipeg Community Taxi Coalition – spokesperson asked to select one representative
2. Taxicab driver representative – chosen at random from focus group participants
3. Taxicab owner representative – chosen at random from focus group participants
4. Limousine owner representative – chosen at random from focus group participants
5. Accessibility group representative – Access Advisory Committee (represented in focus group) asked to select one representative
6. Equitability group representative – Winnipeg Safe City Initiative (represented in focus group) asked to select one representative
7. Related industry group representative – chosen at random from focus group participants
8. Transportation Network Company representative – stakeholder meeting participant
9. Indigenous partners representative – Aboriginal Council of Winnipeg asked to select one representative
10. Environmental group representative – stakeholder meeting participant
Working group session invitations were distributed on Friday, November 3, 2017, and the session was held on Tuesday, November 14, 2017 from 12:30 p.m. to 3 p.m. at the Susan A. Thompson Building (510 Main Street). A sample email invitation and working group agenda is in Appendix E. Invitees were asked that only one representative from each group attend the meeting to ensure all participants could be given the opportunity to participate at the session. Following the working group session, notes were distributed to participants on November 15, 2017, and participants were asked to provide revisions or additions to the notes before November 22, 2017.

4.0 Feedback
Results from the focus group sessions, stakeholder meetings, and written feedback are presented in the following sections. Key feedback and notes are highlighted in each section to provide a general sense of the input received. For a complete understanding of the feedback received, refer to full session notes in the appendices. Throughout the stakeholder engagement process, notes were taken and those notes were referred to when finding common values and common ground amongst stakeholder groups. Conversations with stakeholders also led to other connections and introductions to other stakeholder groups to meet with.

4.1 Focus Group Sessions
The notes below are a snapshot of what we heard from stakeholders at the stakeholder focus group sessions. Focus group session participants offered a wide array of perspectives and opinions, and the notes presented are not intended to reflect majority viewpoints or consensus. The common ground value discussed among all stakeholders was safety and the importance of ensuring safety in the vehicles for hire industry. There were several instances in which focus group session participants offered conflicting points of view, contributing to further discussion and debate and offering insight into the complexity of issues surrounding vehicles for hire. Complete meeting minutes from the focus group sessions are included in Appendix C.

4.1.1 Taxicab drivers
Taxicab drivers at the taxicab driver focus group expressed an interest in a significant change to the current system and noted their concerns around labour issues, safety, and taxicab ownership. Taxicab drivers had several suggestions to improve safety measures and some of the issues they encounter with fare evasion. Fare rates were also discussed and there was general support for the use of the Taxicab Cost Index (TCI) as an indicator for fare changes. The taxicab driver focus group began with drivers voicing their concern that there was representation from dispatchers in the room and they did not feel comfortable. Those who would not identify as a taxicab driver were asked to take part in a separate discussion outside the room where the driver focus group was taking place. Focus group participants noted they feared repercussions of voicing their opinion about the industry.

- Passengers should be required to pay before the trip.
- Drivers are paying too much to owners – vehicle lease price should be standardized and regulated.
- Labour related concerns around owners are exploiting drivers through high rental rates, fines and penalties to drivers. Drivers often work many hours per day, many days in a row and are not making a living wage.
- Proper information should be included in the cab (like a passenger/driver bill of rights and other passenger requirements).
- Better response times from police are necessary for everyone’s safety.
- Audio recording with the video camera could assist with addressing payment disputes.
• Charges should be laid for those who do not pay taxicab fare.
• There should not be a demerit limit implemented for licensing.
• There should be a flat rate from one City to another (such as Winnipeg to Brandon) and that flat rate should reflect the cost of the return trip because drivers cannot pick up fares outside of Winnipeg.
• The TCI should account for missed fares from those who did not pay (about $50 per night).
• Taxicab licenses should be limited to one per person.
• The licenses should be awarded based on experience, rather than a lottery.

4.1.2 Taxicab dispatchers
Taxicab dispatchers noted their interest in fairness with the potential introduction of PTPs. Dispatchers noted the need to consider damages to the taxicab industry during the introduction of PTPs to the Winnipeg market and their interest in capping the number of PTPs available in order to ensure the taxicab industry can survive with PTP competition.

• Surge pricing should be controlled to protect consumers.
• The City should regulate the supply of PTPs with a cap on the number of licenses.
• It would be unrealistic to expect dispatch companies to have an operator record and report complaints because there are so many minor complaints. It may be possible if complaints could be received by email or online.
• Training is valuable, and should continue as is. Accessibility training for standard cabs would be better as a module in the general training instead of separately (no value for standard cabs/limos). Difficult for dispatcher to deliver standardized training. Training is important for passenger safety.
• Insurance is a significant cost for taxicabs ($10-12,000 per year). This creates an unfair disadvantage compared to PTPs.
• Criminal record checks / all required driver information challenging – multiple stops, balancing lead time / date window to renewal date. Better if City could administer.
• Dispatch companies have own thresholds for driver safety ratings – after which they watch for improvement. Difficult to fix limit to suspend, as new drivers start at zero and could quickly drop.
• TCI concept makes sense.
• PTPs should have same rules, enforcement. Unfair if only taxicabs are subject to enforcement.
• Industry will be significantly damaged during transition. Impact only visible after 1-2 years.

4.1.3 Taxicab owners
Taxicab owners were clear about their interest in a fair system that does not allow PTPs to take over the vehicles for hire industry. Owners expressed their concerns with the impact on the value of their taxicab vehicle license and that the value of the license should be protected or compensation should be distributed. Taxicab owners also noted that taxicab vehicle owners are local business owners and their community-mindedness should be accounted for and appreciated during by-law development. In terms of process, taxicab owners expressed the need to have their voices included at all stages in transition of regulation.

• Compensation for those whose licenses have lost value. Retirement investment is at risk because of lost value. Taxicab Board (TCB) condoned transfer price. Fund through fees from new licenses.
• Fairness for the taxicab industry with the introduction of PTPs, particularly where drives cost.
• Insurance is a significant cost for taxicabs ($10-12,000 per year). This creates an unfair disadvantage compared to PTPs.
• Cap on total number of PTPs.
• Licenses that were transferable should stay transferable and those that were not should stay non-transferable. Maybe new licenses could become transferable after 5-10 yrs.
• An advisory committee with someone from industry would be beneficial. [to solve problems, design new system]
• PTP vehicles should have shields, cameras and strobe lights. Audio recording important next step.
• There should be a minimum fare for both PTPs and limousines.
• Taxicabs should be able to use diamond lanes during rush hour.
• Unable to get timely response from police when in distress (2-3 hours to respond).
• Place obligations on industry (24 hours, equipment, no refusals, fares) – obligation to protect in return.
• Should retain maximum vehicle age, apply also to PTPs.
• Accessible service needs different model (salaried drivers), subsidized. Umbrella organization
• Give accessibility licenses to big dispatch companies – can handle.

4.1.4 Equitability groups
The equitability focus group was not well attended so connections that were made with those in attendance led to follow up with groups for one-on-one stakeholder meetings. The meeting focused on ensuring safety for those who use vehicles for hire services by a centralized complaint system and training, not just for those with passengers, but for all those involved in the regulation of the industry.

• Use 311 to centralize the complaint system.
• Complaint reporting through text message.
• More information needs to be provided on what is acceptable and not acceptable in a vehicle-for-hire, either inside the vehicle or on the app.
• Klinic Community Health Centre does training in sexual violence.
• Training is currently given to community groups, workplaces and other organizations.
• Klinic will tailor training to specific group’s needs.

4.1.5 Accessibility groups and accessible taxicab owners
Representatives from accessibility interest groups and accessible taxicab owners were invited to the same focus group meeting to discuss their perspectives. The group was in favour of keeping customer service regulations in place because of a lack of choice with accessible vehicles. Driver certification in securement as also noted as a key step towards passenger safety in accessible vehicles.

• Vehicle standard needs be a 5th category for regulation.
  ○ This would include the cleanliness and condition of vehicles.
• The current number of accessible vehicles do not meet current demand.
• The small companies need to be consolidated under a single management.
• There should be incentive for non-ambulatory vehicles.
• Drivers can refuse to provide rides for safety but this is being misused to refuse rides to people with disabilities.
• Taxicabs should not be allowed to use diamond lanes.
• All taxis need to be accessible because it will work for everyone.
• At minimum you need 25% to 30% accessible taxicabs – the current ratio is 20% which is too low to service the existing population.
Maintenance and use of securement devices is an issue, creating safety concerns for passengers.
Drivers are not certified in securement.
English language skills are also an important for training.

4.1.6 Related industries
Related industries noted the need for choice for consumers but also cautioned that equal, minimum standards are important for everyone in the vehicles for hire industry and that fairness should be accounted for.

- Tourists should have options.
- Support for the introduction of PTPs.
- Caution regarding the sharing economy and the need to regulate those who operate under a sharing service as their full time job. Equal regulations should be applied to ensure safety of consumers.
- Caps on pricing for consumer protection.
- Application-based system for complaints would work well.

4.1.7 Limousine owners
Limousine owners had differing opinions on how limousines would be categorized under the new regulatory system, but clearly expressed their interest in protecting their business from the introduction of PTPs. Limousine owners noted the importance of a relationship with vehicles for hire enforcement to work together to ensure limousine owners operating without a license can be identified and caught. Participants expressed an interest in transferring limousine licenses with the rest of their business.

- Limos should not be in the same category as PTPs, although one participant noted they are very similar.
- Number of passengers should define a limousine (11).
- Relationship with enforcement is important to protect businesses and consumers.
- Could report infractions via a closed password website.
- License should be transferable to be able to sell entire business.
- Driver safety rating shouldn’t matter.
- 100% accessibility should not apply to limousines and executive cars. Need is filled with one owner currently.

4.2 Stakeholder Meetings
The notes below are key themes from stakeholder meetings and do not represent specific viewpoints from any of the stakeholders involved in stakeholder meetings. Due to the one-on-one nature of stakeholder meetings, meeting minutes have not been included with this report. Several stakeholders also made submissions of their research and supporting documentation in addition to their corrections and additions to meeting minutes. Meeting minutes and submissions will be used during by-law development and to ensure the City is aware of stakeholder concerns and feedback going forward.

Trainers:

- Training is critical and the current training has been modified to include cultural awareness and enhanced accessibility training.
- Training providers have received positive feedback on training.
- Training can be adapted to a new regulatory system and to meet changing needs of customers.
Contract Holders:

- Contract holders may require training specific to their business needs.
- If customer service regulations (e.g. cleanliness of vehicle, appearance of vehicle) are not included in the new by-law, some people with limited choice (accessible vehicles) may not have their customer service needs met.
- Some customer service regulations may be needed to protect those who may not be able to advocate for themselves.
- Availability during peak times is an issue for some high-taxicab users.
- Contract holders try to include provisions in their contracts for customer service standards but they are challenging to enforce due to lack of choice.
- Contract holders and account holders have experienced challenges with ensuring safety and security of passengers.

General:

- 100% accessible vehicles should be the long-term goal and if accessibility needs are met, all needs will be met.
- Support for the introduction of PTPs to increase choice for customers.
- A centralized complaint system would be beneficial.
- Stakeholders appreciate the opportunity to provide input and would like to be kept informed throughout the process.

4.3 Written Feedback Submissions

Written feedback was invited from focus group session attendees and stakeholder meeting participants by circulating discussion questions following the sessions. Stakeholders were informed that if they have feedback to share in addition to what was shared at the sessions, they could provide written feedback electronically.

4.3.1 Focus group question responses

Drivers and owners were sent an online survey link to the questions asked in the driver and owner focus group sessions. Much of the written feedback was consistent with the discussion at the focus group sessions. The sections below highlight key points from drivers and owners written feedback submissions in response to focus group questions. Quotes are meant to provide examples of the overarching themes. Verbatim responses from drivers and owners are included in Appendix D.

Discussion Guide Question:
The City plans for the policies and regulations in the new Vehicles for hire By-law to have four objectives, and to set and maintain minimum standards for:
1. Passenger Safety;
2. Driver Safety;
3. Consumer Protection; and
4. Accessibility.

Are there other categories of regulation the City should consider?

The feedback received in response to this question was mostly suggestions for policies or regulations that would be categorized under the four objectives outlined in the question. Responses with regards to camera and audio recording in taxicabs, and accessibility provisions.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Drivers</th>
<th>Owners</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Customer Service, Fluent English Communication</td>
<td>Insurance and vehicle safety.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
like any other professional, clean appearance and no more than 6 hours behind the wheel.
Cabs must be prepaid.
Driver payment protection.

Safety of transfers of monies from passenger or consumer to driver or taxicab company.
Equal treatment for all.

Discussion Guide Question:
Is the current training valuable to you as a driver? Do you have any suggestions for improving the current training?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Drivers</th>
<th>Owners</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>There should be focus on communication skills.</td>
<td>Disability and professional driver and language training is must.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Experienced drivers do not need any training. Trainings only for new drivers.</td>
<td>Yes current training is very valuable. All drivers benefit from it.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Compulsory language benchmark minimum 5.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Discussion Guide Question:
The City is considering requiring a driving record for license renewal. A driver may be required to meet a minimum driver safety standard. What types of offenses would indicate a driver has not been driving safely and cannot have their license renewed?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Drivers</th>
<th>Owners</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Drivers spend long hours on the road. It should not be more strict. The offenses like impaired driving and more speeding tickets would indicate a driver has not been driving safely. Otherwise the driving safety rating requirement should keep same as it is MPI does have. It’s more risk of collisions when you are on road for all day long or whole night. Drivers driving carelessly and if the driver has more than one accident, their license should not be removed.</td>
<td>More than 3 incidents in the past year. That will lead to shortage of drivers, the City should consult labour laws.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Discussion Guide Question:
The City is considering removing the minimum taxicab fare and including a maximum fare only. The Taxicab Cost Index may be used to determine the maximum fare and if the fare should change.

The Taxicab Cost Index uses data to determine how the cost of operating a taxi changes from year to year and can help determine if metered rates should be adjusted. The taxi cost index includes four components: wages, taxi insurance, fuel, and the consumer price index. Based on the financial information submitted to MNP in 2015, the average cost of operating a taxi is made up of the following percentages:

- Wages – 30%
- Fuel and Insurance– 32%
- Consumer Price Index (changes in price of consumer goods) – 38%
What do you think the impacts of having no minimum fare will be?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Drivers</th>
<th>Owners</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>We have lots very small fares like $5. If there would be no minimum fare then drivers cannot make living.</td>
<td>More competition. Uber and Lyft will have an unfair advantage. Unicity and Duffy’s will not be able to compete. This is a biased policy.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Less revenue but more customers.</td>
<td>Fiasco. Driver and customer disputes.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>On short travels it’s not going to benefit the driver. He will have less money and has to get in the queue again for his turn.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Discussion Guide Question:
Do the Taxicab Cost Index proportions represent how much it costs to operate a taxi?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Drivers</th>
<th>Owners</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No. Maintenance expenses are also there. Cab company fees are also there.</td>
<td>Insurance and repairs take more than 50%.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>It’s better to ask business license holders about it. Driver doesn’t pay insurance.</td>
<td>Don’t know what the taxicab cost index is for others. I know what it is for me.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Discussion Guide Question:
Do you think the Taxicab Cost Index is a fair way to determine the maximum metered fare and how it should change?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Drivers</th>
<th>Owners</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Car maintenance cost should be added in index also.</td>
<td>It’s fair.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bring Uber and create competition. Price problem will be solved.</td>
<td>Not really. Because it depends how many for hire vehicles you intend to provide. The more you provide the higher the rate would have to be, as we would have to distribute the available business amongst a unanimous amount of vehicles.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Discussion Guide Question:
What are the most important elements that make you feel safe as a driver?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Drivers</th>
<th>Owners</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>According to me drivers should be unionized. And there should be action taken against the person who assaults the driver.</td>
<td>Insurance, cameras, and transparency.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Windshield should not cover only driver seat, actually driver seat and beside driver seat on the front side there should be windshield, so half portion in the cab should be protect by windshield, camera, and strobe light.</td>
<td>The way we talk with the customers.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Discussion Guide Question:
What do you think of safety features like cameras, shields, and strobe lights?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Drivers</th>
<th>Owners</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>These things are safety features for driver but we need more and more safety features.</td>
<td>Insurance, cameras and transparency.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>The way we talk with the customers.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Discussion Guide Question:
The City is considering incorporating Transportation Network Companies (TNCs) in the Winnipeg market. The following driver requirements are being considered for PTP drivers:

- Driver license
- Driver safety rating
- Training
- Criminal record and other mandated checks

What do you think of these requirements?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Drivers</th>
<th>Owners</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>All are very essential.</td>
<td>Internet taxi companies should commit to provide all kind of transport need which include wheelchair, blind people with guide dogs and wheelchair accessible vans.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>As long as TNC drivers have Class 4 license and they will also go through 2 weeks training and then pass the exams then there should be no issues. Make sure their vehicle should have commercial insurance same as Taxi’s. All rules &amp; regulations should be same.</td>
<td>Need more stuff like cameras in every car for safety even transit buses have full training for drivers &amp; knowledge of the city.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Discussion Guide Question:
The City is considering not allowing TNCs to pick up street hails or use taxi stands. What type of enforcement would be required to ensure this?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Drivers</th>
<th>Owners</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>They should be able to pick up fares this would help in peak periods.</td>
<td>They shouldn't be able to wait at taxi stands.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City should make transportation board and have their employees to watch on TNCs. If they got caught pick up street hails or use Taxi stands there should be ticket issued as a fine.</td>
<td>It's very hard to enforce but marking them with different license plates and heavy fines can make some difference.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>It should be same TNC’s that the drivers should be dispatched the same way the cab drivers do</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Discussion Guide Question:
What information would you like to have access to so you can be confident that the vehicles for hire system is fair?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Drivers</th>
<th>Owners</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Work out a solution in Winnipeg for Winnipeg.</td>
<td>City should make a working group of all stakeholders like seniors, public, disable community and taxi owners.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Everybody should know what’s going on in the industry drivers and the owners everything has to</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Vehicles for Hire
Stakeholder Engagement Report
Rent should be fair and licensed. Plates should be issued to only those who are driving now and there should be only one taxi per owner and he must drive that cab not for rent.

In the order my call was received and when it actually has been accepted by a driver from which taxicab company and how long it will be for the vehicle for hire to arrive to my location.

4.3.2 Focus Group Participant Email Submissions
The City received email submissions from 10 stakeholder participants to further expand on the discussions that took place during focus group sessions and stakeholder meetings. Email submissions are included in Appendix D. Four of the email submissions consisted of research and multi-page feedback with suggestions for by-law development and regulatory changes. Six of the email submissions were bullet points to further clarify and prioritize the feedback received at the focus group sessions. Much of the perspectives voiced in email submissions echoed those in other written feedback and in the focus group sessions as they were mostly from those within the vehicles for hire industry.

The Winnipeg Safe City Steering Committee was the only stakeholder group represented in a focus group outside of the vehicles for hire industry to provide a written submission. The submission provided recommendations for collaborating to improve safety of the vehicles for hire industry with a structured plan for including sexual violence training in future vehicles for hire training adjustments.

4.4 Working Group Session
The working group session focused on what was heard during stakeholder engagement on vehicles for hire to date and encouraged discussion between those with differing perspectives on vehicles for hire. Holding a conversation with varied perspectives allowed for increased depth in conversation and for different stakeholders to speak on behalf of their organization to answer questions raised by other stakeholders. Much of the discussion was repeated from earlier stages of stakeholder engagement but there was value in bringing stakeholders together. There was common ground on issues like safety and accessibility, but with some differing perspectives on solutions to reaching those common goals.

The following were some of the key highlights from the session:

- **Key priorities of participants:**
  - Increased choice for consumers.
  - Increased choice and decreased wait times for those requiring accessible service.
  - Impacts on sustainability
  - Fairness with regards to TNCs and taxicabs in the same industry.
  - Safety and security.
  - Reduction and prevention of sexual violence against women and girls in public spaces.
  - Recognition of the impacts of colonization on sexual violence in taxicabs.
  - Enforcement of training.
  - Education for the public and those in the industry.
  - Vehicles for hire drivers as ambassadors to the city of Winnipeg.
  - Improved customer service.

- **Safety was verified as the most important aspect to a new by-law:**
  - Improvements are needed to ensure passenger and driver safety are enhanced.
  - A shield that separates the entire front seat of the vehicle from the backseat was noted as an important improvement.
Voice recording was noted as a benefit but it was also noted that there may be privacy issues related to voice recording.

Better training with regards to sexual violence could be a step towards a safer and more comfortable environment.

Maximum time for drivers to work in a day.

Improved training around wheelchair securement.

- Fairness with regards to the industry players was highlighted:
  - Criminal and child abuse record checks should be required for all vehicles for hire drivers.
  - Safety standards should be the same for TNCs and taxicabs.
  - TNCs should be held accountable for their actions.
  - TNCs are a unique service with built in customer service complaints system and responses to customer needs.
  - TNC drivers are typically driving as a part time job.
  - The TNC system with an app reduces anonymity and reduces the need for some of the safety provisions used with taxis.

- Accessibility is a critical consideration with vehicles for hire discussions, both for those living with disabilities and accessibility in terms of access to services.
  - TNCs cannot serve those who do not have access to the internet or a credit card.
  - TNCs do not provide a service that caters to everyone’s needs.
  - TNCs are more affordable than taxis in other cities.
  - A minimum ratio for accessible taxis should be implemented.
  - A levy that TNCs pay per trip are used in other cities and put towards improved accessible services.

5.0 Conclusions

Input gathered from vehicles for hire stakeholders through focus groups, stakeholder meetings, written feedback, and a working group session indicated that those within and with connections to the industry have a strong interest in the potential changes that could be introduced through a new City of Winnipeg Vehicles for Hire By-law. The four proposed pillars of the by-law: passenger safety, driver safety, consumer protection, and accessibility, were generally supported and agreed upon by all stakeholders as critical elements, although, several stakeholders thought additional pillars should be added such as employee protection and customer service.

Feedback from the taxicab industry indicated a strong desire for fairness with regards to the introduction of PTPs and the need to consider the effects PTPs could have on local taxicab business owners. Taxicab industry stakeholders noted the need to recognize taxicabs as an essential service and that if PTPs are not required to be available 24 hours per day and can choose which passengers they pick up. Industry stakeholders noted that customers could suffer if the taxicab business is no longer profitable.

Stakeholder interest groups outside of the vehicles for hire industry noted the need to consider accessibility and safety in all aspects of by-law development and that changes to the current system could improve accessibility and safety. Stakeholders generally agreed that increased choice with vehicles for hire would be a welcome change.

There were many passionate voices involved in the stakeholder engagement process. The feedback and concerns voiced during the stakeholder engagement process further highlighted the need for ongoing stakeholder involvement and a mechanism for feedback and assessments following the transition of vehicles for hire regulation from the Province to the City.
The results presented in this stakeholder engagement report will help shape the Vehicles for Hire By-law. The recommendations and by-law will be presented in an administrative report to Council. A decision by Council and the adoption of a new by-law is anticipated in winter 2017/2018.
Appendix A – Vehicles-for-Hire Handout
Background

The province has put forward legislation in Bill 30 – The Local Vehicles for Hire Act which proposes to see the regulation of vehicles for hire be transferred from the Province of Manitoba to the City of Winnipeg on February 28, 2018. As a result, the City has begun the steps necessary to draft a new Vehicles-for-Hire By-law.

In December 2016, the Government of Manitoba published MNP LLP’s report on Winnipeg Taxicab Services along with 40 recommendations for improving the vehicles for hire industry. MNP LLP surveyed 9,215 consumers online and 500 in a telephone survey. Study findings indicated “the public feels more supply is needed, particularly during winter months, peak periods of the day/evening and after special events.”

Approach

The City intends to modernize and streamline the regulation of the vehicles-for-hire industry through a new by-law, setting minimum standards so the citizens of Winnipeg and visitors receive quality vehicle-for-hire services. The City plans for the new Vehicles-for-Hire By-law to include taxicabs, limousines, accessible transport vehicles, and Transportation Network Companies (TNC) like Uber and Lyft. Input from stakeholders of the vehicles-for-hire industry is critical to shaping the content of the new by-law.

The City plans for the policies and regulations in the new Vehicles-for-Hire By-law to have four objectives, and to set and maintain minimum standards for:

1. Passenger Safety;
2. Driver Safety;
3. Consumer Protection, and;
4. Accessibility

Timeline

- Stakeholder Engagement
  September & October 2017
- Admin Report Complete
  Fall 2017
- Draft By-law Complete
  Fall 2017
- EPC* and Council Review
  Winter 2017/2018
- By-law Implementation and Regulatory Transition
  February 28, 2018

* Executive Policy Committee

Next Steps

Targeted stakeholder engagement sessions are being held in September and October 2017.

Once targeted stakeholder engagement is complete, an administrative report and draft by-law will be developed and submitted to Executive Policy Committee and Council for approval in late Fall/Winter 2017/2018. A stakeholder engagement report will also be made available.

winnipeg.ca/vehiclesforhire
Examen relatif aux véhicules avec chauffeur de la Ville de Winnipeg
Introduction et processus
septembre 2017

Contexte
La Province a déposé le Projet de loi 30 – Loi sur la gestion locale des véhicules avec chauffeur, qui propose que la réglementation de ces véhicules soit transférée de la Province du Manitoba à la Ville de Winnipeg le 28 février 2018. Par conséquent, la Ville a commencé à prendre les mesures nécessaires pour rédiger un nouveau règlement sur les véhicules avec chauffeur.

La firme MNP LLP a interrogé 9 215 consommateurs en ligne et 500 par téléphone dans le cadre de l’examen des services de taxi de Winnipeg (Winnipeg Taxicab Services Review), effectué pour le compte de la Province du Manitoba et dont le rapport a été publié en décembre 2016. Selon le rapport, « le public estime qu’il faut augmenter les services, surtout pendant les mois d’hiver, aux périodes de pointe en journée et en soirée, ainsi qu’après des événements spéciaux. »

Approche
La Ville a l’intention de moderniser et de simplifier la réglementation du secteur des véhicules avec chauffeur à l’aide d’un nouveau règlement, en établissant des normes minimales pour que les résidents de Winnipeg et les visiteurs reçoivent des services de qualité dans ce domaine. Elle envisage d’inclure dans le nouveau règlement les taxis, les limousines, les véhicules de transport accessibles ainsi que les entreprises de réseau de transport (ERT) comme Uber et Lyft. La participation des intervenants du secteur est essentielle pour décider du contenu du nouveau règlement.

La Ville prévoit de donner quatre objectifs aux politiques et dispositions du nouveau règlement sur les véhicules avec chauffeur, et aussi d’établir et de maintenir des normes minimales dans les domaines suivants :

1. sécurité des passagers
2. sécurité des chauffeurs
3. protection des consommateurs
4. accessibilité

Calendrier

Prochaines étapes
En septembre et octobre 2017, la Ville va inviter des intervenants à des séances de participation pour entendre celles et ceux qui sont directement liés au secteur.


winnipeg.ca/vehiculesavecchauffeur
Appendix B - Focus Group Discussion Guides
**Agenda**

1. Introductions (5 minutes)

2. Project Overview and Schedule (10 minutes)

3. Discussion (70 minutes)

4. Conclusion and Next Steps (5 minutes)

**Discussion Questions**

a) **Approach**

The City plans for the policies and regulations in the new Vehicles-for-Hire By-law to have four objectives, and to set and maintain minimum standards for:

1. Passenger Safety;
2. Driver Safety;
3. Consumer Protection, and;
4. Accessibility

Are there other categories of regulation the City should consider?

a) **General**

Approximately 50,000 – 110,000 people in Winnipeg may require accessible transportation. In Canada, approximately 16% of the population has some form of physical disability. About half are related to mobility. There are currently 52 Accessible Taxicab Licenses in Winnipeg. This is about 11% of the metered taxi fleet in the summer and 9% in the winter with the addition of seasonal taxis. There are also 80 handicap vans. Altogether this represents 20% of the total fleet, excluding limousines (MNP, 2016).

i. How do we ensure vehicles for hire services are accessible for all Winnipeggers?

ii. How should accessible taxi services be treated in the new regulatory model?

b) **Minimum Fleet Ratio**

The City is considering implementing a minimum percentage of each vehicle for hire fleet is accessible vehicles.

i. What is an appropriate percentage?

ii. What is an appropriate amount of time to adjust fleet to accessibility standards?
iii. Other cities in Canada have implemented a surcharge for those dispatchers who do not meet the minimum fleet percentage of accessible vehicles. How do you feel about a surcharge for those dispatch companies that are not able to meet the minimum fleet percentage?

iv. If a surcharge is implemented, how should the surcharge funds be allocated?

c) Training
Is current training valuable? Do you have any suggestions for improving the current training?

d) Accessible Vehicles
Currently, Handi-Transit offers both ambulatory (persons who are able to walk) and non-ambulatory (persons who travel in a wheelchair) services.

i. Could the City implement a two-type licensing structure for accessible vehicles for hire?

ii. How would the ratio be applied?

iii. What is the difference and how would that affect service?

iv. Should different types of training be required of drivers?

e) Other Transportation Options
How does Handi-Transit fit in with other transportation modes like taxicabs and Transportation Network Vehicles (TNCs)?

Notes:
**Agenda**

1. Introductions (5 minutes)
2. Project Overview and Schedule (10 minutes)
3. Discussion (70 minutes)
4. Conclusion and Next Steps (5 minutes)

**Discussion Questions**

a) **Approach**

The City plans for the policies and regulations in the new Vehicles-for-Hire By-law to have four objectives, and to set and maintain minimum standards for:

1. Passenger Safety;
2. Driver Safety;
3. Consumer Protection, and;
4. Accessibility

Are there other categories of regulation the City should consider?

b) **General**

The Competition Bureau of Canada has stated that certain segments of the population, including seniors, persons with a disability, stay at home parents, and low income groups account for a higher share of taxicab trips than their representation in the population (Competition Bureau, 2014). This is to say that some groups are reliant on this form of transportation in their day-to-day lives. (MNP, 2016)

i. How do we keep the vehicles for hire industry fair and equitable for all?

ii. How can a policy and regulations account for those who may not have access to a smartphone, the internet, or a credit card and may be reliant on taxicabs?

b) **Fares**

The City is considering removing the minimum taxicab fare. If the City were to include a maximum fare, there is a cost index that may be used in order to determine the fare. The taxicab cost index uses data to determine how the cost of operating a taxi changes from year to year and can help determine if metered rates should be adjusted. The taxi cost index includes four components: wages, taxi insurance, fuel, and other costs using the Consumer Price Index. Would the removal of a minimum fare influence the communities you work with?
In the new system, a pre-arranged fare may be agreed upon by the driver and passenger but the meter must still run and the driver cannot charge more than the fare displayed on the meter.

i. Will this system have an affect on vulnerable populations?
ii. Is there anything else the City should consider with regards to meters and fares?

**d) Transportation Network Companies (TNCs)**

i. TNCs have a pre-arranged booking system that allows both the passenger and driver to accept the trip beforehand. Are there opportunities for TNCs to be created using something other than an app, such as Facebook?

ii. Transportation Network Companies typically use credit cards as payment. Taxis will still be required to accept cash – will this affect marginalized groups?

iii. How can pre-arranged fares for TNCs be implemented for those who do not have a smartphone, the internet, or a credit card?

**Notes:**
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Thank you for attending today’s focus group.
**Agenda**

1. Introductions (5 minutes)
2. Project Overview and Schedule (10 minutes)
3. Discussion (70 minutes)
4. Conclusion and Next Steps (5 minutes)

**Discussion Questions**

**a) Approach**

The City plans for the policies and regulations in the new Vehicles-for-Hire By-law to have four objectives, and to set and maintain minimum standards for:

1. Passenger Safety;
2. Driver Safety;
3. Consumer Protection, and;
4. Accessibility

Are there other categories of regulation the City should consider?

**b) Licenses**

Currently there are 5 types of taxicabs, 3 types of metered taxicabs, and 3 types of limousines in the Taxicab Regulation. The City is considering implementing two categories – metered (taxicabs) and unmetered (all other vehicles for hire including limousines and transportation network companies).

   i. Do you foresee any issues with these two categories?
   ii. Are there any challenges to limousines being included in the non-metered category along with transportation network companies (TNCs)?

The “non-metered” vehicle category would likely require that non-metered vehicle drivers prearrange an agreed upon fare, provide vehicle and driver information, and not accept cash.

   iii. Is it standard to provide the make, model, year, and size and agree on price beforehand?
   iv. Is there a record of this agreement that would be auditable?
   v. How would this be applied for trips from the airport?
   vi. Would there be challenges associated with not being allowed to accept cash?

The City is also considering making limousine and executive car licenses unlimited.

   vii. If limousine vehicle licenses were unlimited, how would that affect you?
c) Accessibility

The Competition Bureau recommends providing incentives to drivers to operate accessible vehicles in areas where consumers are underserved.

i. Do you agree or disagree? Why?

ii. What types of incentives would make the most sense?

d) Transparency

What information would you like to have access to so you can be confident that the vehicles-for-hire system is fair?

e) Transportation Network Companies (TNCs)

The City is considering incorporating Transportation Network Companies (TNCs) in the Winnipeg market. The following requirements are being considered for TNC drivers:

- Driver license
- Driver safety rating
- Training
- Criminal record and other mandated checks
- Insurance
- Driver identification
- GPS tracking
- Pre-arranged agreement of fare

i. What do you think of these requirements?

ii. TNCs will not be allowed to pick up street hails or use taxi stands. What type of enforcement is needed to ensure this?

f) Notes:
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Thank you for attending today’s focus group.
Agenda
1. Introductions (5 minutes)
2. Project Overview and Schedule (10 minutes)
3. Discussion (70 minutes)
4. Conclusion and Next Steps (5 minutes)

Discussion Questions
a) Approach

The City plans for the policies and regulations in the new Vehicles-for-Hire By-law to have four objectives, and to set and maintain minimum standards for:

1. Passenger Safety;
2. Driver Safety;
3. Consumer Protection, and;
4. Accessibility

Are there other categories of regulation the City should consider?

b) Training

Is the current training valuable? Do you have any suggestions for improving the current training?

c) Licenses

Currently, there are 5 types of taxicabs, 3 types of metered taxicabs, and 3 types of limousines in the Taxicab Regulation. The City is considering implementing two categories – metered (taxicabs) and unmetered (all other vehicles for hire including limousines and transportation network companies).

i. Do you foresee any issues with these two categories?
ii. Are there any challenges to limousines being included in the non-metered category along with transportation network companies (TNCs)?

The City is considering requiring a driving record for license renewal. A driver may be required to meet a minimum driver safety standard.

iii. What types of offenses would indicate a driver has not been driving safely and cannot have their license renewed?

The City is considering implementing a dispatcher license for both metered and unmetered dispatchers of vehicles for hire. The obligations of a dispatcher may include:

- Collect driver screening information
- Maintain records
- Report lists of drivers
- Ensure vehicles meet standards
- Maintain a system for customer complaints
- Maintain a record of refusal
- Automatic reporting trip information

iv. What are your thoughts on these requirements as part of a dispatching license?
v. Are systems currently in place to meet the dispatcher licensing standards that are being considered?

d) Accessibility

The Competition Bureau recommends providing incentives to drivers to operate accessible vehicles in areas where consumers are underserved.
i. Do you agree or disagree? Why?
ii. What types of incentives would make the most sense?

Currently, Handi-Transit offers both ambulatory (persons who are able to walk) and non-ambulatory (persons who travel in a wheelchair) services.

iii. Could the City implement a two-type licensing structure for accessible vehicles for hire?
iv. How would a two-type system affect service?
v. Should different levels of training should be required of the two different drivers?

The City is considering implementing a minimum percentage of each vehicle for hire fleet is made up of wheelchair accessible vehicles.

vi. What is required to add new wheelchair accessible vehicles to a fleet (time, cost, etc.)?

e) Fares

The City is considering removing the minimum taxicab fare and including a maximum fare only. The Taxicab Cost Index may be used to determine the maximum fare and if the fare should change.

The Taxicab Cost Index uses data to determine how the cost of operating a taxi changes from year to year and can help determine if metered rates should be adjusted. The taxi cost index includes four components: wages, taxi insurance, fuel, and the consumer price index.

Based on the financial information submitted to MNP in 2015, the average cost of operating a taxi is made up of the following percentages:

- Wages – 30%
- Fuel and Insurance – 32%
- Consumer Price Index (changes in price of consumer goods) – 38%

i. What do you think the impacts of having no minimum fare will be?
ii. Do the Taxicab Cost Index proportions represent how much it costs to operate a taxi?
iii. Do you think the Taxicab Cost Index is a fair way to determine the maximum metered fare and how it should change?
f) Driver Safety
   i. What are the most important elements to ensure driver safety?
   ii. What do you think of safety features including shields and strobe lights?

g) Transparency
What information would you like to have access to so you can be confident that the vehicles-for-hire system is fair?

h) Transportation Network Companies (TNCs)
The City is considering incorporating Transportation Network Companies (TNCs) in the Winnipeg market. The following driver requirements are being considered for TNC drivers:

- Driver license
- Driver safety rating
- Training
- Criminal record and other mandated checks
- Insurance
- Driver identification
- GPS tracking
- Pre-arranged agreement of fare

i. What do you think of these requirements?
ii. TNCs will not be allowed to pick up street hails or use taxi stands. What type of enforcement is needed to ensure this?

Notes:
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1. Introductions (5 minutes)
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**Discussion Questions**

a) **Approach**

The City plans for the policies and regulations in the new Vehicles-for-Hire By-law to have four objectives, and to set and maintain minimum standards for:

1. Passenger Safety;
2. Driver Safety;
3. Consumer Protection, and;
4. Accessibility

Are there other categories of regulation the City should consider?

b) **Transparency**

What information would you like to have access to so you can be confident that the vehicles-for-hire system is fair?

c) **Training**

Is the current training valuable? Do you have any suggestions for improving the current training?

d) **Licenses**

Currently, there are 5 types of taxicabs, 3 types of metered taxicabs, and 3 types of limousines in the Taxicab Regulation. The City is considering implementing two categories – metered (taxicabs) and unmetered (all other vehicles for hire including limousines and transportation network companies).

i. Do you foresee any issues with these two categories?

ii. Are there any challenges to limousines being included in the non-metered category along with transportation network companies (TNCs)?

The City is considering requiring a driving record for license renewal. A driver may be required to meet a minimum driver safety standard.
iii. What types of offenses would indicate a driver has not been driving safely and cannot have their license renewed?

The City is considering implementing a dispatcher license for both metered and unmetered dispatchers of vehicles for hire. The obligations of a dispatcher may include:
- Collect driver screening information
- Maintain records
- Report lists of drivers
- Ensure vehicles meet standards
- Maintain a system for customer complaints
- Maintain a record of refusal
- Automatic reporting trip information

iv. What are your thoughts on these requirements as part of a dispatching license?

v. Are systems currently in place to meet the dispatcher licensing standards that are being considered?

e) Fares

The City is considering removing the minimum taxicab fare and including a maximum fare only. The Taxicab Cost Index may be used to determine the maximum fare and if the fare should change.

The Taxicab Cost Index uses data to determine how the cost of operating a taxi changes from year to year and can help determine if metered rates should be adjusted. The taxi cost index includes four components: wages, taxi insurance, fuel, and the consumer price index. Based on the financial information submitted to MNP in 2015, the average cost of operating a taxi is made up of the following percentages:
- Wages – 30%
- Fuel and Insurance – 32%
- Consumer Price Index (changes in price of consumer goods) – 38%

i. What do you think the impacts of having no minimum fare will be?

ii. Do the Taxicab Cost Index proportions represent how much it costs to operate a taxi?

iii. Do you think the Taxicab Cost Index is a fair way to determine the maximum metered fare and how it should change?

f) Driver Safety

i. What are the most important elements to ensure driver safety?

ii. What do you think of safety features including shields and strobe lights?
The City is considering incorporating Transportation Network Companies (TNCs) in the Winnipeg market. The following driver requirements are being considered for TNC drivers:

- Driver license
- Driver safety rating
- Training
- Criminal record and other mandated checks
- Insurance
- Driver identification
- GPS tracking
- Pre-arranged agreement of fare

i. What do you think of these requirements?

ii. TNCs will not be allowed to pick up street hails or use taxi stands. What type of enforcement is needed to ensure this?

a) Notes:
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Thank you for attending today’s focus group.
Agenda

1. Introduction (5 minutes)
2. Project Overview and Agenda (15 minutes)
3. Group Discussion (75 minutes)
4. Report Back (20 minutes)
5. Conclusion and Next Steps (5 minutes)

Discussion will be facilitated in a group setting. Each group will self-identify a recorder and someone to report back.

- The recorder will:
  - Record your group’s ideas in point form on the flip-chart paper provided.
- The reporter will:
  - Summarize the group’s discussion during ‘Report Back’.

Discussion Questions (approximately 10 minutes each)

a) Approach

The City plans for the policies and regulations in the new Vehicles-for-Hire By-law to have four objectives, and to set and maintain minimum standards for:

1. Passenger Safety;
2. Driver Safety;
3. Consumer Protection, and;
4. Accessibility

Are there other categories of regulation the City should consider?

b) Training

Is the current training valuable to you as a driver? Do you have any suggestions for improving the current training?

c) Licenses

The City is considering requiring a driving record for license renewal. A driver may be required to meet a minimum driver safety standard. What types of offenses would indicate a driver has not been driving safely and cannot have their license renewed?
d) Fares

The City is considering removing the minimum taxicab fare and including a maximum fare only. The Taxicab Cost Index may be used to determine the maximum fare and if the fare should change.

The Taxicab Cost Index uses data to determine how the cost of operating a taxi changes from year to year and can help determine if metered rates should be adjusted. The taxi cost index includes four components: wages, taxi insurance, fuel, and the consumer price index.

Based on the financial information submitted to MNP in 2015, the average cost of operating a taxi is made up of the following percentages:

- Wages – 30%
- Fuel and Insurance – 32%
- Consumer Price Index (changes in price of consumer goods) – 38%

i. What do you think the impacts of having no minimum fare will be?
ii. Do the Taxicab Cost Index proportions represent how much it costs to operate a taxi?
iii. Do you think the Taxicab Cost Index is a fair way to determine the maximum metered fare and how it should change?

e) Driver Safety

i. What are the most important elements that make you feel safe as a driver?
ii. What do you think of safety features like cameras, shields, and strobe lights?

f) Transportation Network Companies (TNCs)

The City is considering incorporating Transportation Network Companies (TNCs) in the Winnipeg market. The following driver requirements are being considered for TNC drivers:

- Driver license
- Driver safety rating
- Training
- Criminal record and other mandated checks
- Insurance
- Driver identification
- GPS tracking
- Pre-arranged agreement of fare

i. What do you think of these requirements?
ii. The City is considering not allowing TNCs to pick up street hails or use taxi stands. What type of enforcement would be required to ensure this?

g) Transparency

What information would you like to have access to so you can be confident that the vehicles for hire system is fair?
**Agenda**

1. Introductions (5 minutes)
2. Project Overview and Schedule (10 minutes)
3. Discussion (70 minutes)
4. Conclusion and Next Steps (5 minutes)

**Discussion Questions**

**a) Approach**

The City plans for the policies and regulations in the new Vehicles-for-Hire By-law to have four objectives, and to set and maintain minimum standards for:

1. Passenger Safety;
2. Driver Safety;
3. Consumer Protection, and;
4. Accessibility

Are there other categories of regulation the City should consider?

**b) Training**

i. Is English proficiency important?
ii. Is hospitality and City knowledge training valuable?
iii. What do you think of tourism related training for drivers? Would you welcome this?
iv. Would you be more likely to use or recommend a service that ensures drivers had received tourism training?

**c) Accessibility**

Currently, Handi-Transit offers both ambulatory (persons who are able to walk) and non-ambulatory (persons who travel in a wheelchair) services.

i. Could the City implement a two-type licensing structure for accessible vehicles for hire?
ii. How would a two-type system affect service?
iii. Should different levels of training be required of the two different drivers?

**d) Customer Service**

The City is considering excluding regulations regarding customer service related issues (such as trunk size or car cleanliness) and allowing the industry to regulate those elements of service.

If customer service regulations were excluded from the By-law, how would that affect your clients/customers?
e) Transportation Network Companies (TNCs)

The City is considering incorporating Transportation Network Companies (TNCs) in the Winnipeg market. The following driver requirements are being considered for TNC drivers:

- Driver license
- Driver safety rating
- Training
- Criminal record and other mandated checks
- Insurance
- Driver identification
- GPS tracking
- Pre-arranged agreement of fare

i. What do you think of these requirements?
ii. Is there anything you would like the City to consider and account for with the introduction of TNCs?
iii. What types of regulations can be put in place to ensure visitors and those with vehicles for hire contracts have choice and understand those choices?

Notes:
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Thank you for attending today’s focus group.
Appendix C - Focus Group Session Minutes
Vehicles for Hire – Accessibility Focus Group

Location: Millennium Library
Dr. Anne Smigel Meeting Room
251 Donald St.

Date: September 22, 2017
Time: 10 a.m. – 12 p.m.

Meeting Minutes

General

- Many drivers do not want to provide service to people with disabilities.
  - Drivers want to focus on their business and maximize profit.
  - It can take more time to provide a service to people with disabilities.
- Drivers can refuse to provide rides for safety but this is being misused to refuse rides to people with disabilities.
  - Drivers do not want the extra work that comes with providing a ride to people with disabilities.
- There should be incentive for non-ambulatory vehicles.
  - For example non-ambulatory vehicles would not be charged the annual registration fee.
- Taxi cabs should not be allowed to use diamond lanes.
  - It would discourage older cyclists who are concerned with safety.

Approach

- Vehicle standard needs be a 5th category for regulation.
  - This would include the cleanliness and condition of vehicles.
- All vehicles-for-hire need to meet the Accessibility for Manitobans Act.
  - The Manitoba Taxicab board has already implemented accessibility standards for vehicles-for-hire.
  - These standards should be continued by the City of Winnipeg.
- The province is behind in meeting the Accessibility for Manitobans Act.
  - Few companies provide accessible services.
  - Need to focus on increasing the number of accessible vans.
  - In London, 100% of taxis are accessible and Toronto is moving in that direction.
- There should be no difference in fairs for accessible and non-accessible services.
  - Winnipeg Transit lost a Human Rights Commission challenge.
- The Highway Traffic Legislation lays out specific ways wheel chairs must be secured.

Minimum Fleet Ratio

- The current number of accessible vehicles is not enough for the demand.
- All Access Taxi rejects 50 to 100 calls per day for accessible taxis.
  - Customers may need to call many different small companies to find an available taxi.
  - The small companies need to be consolidated under a single management.
  - Management standards are going backwards.
  - Accessible vehicles are not on the road 24/7.
- The same level of service needs to be provided to all people.
  - All taxis need to be accessible because it will work for everyone.
  - Non-accessible vehicles need to be phased out and replaced with accessible vehicles, similar to Winnipeg Transit.
While larger vehicles have higher costs, the fares should be the same for all vehicles.
  - Everyone who uses vehicles-for-hire will need to pay a higher price.
  - However this may affect those with lower incomes.
  - The low income demographic has the highest percentage of people with disabilities.

There was a call for seasonal accessible taxicabs but no one applied because of the additional cost.
May need to gradually increase the ratio of accessible taxicabs through legislation.
At minimum you need 25% to 30% accessible taxicabs – the current ratio is 20% which is too low to service the existing population.

Training

- Accessibility training is good but with no enforcement its value is reduced
- The current training needs to be reviewed
- The IRC created the current training
- Securement is an issue with the current training.
  - Drivers are not certified in securement
  - Q restraint is the new industry standard
  - Q restraint training needs to be included in the current training
- Currently drivers need to pay $250 for training
  - The cost is partially subsidized
- Training should be reevaluated against industry standards on a regular basis
- English language skills are also an important for training
  - It is critical that drivers can communicate with passengers
  - A language course is taught by the St. James School Division

Accessible Vehicles

- Accessible vehicles should be allowed to take regular passengers during off-peak times so they are no sitting idle.
- Need standardization and enforcement of all accessibility equipment.
- The application for handi-transit requires a functional assessment.
  - It can be challenging to get accepted for handi-transit.
  - Qualifications are very narrow because the service is so limited.
- Handi-transit has very specific standards on what they look for in drivers.
  - Driving record requirements are the same as Transit drivers.
- Handi-transit is an inferior service to regular transit.
  - For example the hours are more limited.

Licenses

- There is an issue if vehicles-for-hire licenses are simplified to metered and non-metered vehicles.
  - PTPs are no different than metered vehicles because they both calculate trip fares.
  - Uber Black is similar to limousine services.
- A central body or dispatch is needed to monitor all taxicabs.
  - A central dispatch would have more weight for enforcement.
  - Cab locations should be geo-posted similar the how the province manages ambulances.
  - Could dispatch based on which car is closest to the customer.
  - The province has a presentation on geo-posting.
  - See attached contact information for presentation.
Vehicles for Hire – Dispatchers Focus Group

Location: Millennium Library
Anhang Meeting Room
251 Donald St.

Date: September 20, 2017
Time: 10 a.m. – 12 p.m.

Meeting Minutes

General

- Approximately 25% of Duffies and Unicity trips are scheduled through a mobile app.

Insurance

- TNC drivers should have the same insurance coverage as taxi drivers, including third party liability.
- Manitoba Public Insurance continues to raise the price of insurance and there are no other options the taxi industry.

TNCs

- TNCs cannot guarantee how many cars will be on the road, especially for busy nights like New Year’s Eve whereas dispatchers can guarantee a certain number of cars.
  - Few TNC drivers will be on the road during popular times like special events or during poor weather.
- Based on other cities with TNCs, there isn’t a big change at first. After a while taxicab drivers decrease because they have trouble making enough money. Then on busy nights like New Year’s Eve there will not be enough drivers.
  - In Chicago no one wants to drive because of crime. Now the City needs to make a large investment in public transportation.
  - In Edmonton it is difficult to find transportation at night.
- Surge pricing is also a concern. The consumers need to be protected.
- Winnipeg is too small of a market for PTPs.
- One taxi cab creates 2 – 3 full time jobs and some part-time jobs.
- PTPs can accept a trip and cancel that trip at any time. The customer has no fall back.
  - The customer will need to rebook through the app.
  - There is no ability to transfer a TNC customer to another driver.
- The City needs to regulate the availability of TNCs and collect fees and taxes from TNCs.
- There are many dead zones in the cellular network for customers and drivers causing customers to be left unserved.
- A cap on the number of TNCs is needed to ensure survival of the taxicab industry.
- TNC drivers may have consumed alcohol before picking up a customer. Surge pricing may encourage TNC drivers to work when they are unfit to drive.
- The death of the taxicab industry may cause more people to leave the City, especially new immigrants.
- Taxi cab fares are lower in Winnipeg than other cities so eliminating a minimum fare would cut into the salaries of drivers.

Training

- TNCs just have a tutorial video but there are no inspections or enforcement on the road.
• Cultural sensitivity training has been added to the current training for taxicab drivers.
• Conflict resolution is also included in taxicab driver training.
• Taxicab drivers are required to take an English language assessment and must meet a Level 4 standard.

Safety

• The City asked if the industry has considered a standard that requires all customers to prepay after 10 p.m.
  o Currently prepaying is very inconsistent and can lead people to think they are being discriminated against.
  o If the metered fare is less, the driver will return the extra money to the customer.
  o If the metered fare is more, the customer will need to pay the difference.
  o Challenging system to communicate.
• Women who don’t want to pay will threaten to accuse drivers of sexual harassment.
  o Experience drivers are familiar with this issue and will not ask for money.
  o Sometimes language is a barrier and causes misunderstandings.
  o Video and audio recordings are needed to protect drivers and passengers.
• The necessity of shields should be left up to the driver.
  o However even if drivers want a shield, owners will not pay for a shield if shields are not mandatory

Licenses

• TNCs should be required to have the same licenses as taxicabs.
• Creating a two-tiered licensing system with metered and non-metered vehicles is not fair.
  o TNCs use GPS to calculate the trip distance and costs – this is very similar to a meter so they should require the same license as metered vehicles.
• Decals to identify TNC cars could cause street hails to occur, however decals would be beneficial for enforcement purposes.
  o Another option for enforcement is to equip enforcement vehicles with license plate scanners.
  o TNCs would have to declare their license plates.
• Dispatchers currently require a drivers abstract for license renewal.
  o Current -7 is the minimum requirement for license renewal.
  o If a driver falls below the -7 requirement, they may require training but will still be dispatched.
  o Dispatchers dispatch cars not specific drivers.
• There is currently a system for dealing with complaints through the taxi board.
• Dispatch companies do not record small complaints like a lost wallet, only major complaints are recorded.
• It would be unrealistic to expect dispatch companies to record and report complaints because there are so many minor complaints.
Vehicles for Hire – Taxicab Driver Focus Group

Location: Millennium Library
Carol Shields Auditorium
251 Donald St.

Date: September 18, 2017
Time: 6:30 p.m. – 8:30 p.m.

Meeting Minutes

Concerns and Priorities

- Passengers should be required to pay before the trip.
- The taxicab industry is the only industry where customers pay after service.
- There are lots of incidents because of payment after the service.
- Drivers are paying too much to owners.
- There should be a sticker on the inside and outside of the vehicle to be clear that the driver can ask for money upfront.
- Should include proper information in the cab (like a passenger bill of rights).
- Should secure payment beforehand – especially on Friday and Saturday nights.
- More competition is welcome for good service.
- Increase number of taxi stands (through consultation with City and Parking Authority)
- A centralized dispatch system would increase fairness in the taxicab industry.

Employee Protection

- Gas, car washes, and other necessities are paid out of pocket.
- Protection of employees should be a priority.
- There should be a fixed price for leasing the vehicle.
- Vehicle rental should be decided by the City, rather than currently, rent could be higher than $100 per shift.
- Strong feelings of being a slave in Canada.
- No life insurance or disability insurance is provided to drivers.
- No health benefits are provided to drivers.
- No days off – if they need the day off they still need to pay for car lease and find another driver.
- Drivers are told not to report injuries to workers compensation board.
- There are huge concerns over driver rights and compliance with labour standards – can the policies address this at all? And how? Maximum shift rental rates? Prohibit penalties?
- There are significant concerns over the way penalties are imposed from owners to drivers and dispatchers to drivers – result is many drivers making less than minimum wage.

Safety

- When there is an incident, police often don’t show up. Drivers would like a direct link to the police.
  - The police may take up to two hours to arrive after an incident is reported.
  - Better response times from police are necessary for everyone’s safety.
- Passengers have been threatened with wrongful accusations of sexual assault if there is a confrontation.
  - Taxicab Board suspends licenses immediately so some drivers are out of work because of wrongful accusations and this can affect family life as well.
  - Voice recording with the camera could assist with these types of disputes.
- A better system for reporting incidents needs to be in place, for example a 1-800 number.
- Cameras need more memory/storage because incidents are recorded over very quickly.
- Panic buttons are also important to ensure safety.
- Some drivers feel the current shield is good.
  - Some drivers do not feel protected by the shield.
  - Shields are easy to break.
- Strobe light causes a risk to driver, threatening passenger can see when the light is engaged.
- Cabs should be vans instead of small calls so no one sits in the front seat and the cab can fit more passengers.
- Two key priorities for safety: faster response time from police and optional voice recording with the camera.
- Many of the cabs do not have winter tires.
- Vehicles maintenance is a concern.
  - Vehicles can be previous write-offs and are not well maintained.
  - Mechanics may give instruction for maintenance or replacement parts which are ignored by the owner.
  - Mechanics have been observed approving safety designation when they shouldn’t

Robbery

- When someone robs a retail store, police charge them. No charges are laid when someone refuses to pay for a taxicab ride.
- Blacklisting should be allowed for taxicab passengers.
- Paying the fare upfront would eliminate fare evasion.
- Sometimes passengers who don’t want to pay the fare will threaten to accuse the driver of sexual assault.
- Needs to be a criminal offence for fare evasion.

Pick-ups and Drop-offs

- Shopping malls and businesses should have more places for taxis to pick up passengers.
- There should be more taxi stands and parking spots for taxis.
- Hospitals have a few.
- The City gives parking tickets when taxis are picking up or dropping off customers with a disability and that should not be the practice.
- Diamond lanes should allow taxis during rush hour.
- Lanes are blocked during construction and winter so taxis are limited in their options for picking up and dropping off.
- Customers with disabilities require close drop off so taxicab drivers should not get a ticket for that.
- Unfair parking tickets are being issued, even in loading zones where picking up and dropping off should be allowed.
- Dispatchers will suspend driver is they pick-up someone on the side of the road – even if the customer is in clear distress
- Drivers choose not to pick up customers in the north end where they believe 90% of customers will cause them trouble

Training

- Drivers have to go to accessible training. The training is good but could be reduced from 8 hours to 4 hours.
The regular training could be reduced from 100 hours to 50 hours.
Training on new technology should be offered, especially for accessibility technology.
Those with a Handi-Transit license should not be required to take the accessible taxi training.
Belief the accessibility training was redundant for drivers who have trained with handi-transit
Desire for better conversational language skills. This could help avoid conflicts between drivers and passengers because of misunderstandings
Accessibility training is currently too frequent at every two years and drivers should only require training if there are changes to the course

Driver's abstract

There should not be a demerit limit implemented for licensing.
Drivers are driving 12 hours per day, every day in the year and should not be held to a different standard than a regular driver.
The responsibility should be on the driver and MPI has a limit on the number of demerits already.
Driver’s license and merits/demerits/offenses – strong message of consistency with MPI – which allows them to drive until they hit 20 demerits (length of time on the road, long hours, leads to easy demerits, very difficult to get merits back)
A driver with a poor driving record should have to go for safety training rather than having their license suspended.
  o There needs to be some tolerance before licenses are suspended.
Speeding and distracted driving is already enforced by police and tickets are enough of a repercussion and should not affect license renewal.

Fares

There should be a flat rate from one City to another (such as Winnipeg to Brandon) and that flat rate should reflect the cost of the return trip because drivers cannot pick up fares outside of Winnipeg.
In the last ten years, there has only been a 20 cent increase in fare.
The cost of a taxi business has increased over time but fares have not.
The Taxicab Cost Index should account for missed fares from those who did not pay (about $50 per night).
The Taxicab Cost Index should account for insurance claims and the insurance deductible, not just the standard rate.
There is support for a minimum fare and that fare should be increased.
Prearranged fares are great because it offers more security to the driver.
Support for indexed fare rates.
Concerns of min/max fare – no min means they will get even less than they are currently earning, no max means they might not make as much for out of city trips (compounded by TNCs “cherry-picking” most profitable service times).

Licenses

New cab licenses could be phased in after Feb 28 and should be one license per person.
There should be equal opportunity for all drivers.
  o New licenses should be open to those who don’t already have licenses.
  o Drivers currently feel that there is no opportunity to own their own vehicle.
  o The licenses should be awarded based on experience, rather than a lottery.
Others felt awarding licenses based on experience is unfair because some drivers have had their license for 20 years but have not been driving.

- There should be basic criteria for licenses.
  - Taxicab licenses should be limited to one per person.
  - One license per family.
  - Owners should be required to have a driving license in order to own a taxicab.

- A waiting list could be maintained for licenses.
- Drivers would like to own their own vehicle, seeing the benefit as greater pride in the cleanliness and safety of the vehicle.
- Taxi permits are sold from one owner to another inflating value and without oversight individuals are holding more than one permit, registering under the names of wives and others.
- Taxi cab ownership should not be transferable.
- Transparency in transfer/award process for taxi licenses.
- Drivers want greater enforcement for taking drivers off the road that are driving without a taxi license.
- If taxicab owners are out of the country for extended periods annually (some go to India for 6 months every year), or if car is off road for 2-3 months – they should have to give up their license (or at least license should be reviewed).
- The member on the new board or regulatory authority should not be allowed to also hold licenses, as this is a conflict of interest.

**Transportation Network Companies**

- Noted there are already private online companies operating in the market – Glen’s Enterprise.
- Positive towards entry but expect a limit on how many private companies are operating.
- Only elements not currently applied to cab drivers are GPS tracking (some had for a time) and pre-arranged agreement of fares.
- TNCs need to meet the same requirements as taxicab drivers.
Vehicles for Hire – Equitability Focus Group

Location: Indian and Metis Friendship Centre, 45 Robinson St.  Date: September 20, 2017
Time: 1 p.m. – 3 p.m.

Meeting Minutes

General

- There are currently many Safe Ride organizations that operate in Winnipeg.
  - Most Safe Rides operated on Facebook – people post on the Facebook page to request a ride.
  - Most Safe Rides go by donation but free rides are given on an emergency basis.
  - Drivers are volunteers and use their own vehicles. Drivers need insurance and a valid driver’s license.
  - Drivers must make pay a contribution to the organization.
  - Safe Rides are cash only but could include e-transfers in the future.
  - Most likely do not receive grants to support operations.
- Safe Cities deals with sexual violence in public spaces.
  - The goal is to have everybody understand what sexual violence is.
- There needs to be less regulations and more guideline for Safe Rides.
- Safe Rides are current use a Facebook platform, which does not have the capability to record trip data and GPS information like apps

Reporting

- Incidents in taxicabs are difficult to report. It is a long process and women are often victimized again by the process.
- Complaints with Safe Ride organizations are typically dealt with by the organizations themselves.
- The City could take complaints through 311.
  - It would be a clear centralized location for complaints.
- Customers would be provided with a tracking number to check the status of the complaint.
- Passengers should be able to report drivers without a running meter through text messages.
  - Phone evidence would increase the feeling of safety while reporting.
- Reporting needs to be quick and simple.
- There is currently a 14 day period to report incidents in a taxicab.
  - Fourteen days is not long enough for people to decide if they have been violated.
  - The period should be extended to one year and camera data should be automatically uploaded to a server.

Safety

- There is some uncertainty around the vetting of drivers.
  - Both taxicab drivers and TNCs should be required to have criminal record, abuse registry and vulnerable sector checks once per year.
  - Training is needed for the protection of passengers and drivers.
- More information needs to be provided on what is acceptable and not acceptable in a vehicles-for-hire, either posted inside the vehicle or on the app.
- Enforcing safety and consumer protection in the vehicles-for-hire could eliminate Safe Rides.
  - It is fair to set minimum safety standards for Safe Rides.
- Safe Rides could use smartphone attachments for credit card transactions.
- All Safe Rides need access to a shared service to take care of the backend logistics.
- Could implement a system where tickets are required to use Safe Ride services instead of cash.
- No concerns with eliminating the minimum fare and only having a maximum fare.
  - Could put taxis on par with Uber.
  - Negotiation of fares could put people at risk.

**Training**

- Klinic Community Health Centre does training in sexual violence.
  - Training is currently given to community groups, workplaces and other organizations.
  - Klinic will tailor training to specific group's needs.

**Accessibility**

- Safe Rides do not have accessible vehicles.
Vehicles for Hire – Limousine Focus Group

Location: Millennium Library
Anhang Meeting Room, 251 Donald St.

Date: September 26, 2017
Time: 1 p.m. – 3 p.m.

Meeting Minutes

Approach

- Customer service regulations are not necessary because the market will address poor customer service and vehicle conditions.

Safety

- Vehicle safety inspections are important.
  - Inspections every six months were a trade-off for an age cap on vehicles.
  - Limousines are on the road a lot less than taxicabs.
  - Returning to inspections once per year would be fine for limousines.
  - Would prefer periodic safety inspections over an age cap on limousines because limousines are not on the road a lot.
- Driver safety is not an issue for limousines and executive cars.
  - Cash payments are not a problem because the cliental is very different than taxicabs.
- A limit on the number of demerits a driver can have is important for safety.
  - A driver at the bottom of the MPI scale that is willing to pay the higher insurance does mean they are a safe driver.
- MPI already does a good job enforcing road safety so the City does not need to enforce.

Licenses

- Unlimited licenses would not work in Winnipeg because the market is too small.
  - Large companies in Toronto with large capital would push out local companies.
- There needs to be criteria that limousine companies must be owned and operated in Winnipeg.
- If the size of vehicle and capacity of TNC vehicles is limited, that would leave a market segment for limousines.
- There needs to be a distinction in licencing between TNCs, and limousines.
  - However it would be difficult to distinguish TNCs from limousines in a license because both vehicles-for-hire have prearranged fares.
- Dispatcher licencing.
  - There is no interest a required course or training for limousine companies to be licensed.
  - Limousine companies have been dispatching successfully for years without a special course or training.
- The Taxicab Board numbers required on the bumpers on limousines should be eliminated.
  - The special license plates should be enough to identify limousines.
- Some companies do not own plates which is challenging if an owner wants to sell their business.
  - Plates would return to the Taxicab Board.
  - The new owner would have to purchase the plates from the Taxicab Board.
  - Plates must be transferable with a business.

Accessibility
• Limousines and executive car companies has concerns with mandating a 100% accessible fleet.
• Some high end clients would not want to take an accessible minivan and prefer luxury sedans.
• There is a preference for one or two limousine companies to take care of accessibility services while the other companies provide regular services.
• An accessibility ratio is not necessary for limousines and executive cars.
  o If the demand is there, limousine companies will meet that demand.
  o Riding in a limousine is a choice whereas riding in a taxicab can be a necessity.
• Taxicabs should have access to bus lanes, just not for pick-up and drop offs.

Transparency

• A closed website for operators to report illegal operators would be beneficial for limousine companies.
• A phone line could be another option for reporting.

TNCs

• There needs to be restrictions on how many vehicles a TNC user can operate.
• The City shouldn’t increase fees on limousines because TNCs burden the system with many additional vehicles.
  o The cost of regulating the additional TNC vehicles will be large.
  o Limousines already pay a $200 per year flat fee and do not want a per trip fee.
  o TNC’s could be charged a per trip fee, for example $0.20 per trip.
• The City needs to have access to TNC’s data.
  o The City cannot take a TNC’s word that they are complying with all regulations.
• TNC vehicles need more than just a placard in the windshield for identification.
  o A special license plate could work.
  o TNCs should be require to have a commercial plate even if the driver is only doing a few trips a week.
• Insurance costs for TNCs must be higher than regular Autopac because those vehicles are being used for commercial use.

Enforcement

• There needs to be increased enforcement for illegal unlicensed vehicles.
• How will inspectors with the Taxicab Board be transferred?
  o Inspectors are patrolling less and there has been an upswing in unlicensed vehicles-for-hire on the road.
  o Legal operations provide inspectors with tips.
  o Inspectors would hideout at popular locations for unlicensed vehicles.
• The current enforcement system is not working great.
  o Some drivers do not report unlicensed vehicles.
  o The police do not provide assistance.
  o If the illegal operator is based outside Winnipeg, it presents a bigger challenge for enforcement.
  o Educating the public won’t help – the public will continue to select the cheapest ride.
  o Educating the police would help – how police can spot an illegal vehicle-for-hire.
• Limousine companies are concerned about increasing fees for enforcement because the City has a lack of resources and will need additional funding.
- Safety should be the top priority for enforcement and not available resources.
- The police did a blitz five years ago on illegal limousines.
- There needs to be a mechanism to follow-up on the status of a complaint.
- The Taxicab Board regulates vehicles under 11 passengers. The Motor Transportation Board regulates vehicles over 11 passengers.
- The Motor Transportation Board does not have inspectors on the street like the Taxicab Board.
  - This is where police could provide blanket enforcement for both categories.
Vehicles for Hire – Taxicab Owners Focus Group

Location: Millennium Library
Carole Shields Auditorium
251 Donald St.

Date: September 25, 2017
Time: 6:30 p.m. – 8:30 p.m.

Meeting Minutes

Key Priorities

- Compensation for owners whose licenses have lost value.
  - Taxicab drivers have shareholders who have lost money because of potential changes in the industry.
  - Other industries, such as the dairy industry are subsidized and compensated and taxicabs should be as well.
  - Winnipeg should not be compared to other cities because of our unique population.

- Fairness for the taxicab industry with the introduction of TNCs.

Transportation Network Companies

- TNC drivers and businesses should be held to the same standards as taxicab drivers and businesses.
  - TNCs should require the same insurance as taxicabs.
  - TNCs should be required to have same identification requirements as taxicabs.
  - TNC drivers and vehicles should be subject to police record checks, two vehicles safety checks per year, cameras, and the same insurance as taxicabs.
  - TNC vehicles should be required to drive 24 hours per day; the same as taxicabs.
  - TNC drivers should be required to have accessibility training.

- Taxicabs serve all populations in all areas of the city. TNCs choose which rides they want so they will not serve areas of the city.

- TNC revenue is going out of the country (through the TNC company), but taxicab owners keep the money in the community.

- TNCs should allow one driver per vehicle only and must be identifiable by a logo.

- TNCs have too much influence over governments.

- TNC drivers may be driving under the influence to take advantage of surge pricing.

- Surge pricing is unfair to passengers.

Licenses

- The taxicab industry has a fixed number of customers, with seasonal cabs added at the holidays.
- There are 410 transferable licenses (approximately $400,000 each) and those licenses should stay transferable.
- There are concerns with a loss in value of licenses.
- There are also non-transferable licenses and those should stay non-transferable. For example, accessible licenses are non-transferable and should stay that way.
- Some owners indicated that all licenses should be transferrable.
- Independent centralized dispatching system is preferred.
- The following offences should prohibit a driver from renewing their license:
  - Abuse
  - Sexual assault
Highway Traffic Act violation

- A committee should oversee the process of a driver losing their license.
- As long as a driver’s license is still valid an MPI has determined that the driver is fit, the driver should be allowed to drive.
- Licencing dispatchers might be fare if the fees are reasonable.
- Dispatch license fees could be seen as double charging.

Transparency

- The City should have input from the taxicab industry when drafting the new by-law.
  - There should be taxicab industry representatives involved in each step.
  - An advisory committee with someone from industry would be beneficial.
  - The taxicab industry should have the opportunity to discuss the draft by-law and identify the problems.
- There is no transparency currently; there is discrimination.
- There needs to be transparency in how plates a distributed.

By-law Development

- The City should take over the existing acts and regulations “as is” and then develop the by-law over time; this would give an opportunity for the City to see how the taxicab industry works.
- The City should see what happens with Uber and develop a study over two years to develop a new by-law.

Driver’s Safety

- TNC vehicles should be equipped with shields, cameras and strobe lights.
- Taxicabs contribute their time and effort to assisting police by providing camera information.
- Strong support for voice recordings being added.
- Major concern of lack of response by the police to serious incidences.
  - Police don’t respond when drivers call 911.
  - Police cars will drive right past a taxicab when strobe light is on.
  - No compensation by WPS when vehicles are pulled off the road to obtain camera/video footage. This can take up to a full day.
- Child abuse record check is redundant if drivers are already required to have a criminal record check.
- The City needs to be responsive to complaints.

Training

- Some owners indicate that the current training is good.
- Other owners indicated that the training is inadequate.
  - Too many videos.
- TNCs should be required to have the same training.
- Training should incorporate residential schools and Indigenous awareness into the current curriculum.
- There should be initial training for new drivers and only incident-based training for existing drivers.

Fares
• Retain minimum fare
  o The taxicab industry is already struggling to make adequate daily wages.
  o Without a minimum fare there is more potential for conflict at the beginning of the ride.
  o Passengers will walk along a line of taxis encouraging them to undercut each other.
• Setting a maximum fare and eliminating the minimum fare could avoid some disputes.
  o This could create problems with people not paying what is on the meter.

Accessibility

• Different pricing is needed for accessible services to reflect the costs of providing the higher level of service.

License categories

• TNC has nothing in common with a limousine.
• A TNC can change their rate but a limousine does not.
• Uber does not need permission to change their fare.
• There should be a minimum fare for both TNCs and limousines.
• Winnipeg is a small market and cannot handle many more taxicabs.

Other notes:

• Taxicabs have been trying to use diamond lanes for 15 years.
• Unfair obligations imposed on taxis to provide 24/7 service, service to high-risk areas, etc.
• Concern over aggressive ticketing by the Winnipeg Parking Authority while dropping off riders that need assistance at the front of a mall or at a school.
  o Taxicabs sometimes require access to front doors and fire lanes to unload passengers.
  o Drivers are given a ticket for $150, wiping out the day's income.
Vehicles for Hire – Related Industries Focus Group

Location: Millennium Library  
Dr. Anne Smigel Meeting Room  
251 Donald St.

Date: September 22, 2017  
Time: 1 p.m. – 3 p.m.

Meeting Minutes

General

- The Winnipeg Chamber of Commerce is in favor of TNCs. It is a service unique from taxicabs and limousines.
  - They are different industries so they deserve different regulations.
  - Taxicabs are full-time whereas TNCs are part time so a lower level of training is required.
- The Manitoba Hotels Association believes there are not enough taxicabs available and a higher standard of service is needed.
  - However there are concerns with the sharing economy (i.e. AirBnb).
  - The sharing economy needs to be taxed fairly.
  - Currently it is the responsibility of individual drivers to report taxable income.
- Some hotels have an agreement with taxicab companies.
- Regulating customer services standards is not necessary like the trunk size of vehicles.
- Tourists need more vehicles-for-hire option to have a better experience in Winnipeg.
  - TNCs will give Winnipeg an up-to-date, cosmopolitan city image.

Training

- Taxicab drivers are often the first point of contact for visitors and therefore they should be regularly refreshed on what is going on in Winnipeg.
- Current training is just a 1-2 hour presentation in person of what is new and happening in Winnipeg.
  - Training is optional for taxicab drivers.
- It would be nice of taxicabs could provide tourist advice but the top priority is professionalism.
- It is important to have a general knowledge of the City to provide the best customer experience.

Approach

- The four pillars of regulations are good.
- Capping surge pricing for TNCs is not necessary because you know the fare in advance.
  - However if a cap was to be implemented, it would need to be a multiple of the regular rate (i.e. 10x).
- There needs to be standardization of trip fares to and from the airport.
- What is the cost for TNC drivers to enter the market?
  - The cost is to be determined.
  - Fees should differ based on the size of the operation.
- A scalable regulatory system would be difficult to implement.
- Some TNC drivers are driving for extra income whereas other TNC drivers have multiple cars and turn it into a business.

Accessibility

- Providing people with more vehicles-for-hire options would improve accessibility.
Customer Service

- Companies should be responsible for managing their own fleets. The market can enforce customer service instead of regulation.
- At the airport, passengers typically take the first taxicab so it may be more challenging for the market to take care of customer service in certain situations.
- Passengers can rate TNC drivers so there is incentive to provide a high level of customer service.
- TNCs are the same in every city so it is user friendly for tourists.
- Complaints can be a challenge with taxicabs because the passenger does not know the name of the driver.
- There is no need for cameras in TNCs because the driver and passenger are both known to Uber.
- The taxicab companies have apps but visitors do not know the names of Winnipeg taxicab companies so visitors are less likely to use the app.
  - If a ride is booked through the app, a driver can cancel the ride and you do not get a notification. The ride doesn’t get transferred to another driver.
- How would taxicab chits transfer to TNCs?
  - Could organizations have an account with TNCs?
  - Corporate guests may get a bad passenger rating on a corporate account.
Appendix D - Written feedback submissions
## Written Submissions – Taxicab Owners

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Are there other categories of regulation the City should consider?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Taxi should use the bus lane during rush our business license should be owned by the no conditional. License and able to switch different dispatch company Switching. Categories from small taxi to Handi van without restrict in considering medical case</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consumer protection</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Insurance and vehicle safety</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>the accessibility the current system is not function properly so change 70% regular taxi to accessible taxi so every customer can get the service on time without over charging specialty the people with a wheelchair most of the have limited income but they pay extra to go to hospital shopping .....so please please</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Safety of transfers of monies from passenger or consumer to driver or taxicab company.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In car camera and vehicle identification for greater public safety.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Equal treatment for all</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Can't think of others at the present</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>What information would you like to have access to so you can be confident that the vehicles for hire system is fair?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>How much insurance vehicles pay? Tax rate Fares</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Same standard as taxicab</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City should make a working group of all stakeholders like seniors, public, disable community and taxi owners</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In the order my call was received and when it actually has be accepted by a driver from which taxicab company and how long it will be for the vehicle-for-hire to arrive to my location.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Industry representatives should be involved in adopting new by laws.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Same work same insurance same regulations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Everyone should have same set rules</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All information</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Should be</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Safety</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New rules and regulations. Meeting times from the provincial government concerning bill 30.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Is the current training valuable? Do you have any suggestions for improving the current training?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Current training is appropriate.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Any driver that going to carry passengers I strongly believe should be trained and certified</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>For drivers who have been driving for over 10 years, it is not valuable to continue being retrained.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disability and professional driver and language training is must</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>the current training is good</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes, The actual clear understanding of each destination going to on every call.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current training is valuable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Same for all</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No just that everyone driving including TNCs should have to take the same training</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>It's ok</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Training is very good</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes current training is very valuable. All drivers benefit from it.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Do you foresee any issues with these two categories?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Difference in quality reflects the whole industry. Unmetered cabs will lower the standards</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A vehicle should have meter without limousines</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I think present system was evolved with time and need so city should study pro and cons before any change</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non meter will have to have a flat rate from start to stop.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Currently metered taxicabs and limousines are ok but we don't need transportation network companies.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes. Handi Cab has been left out</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes, metered taxicabs will have trouble competing against unmetered taxicabs.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Are there any challenges to limousines being included in the non-metered category along with transportation network companies (TNCs)?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>None that are foreseen.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Can't compare limousines with ridesharing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not our concern</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Again non meter rides will have to have a flat rate from start to stop.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes there will be mess in limousines service because transportation network companies are like taxicabs. Limousine is a limousine not taxicab.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maybe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>The City is considering requiring a driving record for license renewal. A driver may be required to meet a minimum driver safety standard. What types of offenses would indicate a driver has not been driving safely and cannot have their license renewed?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>More than 3 incidents in the past year.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Every second year should be a criminal record check and Child abuse Check</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inebriated driving</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>That will lead to shortage of drivers city should consult labour laws.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>crime after proven guilty on court</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Speeding, seat belt, traffic signs, impairment.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dangerous driving offence. Driving under influence.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Currently we have the law is enough
Yes
Serious offences like road rage anything that is a safety issue.
Yes
Fully check driver records
More than 2 driving incidents in a year show that a driver is not driving safely.

What are your thoughts on these requirements as part of a dispatching license?
These requirements are too much. Only lyft and uber can meet these requirements. Less requirements so local companies can compete.
Independent owners of taxi companies need independent dispatchers. The current dispatching system is corrupted and unreliable. If the city wants an advisory group for independent owners, that would be very helpful
It will raise cost operation for Taxi's, if city wants then it should implement for all providers
the dispatching must be in-pendant from the taxi owners
They need to be followed to keep good order and direction of drivers.
City should collect safety certificates for all for hire vehicles just like present tcb does
Yes
Also implement rating of drivers
As a company this is already in place.
Yes
Agree

Are systems currently in place to meet the dispatcher licensing standards that are being considered?
Yes
Yes it's there
not at all
Yes
Good enough
All of this is in place already
No
Yes

What do you think the impacts of having no minimum fare will be?
More competition. Uber and lyft will have an unfair advantage. unicity and duffy will not be able to compete. this is a biased policy
Minimum and maximum should be for all providers if it is implemented for only taxis then it's unfair competition
Not enough revenue to care for on the
Fiasco. Driver and customer disputes.
No idea
Fuel and insurance numbers are incorrect and unfair
Driver lose money

It will be a cut throat business as owners/ drivers will try to outdo one another.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question</th>
<th>Response</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Do the Taxicab Cost Index proportions represent how much it costs to operate a taxi?</td>
<td>Insurance and repairs take more than 50%. Mnp cost index is not very scientific data yes No Ask Taxicab companies input No Yes Don't know what the taxicab cost index is for others. I know what it is for me.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Do you think the Taxicab Cost Index is a fair way to determine the maximum metered fare and how it should change?</td>
<td>It's fair. No it's not fair as it lacks facts and figures no No Taxicab companies in put Yes if you adjust it to be fair Yes Not really. Because it depends how many for hire vehicles you intend to provide. The more you provide the higher the rate would have to be, as we would have to distribute the available business amongst a unanimous amount of vehicles.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>What are the most important elements to ensure driver safety?</td>
<td>Insurance, cameras and transparency The relationship between customer and driver. Independent accessible vans should be advertised as working as regular taxis too. In car camera with audio and voice recording the way we talk with the customers Driver input must In my part of business we have measures in place Huh Police check child abuse driving records What are taxis have now.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>What do you think of safety features like cameras, shields, and strobe lights?</td>
<td>Open-Ended Response Yes these are helpful for safety, but not necessary It is ok as it is.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>It helps in saving life</td>
<td>it is ok</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Live recording also</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In taxicabs only</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Good driver and passenger safety</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Very good safety features</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Good</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>What do you think of these requirements?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>These are too much for TNC. Local competition can’t compete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>It's ok.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Internet taxi companies should commit to provide all kind of transport need which include wheelchair, blind people with guide dogs and wheelchair accessible vans</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>It is ok</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>They should have to have the same credentials as all other industry players and should pay same insurance rates.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Should be same for everyone</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Need more stuff like cameras in every car for safety even transit buses have full training for drivers &amp; knowledge of city</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Good</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>The City is considering not allowing TNCs to pick up street hails or use taxi stands. What type of enforcement would be required to ensure this?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Suspend license</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>They shouldn’t be able to wait at taxi stands.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>It's very hard to enforce but marking them with different licenses plates and heavy fines can make some difference</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hard to enforce. Public convenience important</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inspectors</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Big fines</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The enforcement is already there regarding taxi stands.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Is there anything else you would like to add?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>For new and old privately owned companies, there should be a new independent dispatching system. If the city wants an advisory committee, please make sure to have adequate representation for independently owned companies. Every hotel and airport in the city should have a loading area for ALL taxi cab companies. We should not allow bigger companies to monopolize business by paying for this space. This favouritism is a symptom of the bias and inequality in the system. This selective system is corrupted. Hospitals should have charge slips for every taxi company, not for specific taxi companies. Business should be open to all of us.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>There should one insurance pool for all, so that cost is daily shared by all and in car camera is must as it will save resources in future and will help police in investigation of crimes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Please make independent dispatch system from the taxi owners the current dispatch is not the serving the public properly see other cities no dispatch is related with the taxi owner</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TNCs should also require to provide accessible service as well it should apply to them as well</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


Commercial insurance
### Written Submissions – Taxicab Drivers

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Are there other categories of regulation the City should consider?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No, it's ok</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 passengers should be allowed. No one should be allowed to sit in the front seat just for the safety of driver. The camera should be with voice recorder</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>wages paid to drivers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No, I think it's ok</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The city should also consider driver rights.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>city should make law about driver pension and e i benefits</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Everyone safety</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Passenger safety</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**This is good**

Fare theft: - In my 7 years of driving profession I faced lots of rip offs. There are People who easily Rip-off cab drivers or they tried their best to do it because they know nothing going to happen if we run away so they keep doing it and they might tell their friends also about it too. So I would say city should consider this as serious offence under theft criminal activity.

more video surveillance

Dispatch control system. this system will help to control any corruption which all large company never solve

Driver rights

There are enough cabs available already but once the shift changes then there are some trips put on hold for half an hour. But that doesn’t mean we need more cabs it’s only that time. You should also consider that most of the time drivers won’t get any passengers for 2 hours.

No

These four objectives are for vehicles for hire by law. The city should make New rules and regulations 2018 for the taxi industry. New rules about the ownership of the taxies right now just one person holding 14 taxies. The city should do something about this.

No

Cabs must be prepaid

Good

Financial government protection

There should be written down on cabs like driver can ask cash up front anytime. Because lots of people don’t pay.

Another passenger service provider should be protected also, to maintain employee living, which is going to effect the livelihoods, and ultimately depression, health, Family etc. but it needs changes but slowly thanks

City should help drivers to work for uber or Issue more plates so drivers cannot be Duffy or Unicity taxi WORK as slaves we pay what they want from us plus we pay fuel Lots drivers Go on the welfare or move out of the city due to paying these kind of prices 100+fuel This is insane

Customer service

Common sense

Money they pay for it

No

Customer Service, Fluent English Communication like any other professional, clean appearance and no more than 6 hours behind the wheel

Limitation of driving hours weekly

Cars must be clean and have some good space for the passenger. I mean to say they can feel comfortable when they are traveling.
Some people behaves rude please make a policy customer should maintain good attitude.

Thanks for the invite at the meeting. I learnt a lot and I hope you do too. I am sure in the new regulations you will include the time and day one driver has to work, right now we work 7 days 12 hours every day. If you are sick we have to pay that day, otherwise we will get fired we contacted taxi cab board, labour board, even the city but no answer.

Passenger safety, driver safety

Driver rights

Pre authorised payments plan.

8 hour shift

I like to add in driver safety - clear arrangements for prepay for driver safety, voice recorder and would like city will make arrangement to protect driver rights, work environment too. There should be regulatory body to listen driver problems. Because old taxi owners exploit new drivers, forced them to work seven days -12 hours and give them bad shifts / slow hours to work. Owner work themselves busy hours and give taxi to drivers around 6:00 pm to work up to 6 hours which are the worst hours to make money.

Driver’s union pension plan medical and other benefits enjoyed by transit. Weekly holidays number of working hours for safety of drivers as well as passengers.

protection of fare, cab

safety of cab, deductible should not be paid by cab driver in case of damage done by abusive customer, fare protection

Yes

Cabs must be prepaid

Taxi operation must be considered as a privilege not right to protect illegal taxi privilege transfer practice in Winnipeg. Which will protect the rights of drivers to operate taxi on fair and efficient way?

Accessibility and driver safety

Should have solid business plan for drivers where they can override black market... city should introduce new dispatch where all new plates can do business instead of paying 100000$ to companies to drive under there banner

No

Time consuming

Quality representation of car n driver n respect should be given to customers n drivers as well n city can play a role in it as cab drivers r city ambassadors in some big cities around the world

All major concerns mentioned above

Time consuming

These should be good for now.

Driver payment protection

No

The standards of a cab like what model what year the reason I said this some cabs are not supposed to be on the road if we through by year most of them will be failed.

Driver safety

Passenger safety

Is the current training valuable to you as a driver? Do you have any suggestions for improving the current training?

Open-Ended Response
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Training programs are enough</th>
<th>no need to improve anything everything is perfect, we are satisfied</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>better knowledge of English</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>current training is good</td>
<td>Yes the current training is valuable.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>refresher courses after every two years</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No training is good</td>
<td>Yes the current training is valuable enough. Suggestions for improvement I would say they should put Canadian language benchmark requirement. For example Only people with Benchmark level 5 are allowed to go for training.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>there should be focus on communication skills</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wheel chair training</td>
<td>The training to help physically challenged people is fine for once but taking that class every year and paying for it is absolutely unnecessary and just financial burden on Drivers.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Very good</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>Experienced drivers do not need any training. Trainings only for new drivers.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Don't need extra training if you have class 4 and taxi license</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The current training we got is good.</td>
<td>That's good enough already</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>More work on communication skills!</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No it's perfect</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Currently training is excellent.</td>
<td>English Skills should be Level 7 Minimum. Basic Customer Service</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All good already</td>
<td>It's ok</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YES,EVERY DRIVER MUST GO THROUGH THIS TRAINING,ITS GOOD FOR EVERYONE</td>
<td>Fully trained</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>no we do not need</td>
<td>I think only new drivers need training</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes the training has nothing wrong but the trainers are the problem, favourite and some kind of bribe involved in the training. Why I am saying this some of the drivers get their license before they get ok Canada.</td>
<td>Current training is good.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current training is good.</td>
<td>very good its countable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes driver have to give driving test by using map book</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Its valuable</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Driver have to give practical route driving test by using map book</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sufficient</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>no</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Customer service is to be improved.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>It's good for now.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>valuable</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Training is good valuable</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Experienced driver does not need any training. Trainings only for new drivers.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current training is sufficient but rich taxi companies have access to approach Taxicab board staff which is corrupt. I can prove the corruption of Taxicab inspectors.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes! There should be more English proficiency and work ethics courses</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>On road training should be the part of training</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NO</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>More knowledge of city allowing drivers to use gps or cellphone in special cases as we obtain class 4 license not just a car 5 we are professional drivers n emergency vehicle drivers have. Same license they use cellphones and computers gps while at work police or paramedics fire department</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current training is valuable</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes language should be big concern some drivers have poor vocabulary, there should be English proficiency test in effect</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>There is no training except accessibility training. If u go out and check most of them have no language, customer service and knowledge of the city. Of course they use GPS but that's no right because GPS will take you with the major road not the shortest way it does affect and leads to conflict.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Compulsory language branch mark min 5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not very valuable and waste of money</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>There are lots of drivers out there who don't know how to ask fair upfront respectfully, they should not ask customers if they want their change back or not. These two things must be covered in the training</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The City is considering requiring a driving record for license renewal. A driver may be required to meet a minimum driver safety standard. What types of offenses would indicate a driver has not been driving safely and cannot have their license renewed?

Drivers spend long hours on the road. It should not be more strict.
if the driver would do anything wrong like drunk, sexually assault
Drivers spend long hours on the road. It should not be too strict.
any type of charges against driver
Depending on the amount of fines they have gotten, the amount of accidents they have had.
breaking the traffic rules, aggressive driving, speeding
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>License renewal</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I agree with this it has to be for the new drivers coz right now drivers are so much in stress they have to pay 90 to 100$ per shift and drive 12 hour 7 days a week that's why there is so much of stress. No body want their license to go negative and pay more insurance and license fee.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The offenses like impaired Driving and more speeding tickets would indicate a driver has not been driving safely. Otherwise the driving safety rating requirement should keep same as it is MPI does have. It's more risk of collisions when you are on road for all day long or whole night.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Child abuse, assault, careless driving, criminal back ground check.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>drinking and driving, cheating customer, and speeding</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Same mpi</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>If a driver is drinking and driving then the renewal should be tough. Or if he breaks any law then also it shouldn't be renewed.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>no</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drunk charge</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Driving abstract required at the time of license renewal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 month suspend likens</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Just check their drivers record</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>If driver is drunk while driving, child abuse. License renew after 2 years.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Driver records</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Impaired reckless driving</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drink and drive!</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consistent dangerous behaviour</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rash driving, drink and drive charge</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sexual harassment, Marijuana or Alcohol Consumption, 3 Accidents</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>If mpi give a class 4 license and criminal record check</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sure as a cab driver they should not get renewed their license if it's not safe for public.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DRIVERS DRIVING CARELESLY AND IF THE DRIVERS HAVE MORE THAN ONE ACCIDENT,THEIR LICENSE SHOULD NOT BE RENEWED</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>This is totally wrong. Driving long hours every day could cause some bad incidents. So in winter my preference would be my license not the person waiting for the cab.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I think need to check driving abstract</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bad behaviour</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minimum driver safety standard is good.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>no any k</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drink driving</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I think if mpi approved and criminal check</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>More than one company license.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Driver who is not driving</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Need to carefully look on drunk taxi driver's</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>drink drive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Driving under influence of alcohol and drug. Crime against children should be absolutely no.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sexual harassment, drunk</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DUI.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Driving under any kind of drugs or alcohol.  
This should go for the new drivers why for someone who is already a driver. While you are driving 12 hours every day things do happen and driver always try to keep his rating good.

Driver abstract be required at the time of license renewal.

More than 2 violation of summary offence should not be allowed to drive hire vehicle
If driver is tired or distracted but keep this thing in mind safety rating should have enough room for driver since they are 10 times more on the road than an average driver

Responsible in head on collisions and habitual of jumping red lights

Sure to have a drug test

SERIOUS MEDICAL conditions drug issues or child abuse

Sexual, fraud, violence, drugs related

Dangerous driving if proved

Drunk driving

Repeatedly getting tickets such as school zone, red light etc....

Sexual assault Child abuse Drug trafficking Providing prostitution & unlawful.

Winter tires

need proficient driver like class 4 or better

Clean driving record and obey traffic law

Speeding is on the top of my mind. But since lots of drivers are working 12×7, that's lots of driving and so it makes them prone to accidents and tickets. I believe people who are not graduated for their class 5 here, should get commercial driver’s license after having class 5 for two years. I'm saying this on my own personal experience

What do you think the impacts of having no minimum fare will be?

We have lots very small fares like $5. If there would be no minimum fare then driver cannot make living.
If there is no any minimum fare the service will be misused.
Wage at 30% would not keep a driver alive wage should be at least minimum wage.
its good
- Expenses will not be able to recover, there will be a loss.
disappointment
I think it will be good
It’s not going to worth it if there will be no minimum fare. Meter start from $3.50 now and if it's one or two blocks ride customer pay $4 to $5 which will be only $0.50 or $1 after having no minimum fare. So it's not worth it all.

No
the taxi driver has to cover the pickup cost too so minimum fare is required
Can’t say anything
Either way it is good unless a driver is not getting paid. All RIDES SHOULD BE PREPAID. The city should have made this rule.

Yes
That's a very hypothetical question.

No it should stay same

Not good for short fares! Time wastage

Positive affect

It should have minimum
Minimum fare should be dollars 10.
It will be better
Can't say now

What is maximum fare? IS it kind of FLAT RATE? HOW about busy times, peak hours. Do you know how hard it is to get a cab in rush hours? What r u doing about this? How can u make sure that everybody gets cab in rush hours and traffic? How about extreme weather conditions when people don’t drive their own vehicles cause risk are very high. How about the short rides where no driver goes for pick up.

I don't agree with this because lots of people call taxi but they denied when taxi reaches
No minimum fare or maximum fare now. All fare are different from destination to destination
Maximum is better.

This is not right thing to remove minimum because we need money for food. You have increased the price of the Taxi fare so people get good service.

We need minimum fare. Some time there is long wait and short trip. It's easy to pay and return change.

Still good as running
It's hard to give the proper service short trip
It's hard to give proper service to short trip customers
no comment

Yes it affects a lot to cab operators
Fuel is paid by the drivers till now it should not be under same heading.
Customer can take advantage by taking a ride to one block down the street.
I think it will bring extra business to the industry
There should be a minimum fare at least 5-10$
There is no issue to remove minimum taxi fare
Less revenue but more customers

Yes
That will be crazy as Winnipeg is small city n people sometimes calls for only going one block specially in winter that won't be good for drivers in money n time aspect n also people will call even when they can walk (not a healthy lifestyle) and people who actually need can wait for abs longer this way

Should b minimum fare
On short travels it's not going to benefit the driver. He will have less money and has to get in the queue again for his turn.

No impacts
It might be better for the driver because sometimes we can't even make minimum wage. Yes if I don't own a cab may. yes, let's try it why not
30 %
according to the meters

Short distance customer will not be picked up
It's all fake data, coz most of the drivers pay $90 + fuel to the owner for 12 hour shift and owner pays for insurance and repair. And most of the fares are paid with cash so people (drivers and owners) fudge it to save taxes

Do the Taxicab Cost Index proportions represent how much it costs to operate a taxi?

yes

yes
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Response</th>
<th>Details</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>it will cost 1500.00 per month</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>It's better to ask business license holders about it. Driver doesn't pay insurance.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Don't know</td>
<td>I am not sure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not sure</td>
<td>Can't say anything</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Not sure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50.%50</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50.%50</td>
<td>To These owners taxi cost them only MPI and Dispatcher Rented witches $1000 (2 Driver's 14 shifts Monday to Wednesday$100+Fuel and Friday Saturday $125+Fuel to drivers pay for it Which Turn out to be $6000 that's how much one Week they make and drivers cannot show their fuel for tax return</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not sure</td>
<td>No type</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>Can't say now</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ya</td>
<td>Not</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not</td>
<td>Car, license, maintenance, fuel and service</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Don't know</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$4</td>
<td>all is good</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I think, they are considering everything.</td>
<td>no comment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>No it does not seem to be correct.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No idea.</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>There should be a fee to issue a taxi plate on yearly basis and city should have $1000 fee per year for each taxi or vehicle-for-hire plate and this privilege should be available for any citizen of Winnipeg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Only insurance is killer rest is essentials</td>
<td>No idea</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not too sure but seems ok</td>
<td>No comments</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. Maintenance expenses are also there. Cab company fees are also there.</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>I don't think so</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question</td>
<td>Response</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Do you think the Taxicab Cost Index is a fair way to determine the maximum metered fare and how it should change?</td>
<td>no need to change</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>fair way</td>
<td>no</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>yes no need to change</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Put price down</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>We have lowest cab fares if you compare with other provinces. We have flat rates outside the perimeter.</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>it seems fair</td>
<td>Can’t say anything</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The fares should be dependable on the e business. How many people need ride or how busy it is? Should be like rate1, rate2, rate3. It could be done by the time (8 to 10am in the morning and 3 it 6 in the evening) when it is busy. At bar closing time 2am weekends everybody going home at 2am super busy should be considered as the top rate. Driving drunken people home is not easy. Plus it has lot of benefits for all drivers, companies and customers. When the rate will be high in between 1:45 am to 2:15 am all people at the bars will not be taking cabs just at sharp 2am cause the rate is high some of them will go home before the rate rise and some of them after the rise. This way if the 100 people need cabs in downtown at 2am it is not easy for them to catch a cab because it is not possible to make 100 cabs available just at once. So by the rate component 30 of them will take cab before 1:45am, 30 of them after 2:15am. It has lot other benefits. Somebody going to vendor pick some beer call cab at 5 pm when it is busy while the other person going to the airport i waiting. If the is high vendor guy will not call but the airport customer will get the service fast. It is very useful for taxi or ride share industry.</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The same</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No it should not change</td>
<td>It’s already very less and cheap!</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type of vehicle. Driver experience.</td>
<td>It should go fairly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Can’t say now</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Can’t say now</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>More to take home will make it better</td>
<td>It’s fair</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>It's good</td>
<td>It's good</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>car maintenance should be added</td>
<td>car maintenance should be added</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Car maintenance cost should be added in index also.</td>
<td>Car maintenance cost should be added in index also.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>no comment</td>
<td>no comment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No, minimum fare should be increased every year like Winnipeg transits. It's same from many years. I don't know how taxicab cost index work. And the formula it's based on.</td>
<td>No, minimum fare should be increased every year like Winnipeg transits. It's same from many years. I don't know how taxicab cost index work. And the formula it's based on.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not sure</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>It is fair</td>
<td>It is fair</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>It should be prepaid cost index with waiting times</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>There should be more money for drivers as we work overtime, day n night time premium should be considered n cab drivers get no benefits no holiday rate on fares like 1.5x or 2.5z even on holidays</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Should not change because we are fighting for our presence</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not sure about this.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yea</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Absolutely it does</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 dollars</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bring uber and create competition. Price problem will solve</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sorry, I don't know</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>What are the most important elements that make you feel safe as a driver?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The cameras are in the cab should be voice recording</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Camera and shields and also strobe lights but not fully to feel safe me.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Camera, shield, Emergency button</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- driver insurance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cameras insurance, security alarm button</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Camera</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Camera shields</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>According to me drivers should be unionized. And there should be action taken against the person who assaults the driver.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Important elements would be if cabs should be prepaid all the time and assaulting a cab driver should be crime same as bus drivers. In my 7 years of driving experience I felt unsafe &amp; uncomfortable when I didn’t ask the Money up front which Ended up most of time didn’t get paid or got damage to vehicle. If city apply &amp; advertise this then there will be very less chance of rip-offs. Police response should be faster when there is assault call from driver.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All now have in cab</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>video surveillance, police help, alarm system</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>direct line with police officer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>camera and shield and panic buttons are good options</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prepaid rides are the most useful tool. it reduces 99 percent chances of trouble.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>If driver in emergency police help him right way</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All of what we now have</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>There should be Shield right after and no actions in front Seat</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Safety shield</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GPS, Shield, Experience re public relations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protection shield and camera</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Windshield should not cover only driver seat, actually driver seat and beside driver seat on the front side there should be windshield, so half portion in the cab should be protect by windshield, camera, and strobe light.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fear hours driving, Taxi Cab in a very Good shape,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Necessary to pay in the beginning</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Video and audio recording is the most safe

CUSTOMERS SAFETY ALWAYS FIRST AND THEN COMES THE SAFETY FOR DRIVERS

Cab drivers are not safe in Winnipeg. High risk job. Two three drivers get assaulted every day. Most important factor is money. All the rides should be prepaid especially after 10pm

Customer behaviour

Communication

Fares should be pay front.no argument. Nobody should be sit at the front seat.

Fare up front

Audio visual camera and equal rules for owners and driver.

Passengers not allowed to sit on the front seat

Prepay money fare avoid conflict with customers and need to add voice recorder in cabs also. Full security shield and no customer should be allowed to sit on front passenger seat with driver.

Camera and panic buttons

Right of drivers to be well defined. It should make known to passengers as well by advertisement. Police should respond to driver calls promptly. They should have list of reasons to refuse fare. E.g. person who is drunk etc. etc.

If we have an opportunity to say NO to fare if we don’t feel safe. And we are allowed to self Defence. And driver can ask for fare in advance.

The combination of cameras and shields.

There should be a voice recording cameras in the car

If the driver in emergency police help him right way.

Inside camera is important

New parts replacements instead of used part Specific tire threads, winter tires, dash cams, sound recording cameras and more efficient incident crews( police or safety teams).

Safety shields across

A Strong written safety program Clear consequences and reward

Video and voice recording cameras very very important and if possible even exterior view camera can help in accident investigation crime investigation it can help police in cases but voice n video recording very important. We want voice recorded cameras to protect ourselves from wrong allegations right now customer can say anything about us or accuse us of any fraud or sexual behaviour m we have no way to prove yourself they usually do it to get free ride

Job security ,police response in rapid way Advance fare

Cool and calm passenger.

Customer information and shield and camera

Public education: Help a cab drive in any situation Know your responsibility as a customer don’t expect a free ride Respond quick when a driver call 911 Let a cab driver share a diamond lane Strobe light usage and position

Covered driving seat

car safety

Uber is very big example to track customer and driver as well only camera is

Customer should pay their fair upfront , driver should have the right to refuse customer in front seat


What do you think of safety features like cameras, shields, and strobe lights?

These are working good

These things are safety features for driver but we need more and more safety features.

they are things after an incident not any help when cabbies in trouble
must  
 it is a good idea to have them, makes the driver feel a little safer  
 very good features should be in car  
 Yes  
 Yes  
 They r good cameras should be voice recorder also.  
 Full shield would be more helpful for drivers if there is no heating or air condition issues for the back seats.  
 Good  
 we should have video and audio recording for safety of driver and passenger like other city e.g. : Calgary  
 this helpfully but from the police should be needs fast respond, that means as my experience we didn't get help sooner from police  
 They are good and should be there forever  
 Very helpful.  
 Yes  
 Those are helpful  
 Good  
 Great  
 Just shield Right Cross In my 17 Year Experience that's all we need  
 Are really helpful!  
 Good  
 They are useful when you are in trouble  
 Well, I think if any cab does not he above three things, so that cab should not be on the road.  
 They are find; however, the cabs sometimes have too many features and the driver could be distracted  
 If police respond quickly only then these features r good  
 It's all good  
 THATS VERY VEERY GOOD FOR EVERYONE,AS A SAFETY PURPOSE  
 These are very useful. But making a law of prepaid rides like Toronto will result in 100 percent safety of drivers.  
 I agree those features are good  
 They are necessary.  
 If Winnipeg police is not come on time. These things wouldn't be useful.  
 All work if police response on time  
 Very helpful  
 Still good  
 All of them are very important  
 ok  
 The shield should be covered from back and sides. No passenger on front seat policy.  
 Kind of shield we have is of no use. Strobe light is must. Cameras should record audio and video at the same time.  
 Yes it should be mandatory.  
 All are great features.  
 Anyone can reach around the shield to the driver  
 Those are good
Camera and strobe light is helpful

Camera and shields are good but strobe light can be more offensive for trouble maker in the car

Shields

Video n voice recorder very much needed like one of the most needed and important change we want n it will be very helpful i securing customer n driver mark my words

All good but one options should voice record just in case

They are good

They are the best but camera should be video recording not just taking pictures

Strobe light should be at the very back of the car " please call 911" not a light just a message  Camera should be updated with audio recorder  Fully shielded would be nice

Yes all

shied only

Only camera and shield is useful

Makes feel safer

What do you think of these requirements?

These are very urgent

all are very essential

the city should issue plates to drivers who qualify

good

I agree with the requirements. The requirements should be the same as they are for a taxi driver.

We need all these. They are necessary to run the business

No

Our requirements

These looks good

As long as Tnc drivers have class 4 license and they will also go through 2 weeks training and then pass the exams then there should be no issues. Make sure their vehicle should have commercial insurance same as Taxi’s. All rules & regulations should be same.

Good both

tese requirements mandatory for all companies including (TNC)

Excellent, it should be practically. because i see a lot of private car work like taxi in Winnipeg that is not fair

Whatever are the requirements for taxi drivers should also be same for TNC drivers

Ok

Yes

Excellent

Good

I’m Agreed to all these it is a good idea for over so more people can I have an option to drive while there are laid off or no job Due to the taxi industry charging so much for shiftwork people was not coming forward to drive

Good enough

Good

That’s ok

Yes these requirements should be considered, there should be special training for disability, and this should be at least for one week.
Waze should be use better than a GPS
Good
Very good
I AM FULLY SATISFIED WITH THE ABOVE STATEMENT
The best thing about these companies the rides are prepaid by credit cards.
I am agree with those requirements
They are fair because other drivers go through those process so why not they?
According to my opinion dealing with Customer, everybody should be proficient in English.
All are good b
It's safe and helpful
Good
All of above should be mandatory for TNC's
Yes m agree
Sounds good
All are necessary. And safety of taxi every year.
Very good
That's good. And i would say it has to be on the level ground
Good
Also limited cab allowance share the business Equally
Good
Yes we need all of the these
Good enough
Agree
Sounds ok. But they should be the same for the existing taxicab companies too.
They are important.
That's all good
All
very important
All
Insurance is too expensive, if someone wants to work only part time. Uber drivers should be able to get insurance on week to week basis that way there will be more drivers out there, especially around Christmas time

The City is considering not allowing TNCs to pick up street hails or use taxi stands. What type of enforcement would be required to ensure this?
If they do would wrong anything or not follow the rule and regulations then city should punish with fine or tickets.
they should be able to pick up fares this would help in peak periods
penalty, warning and suspension
-fines should be given
proper taxi stands like buses
Safety
Taxi stand
They should be specifically asked not to do so if caught should be fined and there should be sings for
City should make transportation board and have their employees to watch on TNCs. If they got caught pick up street hails or use Taxi stands there should be ticket issued as a fine.

they should be fined

It can monitor by taxi driver or supervisor simple is that.

It should be same TNC’s that the drivers should be dispatched the same way the cab drivers do

Not sure

Yes

Street hails would be hard to police. As far as taxi stands are concerned, it is obvious, the signs are there. We also pay for these taxi stands.

Uber Does all Internet Book Calls so we should leave it up to their

Take action on complaints!

Signage and fines

Should have check on them

Cancel operation of that vehicle. Strong measurements

Can't say about it for now

THERE SHOULD BE PROPER PARKING SPOTS FOR PICKING UP THE CUSTOMERS

They can have their own stands. It can be sorted out later

I don't no

Serious fine

Rules should be strict. If somebody not follows it then he should be fined or fired. Hi

It's not right they should allow pick up hails and use taxi stands

Penalty should be implied on them with suspension of licenses and tickets.

TNC needs to give some codes to customers.

I think they should be allowed.

TNC's should be ticketed if Any one provides proof. For ex. Any registered taxi driver (unicity/Duffy) takes photo or video of the violator.

There should be sings saying no uber or lift i guess

Demerits penalties should be there which can prevent this situation

Just Dispatch call

Frequently stops

City cab officers needed like transit officers each company should have at least 2 supervisors on roads to implement this law n also check drivers for other issues car cleanliness and in case help required to driver like air pump battery cables or any kind of information

Permanent account ,better business policies

A patrol officer may be.

No as long as they do pay everything as a regular cab why not it's a free market a competition let the consumer decided

Yes

We must serve this issue.

Not sure

If you want to stop them from doing that, it will only increase the brawls/flows between drivers. Coz right now even Duffy's and Unicity drivers steal their fairs from each other’s taxi stands and there is no way to stop this.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question</th>
<th>Response</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>What information would you like to have access to so you can be confident that the vehicles for hire system is fair?</td>
<td>customer identification with full address and phone number, work out a solution in wpg for wpg, - An uber driver should have a valid class 4 license the way a taxi driver does so that the vehicle for hire system is fair, seniority of driving taxi, clean record, loyalty to the profession, yes, no, everybody should know what’s going on in the industry drivers and the owners everything has to done the way it’s fair for both, I would say vehicles for hire system would be fair if city issue one business license per house hold mean one family wouldn’t be able to keep more than one license. And new licenses should be issued to Drivers only not to people who already owned business license before, system should be transparent to all, Centralized dispatch system, this independent dispatch system could help to prevent from dishonesty, The records should be maintained properly and it shouldn’t be a monopoly of few companies and the chances should be given to new people as well not just the drivers or owners who already in the business, All information, yes, More jobs opportunities and better customer service, I would like to see the rules and regulations that beset up for them by the governing city, We should have more taxes And Uber so people will have opportunity to drive there will be lot more jobs thank you so much, Long trips to make sure not given to favourite ones, Full transparency, Fair online information about systems, There should be issue at least 200 taxi permits to drivers not for present owners, Company name, Service Offered, Rent should be fair and licensed plates should be issued to only those who r driving now and there should be only one taxi per owner and he must drive that cab not for rent, Full details of client, IF SOMEONE WANTS TO OWE THE TAXI LICENSE PLATE. HE SHOULD BE LIABLE TO PAY LESS TO LESS MONEY, Because city getting bigger need more vehicles only in winter seasons, Looks every one equal and treat fair. The taxi cab board was owner friendly not drivers, I think the vehicle for hire system only applicable for drivers not are taxi owners. The drivers who drive the taxi in past and also driving right now because some people just take the license and doing other jobs. Taxi plate should be not transferable, We need one more driver meeting to express our concerns and to give view about new hire system, Full time taxi drivers also get a chance to participate in draw. Only one taxi to one person. Also a mail should be send to everyone about procedure of draw so that everybody can participate, To give the better service to the passengers, Equal rights to own taxi cabs and only those should own cabs who are willing to drive, All, Management's guideline, Drivers should be involved in making decisions, everyone should have an opportunity to make living.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
new cars should be given to drivers also not like taxi cab board as all the powers were given to owners n they were running this business not according to Canadian labour law or not even according to humanity as they had all the power. Winter cars were only given to owners like seriously they already have cars they r owners already u r giving them more cars so they can get money from drivers n make more money no opportunities for new comer or for poor

We can figure out after proposed policies look

Not sure yet

Safety check by licensed company

Technology Reduced fair Visibility Clean business

City check every month

It should be transparency has to be fair.

New driver can't afford taxi. Prices are high and plates should not be transferable

Is there anything else you would like to add?

No thank you

fare should be pre- pay and full safety for driver

keep me in the loop so I can be of assistance in the future

seasonal taxis should be for drivers not owners and taxi price should be affordable

No

car should be non-transferable

No

No

There should be more taxis on the road for the customer service most of the people driving cabs don’t want uber but there should be more cabs on road and should be given to the drivers not the owners that should be fair to the drivers coz right now owners are using drivers as there slaves that’s why the drivers should be unionized

I would say if city is bringing TNC’s like uber & lyft then they are just creating part time jobs. In Winnipeg our taxi fares are cheaper as compare to all uber operated cities and our population is not much enough to make living just by driving uber on full time basis. But I would recommend instead of bringing TNC’s City should issue more business licenses to Drivers only. By doing this there would be jobs on full time basis. Mean to say if city issue 100 more licenses then 200 drivers would get job on full time basis. Please consider this point as important point. Thanks.

The main object of taxi industry in the city would be make customer satisfied. That it come from driver and company work as team so that you should monitor. thanks

One person one city taxi ownership. This is the first thing all the taxi drivers of the City of Winnipeg want. No buy no sell. All the owners having more than one taxi should be cancelled By the city and issue new license plates to drivers.

We as shareholders have received emails from the taxicab board regarding putting on extra cars for the winter season. We are given a notice to have our application for a seasonal car in by Oct 9. Unicity taxi alone has about 50 brand new Prius Vs. sitting in their homes ready to be used. It is imperative that the ride shares are expected to meet the same standard rules and regulations as taxis to make this an equal and fair playing field and not undermine the existing taxi industry.

Yes we have over 800,000 people living in Winnipeg and here only about 400 people who own the taxi industry and they are Running the whole show rest of the people living in Winnipeg don’t have to say we are just sitting and watching hey there can I be a more taxis or Uber will arrive so we can I have jobs thank you that's all I can say

Not really

No

Nothing
That so we welcome the decision of the city of Winnipeg.

Not really for now

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>IN THE CURRENT TAXI INDUSTRY, THE OWNERS THEY ARE DOING THERE BUSSINESS IN THEIR OWN WAY. THEY ARE CHARGING THE LEASE MORE THAN THE DRIVERS EXPECT. THEY ARE TAKING MORE WORK FROM THE DRIVERS. THE TAXI OWNERS THEY ARE THINKING ABOUT THEMSELFS BUT NOT ABOUT THE DRIVERS. SO I HUMBLY REQUEST THERE SHOULD BE SOMETHING DONE AGAINST THE TAXI OWNERS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Yak, all cab drivers in Winnipeg are excited about new rules and regulation. They are also expecting issuance of some new taxi plates by the city. Like other North American cities non-transferable taxi plates. Please make a law of one family and cab. Not like the current situation as some guys have 10 taxies, some have 6 taxies and so on. Please take over this job grabbing system and make some fresh regulations about one person one cab. Please make some law about not buying and selling of cabs. The owners who have the plates should be driving their cabs. It should be just a job for them. The owner who don't want to drive should return the plate to the city govt.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Winnipeg has only seasonal work</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Good luck</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Drivers experience should also be countable. Working hours should be fixed. All have fixed schedule, some taxis should be off road according to day. Every driver has a day off. T4 should be available at the end of the year. Taxi expensive should be paid by the owner.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
Written Submissions – Taxicab Driver and Owner Emails

Submission 1:

1) Taxi cab plates should be issue to taxi drivers not to current owners.

2) City of Winnipeg should make some user friendly app.

3) There should be online or on call support to Taxi cab driver.

4) Taxi cab plate should issue to qualified taxi drivers.

5) There should be a security system in cab.

6) Driver can ask fair before trip start.

Submission 2:

Today we would like you explain how taxicab board is a big mafia in this city and how they used taxi drivers with alliance taxicab owners.

1. we are driving this city as a taxicab driver more then 10 years there is two division of peoples in this taxicab industry one is taxi owners and second taxi drivers.

2. By law taxicab owner can giver the taxicab to any driver in term of 50% of earning and both pay GST tax and PST tax according to earning to day or night shift. But unicity taxi and duffy taxi has a alliance to taxicab board and getting 92 dollar for 12 hours shift to one driver and CPP and EI is been 100% paid by drivers where according to tax department EI must be paid by owner and half of CPP paid by owners and half should be Paid by drivers.

3. when winter comes Manitoba taxicab board issued winter plates in favour of all taxicab owner who has already a cab he pay just 200 dollars fee of application and start more earning and they issue just 3% taxicab plates independent and that driver approved this taxicab plate he try to get dispatch company then all the taxi owner they have regular taxi cab they not allow that driver to come this company and get dispatch because they are try 100% that person not able to get business.

4. The 70 % of taxi owner is operating this business to the taxi drivers and getting fix lease. The lease in Winnipeg is the highest lease in all Canada. That's why Winnipeg taxi is one of the expensive taxies in all the Canada. Now the price is down because of Uber news 400,000 dollars to 30,000 dollars. As a driver can driver all the life but not able to buy taxicab.

5. One taxi income getting one taxicab owner to the driver see this chart below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>12 hours shift</th>
<th>12 hours</th>
<th>Weekly</th>
<th>Bi-weekly</th>
<th>Monthly</th>
<th>Yearly</th>
<th>Winter cab for 4 month</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Day driver</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>630</td>
<td>1260</td>
<td>2520</td>
<td>30,240</td>
<td>10,080</td>
<td>40,320</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Night driver</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>630</td>
<td>1260</td>
<td>2520</td>
<td>30,240</td>
<td>10,080</td>
<td>40,320</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Subtotal</td>
<td></td>
<td>60,480</td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>80,640</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
6. Driver safety and passenger safety is important 80% cars are working without winter tires that's why more risk of accident taxicab owner not providing winter tires and not fix car problems on time they just waiting for accident when the car will be right off to MPI.

7. No days off if we need to get day off we have to buy that day with our own pocket but owners want their money regardless

8. Taxi company charge us $50 dollars to get job letter without receipt

9. Fines or Tickets is been issued verbally to the taxicab company and no receipt as well in taxi company duffys and unicity.

10. Taxi drivers usually work with cab services companies. The job of a taxi driver to transport passengers from one place to another. They ensure a safe journey for them by keeping in mind appropriate driving techniques and ensuring that the vehicle that they are driving is safe. But the high lease is a problem for every driving and stress.

11. No freedom of speech (whenever anyone tries to protest against the wrong action made by companies fired from the company and taxi cab board also support them without investigation)

Submission 3:

**Suggestions or considerations:**

- Drivers should have group insurance (life and disability)
- Get back taxicab plates who own more than one and give that person who must drive himself
- Rules should be same for everyone either drivers or owners
- Car fleet should be maxed 5 years old and no write-off cars should be allowed
- Winter tires should be the part of regulation
- Health benefits
- Allow driver union in taxicab
- Central dispatch system

Submission 4:

- Auction for plates
- Lots of people will bid
- City can make money
- Public auction
- Good for everyone
- If we just issue plates, City cannot make money
In the taxi industry safety of the driver is and should be the most important feature. Besides the camera, which is important in the long run it does not provide protection when the driver needs it most. The shield can be some protection or a hindrance depending on the situation.

Safety of drivers. More police protection when a cabdriver has a problem, a driver should not have to wait for extended periods of time for the police to arrive. They act like it’s your problem, you deal with it.

Seat belts are mandatory in a taxi if the driver does not have a fare but if a driver has a seat belt on and a customer jump into the cab the driver could be in real trouble. The customer could be having a bad day and wants to take it out on the driver. With a seat belt on the driver is in real danger of physical harm and could robbed or beaten up or worst.

Most drivers work long hours which may hinder their ability to drive properly or address a problem when it occurs. The world is not always kind out there.

Regulation of wages, vacation pay, stat holidays and minimum wage should also be addressed. Shift rentals should be regulated so the driver who pays $100 plus gas for a shift and it may not be busy that day may only bring in a $160 for the shift and after paying his cost would go home with $40. Lets make it more fair for the drivers.

Drivers should be better trained before being allowed to drive a cab. Command of the English language would help. Having knowledge of the cultures that exist and what may be said and when is a real asset. Knowledge of the city and safe driving habits would be helpful in serving the public when they need a cab,

Personalization of trip would definitely keep more customers happy and the customer would stay with the driver they like rather maybe keep getting drivers they were not happy with.

All the talk about Uber coming to Winnipeg is not the answer. The easy way may be issue more plates to driver of all races creeds and color. This would bring some new blood into the industry.

Uber should not be considered to be the answer to the taxi problem in Winnipeg. They have nothing to bring to our city except for every dollar they generate they will take about 30% out of the country. It’s a Winnipeg problem which deserves a Winnipeg solution.

I hope that this will be solved by drivers, owners and all people who in the industry rather than someone who has never driven a taxi.
Submission 6:

Thank you very much to invite us (Drivers) to know our concerns and for the interaction in this focus group meeting. We hope this meeting will lead us to the betterment, efficiency and cost effective revenue for the taxi cab industry in Winnipeg. Taxi cab is a venerable profession and has been playing an important role to serve our community 24-7 and 365 days a year. This is unfortunate that this business had been abuse by taxi companies and taxi cab board by exploiting the regulations build for the betterment for the service of community. Even stagnant water get rotten over the time. There is absolutely great potential in taxi cab business where some people are still working very hard to keep the dignity and diversity for the business. We as drivers are ready and be there to serve this city by all means and with all kind of weather conditions but there are some problems drivers are facing on daily basis which leads us to an extent of disappointment. Since City of Winnipeg is taking over the Taxi Cab industry we warmly welcome you. Also there is a list of problems which we want you to keep in your kind consideration while making or upgrading new bylaw. Some problems are as follow:-

- Lake of drivers value
- Drivers have no security
- No days off (if we need to get day off we have to buy that day with our own pocket but owners wants there money regardless)
- Our company charge us $50 dollars to get job letter without receipt
- Fines or Tickets is been issued verbally and no receipt as well
- No support from Winnipeg Police (since we are on least priority call, so many times strobe light was on and cops pass by they didn’t stop to ask is everything fine or not)
- No freedom of speech( whenever anyone try to protest against wrong action made by companies fired from the company and taxi cab board also support them without investigation)
- No health benefits
- No life or disability insurance
- No job security (drivers has to arrange drivers for their vacation and still they don’t have any guarantee that they will get there job back)
- High lease( Winnipeg have high lease among all cities in Canada)


<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cities</th>
<th>Weekly lease</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Toronto</td>
<td>$450.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>London Ontario</td>
<td>$425.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calgary</td>
<td>$410.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vancouver</td>
<td>$580</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Winnipeg</td>
<td>$610-$640</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Car damage collection (when a chip stone hit the windshield or some customer break the windows or mirror without any accident drivers have to pay in full)
- No winter tires (some taxi drivers are forced to put winter tires with their own money)
- Partiality (if owner does the mistake he gets warning but driver do the same thing he get suspension and tickets)
- Out of city trips mostly given to owners but if drivers get the same fare then owners take the half of the money despite of there set lease.
• CPP and EI is been 100% paid by drivers where according to tax department EI must be paid by owner and half of CPP paid by owners and half should be Paid by drivers.

Suggestions or considerations:-

• Drivers should have group insurance (life and disability)
• One or two owner operator should allow on each taxi cab only if they want to drive
• Rules should be same for everyone either drivers or owners
• Car fleet should be max 4 year old and no write-off cars should be allowed
• Winter tires should be the part of regulation
• Health benefits
• Driver’s union should be same like Winnipeg Transit
• Central dispatch system (where city will monitor all the transitions)
• Should be so many hours allowed to drive taxi per week.

We are highly obliged to Winnipeg City where City believe in diversity without any intentions of race or colour or nationality. Thank you very much again for your invitation. We hope these points will be examined and consider to make a paradigm free bylaw. Thanks again for you gracious time.
In 2018, responsibility for regulating the Vehicles-for-Hire Industry in Winnipeg will transition from the Province of Manitoba to the City of Winnipeg. Public transportation safety (including Transit, taxicabs and ride-shares) is a primary concern to the Winnipeg Safe City (WSC) Steering Committee. WSC has been working on the issue of taxicab and transportation safety for a number of months and has prepared the following recommendations for the City of Winnipeg's consideration.

BACKGROUND

Winnipeg Safe City is a partner initiative under the UN Women Safe Cities and Safe Public Spaces Global Programme. This global initiative aims to reduce and prevent sexual violence against women and girls in public spaces (UN Women, 2015). In 2013, the City of Winnipeg and Province of Manitoba jointly signed onto the global program, becoming the first North American “Safe City”. WSC is guided by a steering committee comprised of representatives from provincial and municipal governments, health and law enforcement, and organizations committed to women’s rights, women’s health, and public safety and security. Steering committee members collaborate on initiatives or actions on an ongoing basis, work in response to identified gaps in policy and programming, raise public awareness about sexual violence and work towards fostering a culture of consent. The committee is co-chaired by the City of Winnipeg (Councillor Cindy Gilroy), and the Province of Manitoba (Beth Ulrich, Executive Director, Manitoba Status of Women Secretariat on behalf of Honourable Rochelle Squires, Minister Responsible for the Status of Women).

Each partner city of UN Women Safe Cities begins the development of their work by undertaking a comprehensive research project. The goal is to gain an extensive understanding of sexual violence on national and local levels and to develop locally-owned strategies for intervention. As such, the Manitoba Status of Women Secretariat and the City of Winnipeg committed to collaborating with local women’s organizations, community partners and the Winnipeg Police Service to complete the “Winnipeg Safe City Scoping Study” (Winnipeg Safe City Steering Committee, 2016). Publically released in 2016, this research examines the nature, extent and context(s) of sexual harassment and other forms of sexual violence against women and girls in Winnipeg’s public spaces.
One of the themes that emerged through the WSC Scoping Study was transportation safety for women. Utilizing statistical data and information from focus groups, the research clearly identified that the most common risk factor for experiencing sexual violence was being perceived as female. In addition, particular demographics of women (ie: Indigenous women, women living with disabilities and women from immigrant and newcomer communities) are more likely to experience sexual harassment and other forms of sexual violence in public spaces, including while using public transportation (ie: buses, taxicabs, Handi-Transit).

Southern Chiefs’ Organization (SCO), a Winnipeg Safe City partner, has been independently championing the issue of taxicab safety. The partnership with Southern Chiefs’ Organization offers Winnipeg Safe City Steering Committee members the opportunity to learn more about issues of taxicab passenger safety. SCO’s research demonstrates that Indigenous women and girls in Winnipeg are more susceptible to negative experiences within the taxicab industry than their non-Indigenous counterparts. (In fact, in response to the overwhelming amount of sexual violence reportedly experienced by Indigenous women in Winnipeg, Indigenous-led Ikwe Safe Rides was independently created in 2016 (Ikwe Safe Rides, 2016). This volunteer-run initiative aims to provide a safe alternative to taxicabs for female community members and children). SCO’s experience and knowledge on the issue continues to be a tremendous resource. Their findings, as well as findings from the MNP’s Winnipeg Taxicab Services Review (MNP LLP, 2016), parallels the data within the Scoping Study.

SCO received such a response from the Indigenous community, and from Indigenous women in particular, that they created the Taxicab Community Complaint Advocate position in the fall of 2016 (Southern Chiefs Organization Inc, 2017). This role was designed to raise public awareness, provide education to taxicab consumers about their rights and responsibilities and to support those who wished to bring forth complaints.

The Manitoba Status of Women Secretariat partnered with SCO to help share information about passenger safety and supports available for individuals who have had negative experiences while using taxicabs. One of the outcomes of this collaboration was the translation of Taxicab Safety Brochures into French, Arabic, Cree, Ojibwe and Tagalog (Winnipeg Safe City - Transportation Safety, 2016).

Manitoba Status of Women Secretariat staff and Councillor Gilroy met with members of the taxicab industry in late 2016 and early 2017 to discuss taxicab safety. These and other meetings between the Taxicab Board and WSC steering committee members have been positive. The Taxicab Board and industry members demonstrated a commitment to proactively addressing concerns about passenger safety, particularly women’s safety.

The Winnipeg Safe City Steering Committee has reviewed the current training curriculum and are pleased to share their analysis and recommendations with City of Winnipeg colleagues, specifically about including education on Canadian laws on sexual assault and what constitutes sexual harassment.

**RECOMMENDATIONS**

The following recommendations are submitted for the City of Winnipeg’s consideration as plans are made for bylaw development and regulation of the Vehicles-for-Hire Industry in Winnipeg:

Prior to the passing of Bill 30, *The Local Vehicles for Hire Act*, the Taxicab Board and the Manitoba Status of Women Secretariat had been planning to collaborate on activities to strengthen the industry’s response to sexual violence. Because the current training for new taxicab drivers does not include information about Canadian laws about sexual assault, and minimal information on sexual harassment and driver behaviour, we recommend the following measures:
1) Develop a Sexual Violence Policy that is survivor-centered, trauma-informed and consistently adhered to across the Vehicles-for-Hire Industry. Consistency will ensure that whether consumers choose a taxicab or an Uber that they can trust that their driver has been educated about sexual violence. To be successful, this policy must include the following elements:

A. Sexual violence – definition and rates

B. The criminal nature of sexual violence

C. The impact of sexual violence; trauma

D. Specific information about sexual assault:
   i. What constitutes sexual assault – examples
   ii. The Criminal Code definition
   iii. Legal and professional consequences

E. Information about sexual harassment:
   i. What constitutes sexual harassment – examples
   ii. Consequences

F. Gender & diversity information
   i. Understanding historical & ongoing effects of colonization
   ii. Canadian culture/norms
iii. Understanding issues related to consumers living with physical and/or cognitive disabilities

G. Driver responsibility

i. Professional duty

ii. Practical, real-life examples (ie: do not ask for number, do not comment on appearance, etc)

iii. Professional consequences (reporting mechanism, warnings, etc)

2) Education & Training

i) Provide Education/Awareness training for all stakeholders involved in regulating the Vehicles-for-Hire Industry, including new and existing drivers, licensors, regulatory board members, etc. This will likely involve reviewing and strengthening current training curriculum. We recommend that the curriculum be as accessible as possible (ie: printed materials, online modules, and in-person components) and include (but is not limited to) modules focused on:

- sexual violence (as detailed in Sexual Violence Policy described above);

For example, the latest estimates from Statistics Canada suggest less than five per cent of sexual assaults are reported to police in Canada, making sexual assault one of the most underreported crimes nationwide. When stakeholders understand that some of the underlying reasons for this include the “shame, guilt and stigma of sexual victimization” (Government of Canada, 2017), they will be better equipped to pro-actively help create a culture in which sexual violence is no longer tolerated.

- Training tools that explore underlying factors that contribute to the prevalence of sexual harassment and sexual violence are imperative (ie: racism, discrimination, colonization, poverty, and discrimination).

- Education regarding factors affecting demographics who are more likely to be considered vulnerable (ie: senior citizens, newcomers, people with disabilities, members of the LGBTQQA community and Indigenous people) is also strongly recommended.

3) Reporting

i) Accessible and easy-to-understand reporting process that is survivor-centered (United Nations, 2012) and trauma-informed (Klinic Community Health Centre, 2013)

ii) Provides several options for complainants to report (online, anonymous, formal) and makes these options widely known to the public

iii) Provides information about culturally relevant resources for survivors of sexual violence (Ka Ni Kanichihk Inc, 2017)

iv) Through the 311 system, the City of Winnipeg is responsible for data collection and will track complaints of a sexual nature, to better inform data-driven policy

4) Innovation
Explore innovative tools that enhance passenger and driver safety (ie: YWCA’s Safety Siren App, Guardly App, Winnipeg Safe City Taxicab Safety Brochures)

5) Partnerships

   i) One of the recommendations from the MNP Taxicab Services Review was to maintain a partnership with Winnipeg Safe City. We recommend that the City of Winnipeg establish a working relationship with Winnipeg Safe City Steering Committee and that WSC be considered to take an advisory role as Vehicle-for-Hire Industry regulations are developed. The Winnipeg Safe City Steering Committee welcomes opportunities to collaborate with the City of Winnipeg and share more information and resources in the future.

   ii) It is essential to consider self-reported data, such as that collected by Southern Chiefs’ Organization. By hearing directly from service providers and experts in the area (such as Klinic Community Health Centre and Ka Ni Kanichihk, Inc), the City of Winnipeg can strengthen its own community partnerships and better inform the development of the impending regulations.

6) Other Safety Measures

   i) Develop initial and annual renewal requirements (ie: license renewal, annual Criminal Record Check). Exploring the option of fingerprinting may widen the scope of the Criminal Record Check as it may include crimes committed outside of Canada.

   ii) All vehicles display identification information to ensure the safety of the passenger and driver. This information will include the following:

       in a visible location (ie: dashboard and backseat) require driver’s photo license, driver/vehicle number (ie: 445);

       visible video recording camera which indicates it is turned on and provides details (ie: “this camera only records audio” or “this camera only records for first 5 minutes of fare” or “these recordings are stored for x amount of time”);

       phone number to call/text in case of reporting;

       require and provide “Taxicab Safety Brochures” inside all vehicles

   iii) We also recommend that the information recorded during each ride be stored on a backup server for up to one year.

       iv) We recognize that the Vehicles-for-Hire Industry is male-dominated. By encouraging females to become stakeholders (ie: drivers, dispatchers, part of the regulatory body, etc), the industry will become more diverse and inclusive and provide more economic opportunity for women.
Conclusion

Winnipeg Safe City wishes to acknowledge the strengths and courage of survivors of sexual violence. We respect survivors’ choices to share or not share their experiences informally or formally.

WSC is grateful to the survivors who shared their stories with the public, resulting in sexual violence in the Vehicles-for-Hire Industry garnering considerable media attention in recent years (Vanraes, 2016; Hendricks, 2016; Martin, 2015; Prest, 2015; Turner, 2014; CBC News, 2010). For example, reports range from a woman who alleges she was drugged by a cab driver and sexually assaulted (CBC News, 2016), a taxicab driver who was arrested for sexually assaulting a teenage girl (Martin, 2015), a taxicab driver pleading guilty to sexual assault (Kubinec, 2016) and a female dispatcher who claims to have been assaulted by a driver but asked to 'keep quiet' by her own employer, a taxicab company (Nicholson, 2016). Elsewhere, media has reported very concerning customer experiences with Uber (The Canadian Press, 2017; CBC News, 2017; Rizza, 2017). These include reports of an Uber driver being charged with sexual assault of a young female passenger (CBC News, 2017), an Uber driver being charged with abducting and sexually assaulting a female passenger (Miller, 2017), and a lawsuit filed against Uber by two separate claimants alleging sexual assaults (Bhuiyan, 2017). Uber has also come under fire from the police due to its own failure to report sexual assault allegations to the authorities (Press Association, 2017). A simple Google search reveals a much darker picture of the experiences of female passengers using both taxicab and Uber services. Given how underreported sexual violence is, it is logical to conclude that these media reports provide only a snapshot of the actual incidence of sexual violence in the Vehicles-for-Hire Industry.

While Winnipeg Safe City recognizes the important differences and interests of stakeholders involved with taxicabs and ride-hailing vehicles such as Uber, driver/passenger safety and accountability should be consistently adhered across both these platforms, regardless of the type of service customers wish to access. We strongly encourage the City of Winnipeg consider these recommendations for all vehicles regulated in the Vehicles-for-Hire Industry. Although our primary focus is on passenger safety (and women’s safety in particular) we support measures that ensure driver safety as well. When both driver and passenger safety are achieved, this creates a safe and enjoyable experience for everyone.

We are optimistic that the framework provided above is the beginning of an informative and collaborative partnership. Winnipeg Safe City supports the City of Winnipeg as it embarks on this unique opportunity to be pro-active, to advance the Vehicles-for-Hire Industry and emerge as a national leader in addressing, reducing and preventing sexual violence.
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Appendix E Working Group Invitation and Agenda
Good afternoon,

Thank you for your participation in the City of Winnipeg’s vehicles for hire stakeholder engagement process. In September and October 2017, we heard from over 270 stakeholders through seven focus group sessions and thirteen stakeholder meetings. As a follow up to those engagement activities, a stakeholder working group session is planned to gather further feedback. A representative from the *insert group name* is invited to participate in a working group session to further engage stakeholders in the Vehicles-for-Hire By-law development process.

The working group meeting details are as follows:
Date: Tuesday, November 14, 2017
Time: 12:30 p.m. - 3 p.m.
Location: 2nd Floor, Susan A. Thompson Building, 510 Main Street, Winnipeg

The following representatives are being invited to participate in the working group:

1. Winnipeg Community Taxi Coalition
2. Taxicab driver representative
3. Taxicab owner representative
4. Limousine owner representative
5. Accessibility group representative
6. Equitability group representative
7. Related industry group representative
8. Transportation Network Company representative
9. Indigenous partners representative
10. Environmental group representative

The attached agenda provides an overview of the content of the meeting. Please indicate if you are interested in participating in the working group meeting before November 9, 2017. If you prefer not to attend or would like to recommend another representative to attend, please respond to this email to let us know before November 9, 2017. We ask that only one representative from each of the groups listed above attends the meeting to ensure all groups can be heard throughout the working group discussion.

We look forward to hearing from you. Please do not hesitate to call or email if you have any questions.
Vehicles for Hire – Working Group

Tuesday, November 14, 2017
12:30 p.m. – 3 p.m.
2nd Floor, Susan A. Thompson Building, 510 Main Street, Winnipeg

Purpose

The purpose of the vehicles for hire working group session is to hold a group discussion with stakeholders with different perspectives and backgrounds for the development of the Vehicles-for-Hire By-law.

Conduct

- Participants are to respect the rights and opinions of other participants.
- All participants will be given the opportunity to speak and provide input.

Agenda

1. Welcome and Introductions
2. Background on Vehicles-for-Hire By-law development
3. Priorities and Values
4. What we heard and follow-up questions
5. Final thoughts and next steps
6. Close
## What we heard and follow-up questions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>By-law Pillar</th>
<th>What We Heard*</th>
<th>Follow-up Question</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Driver safety      | • We heard that safety for both drivers and passengers should be the top priority in by-law development.  
                     • We heard that the current complaints system is difficult to navigate.  
                     • We also heard that some taxicab drivers have experienced false accusations. | How can we work together to ensure complaints are dealt with in a fair manner that keeps customers and drivers safe? |
| Passenger safety   | • We heard that unsafe taxicab driving is a concern for passengers.  
                     • We heard that a minimum driver safety rating could be considered of all vehicles for hire drivers.  
                     • We heard that taxicab drivers are driving more often than the average driver so they are more likely to have infractions and demerits. | How can we ensure passengers are safe and drivers are held to a reasonable driver safety standard? |
| Consumer Protection| • We heard that taxicab owners are concerned for the value of their business license. | How can we ensure investments in taxicab businesses can be built and maintained over time? |
| Consumer Protection| • We heard that fairness should be considered when developing a by-law that introduced transportation network companies (TNCs) like Uber.  
                     • We heard concerns over the viability of the taxi business if TNCs flood the market.  
                     • We heard that Uber drivers are driving an average of 5-10 hours per week for an average of six months. | How can we recognize the value of taxicabs as an important and unique service while introducing fair restrictions on TNCs that recognizes the occasional nature of those drivers? |
| Consumer Protection| • We heard that the impacts of introducing TNCs may not be visible at first, but that the negative consequences (such as impacts on communities and business owners) would be seen after several years.  
                     • We heard that because TNCs are not required to accept trips, certain segments of the population may be neglected. | How can we ensure the industry is monitored and the regulatory system adjusted to ensure all populations receive the vehicles for hire services they require? |
| Accessibility      | • We heard that not all who require accessible service are receiving the taxicab services in a reasonable timeframe.  
                     • We heard that 100% wheelchair roll-on accessible vehicles should be the ultimate long-term goal for all vehicles for hire.  
                     • We also heard that accessible vehicles are expensive to purchase or upgrade.  
                     • We heard that accessible taxicab service takes longer to provide and those who provide accessible services cannot charge a higher fare (other than handi-cab vans). | How can we ensure those who require accessible vehicles for hire services have their needs met while considering the increased costs associated with accessible vehicle for hire services? |

*What we heard is not a comprehensive list. The forthcoming stakeholder engagement report will include a full account of what was heard and what is being considered in the development of the Vehicles for Hire By-law.*
Appendix F Working Group Meeting Notes
Vehicles for Hire – Stakeholder Working Group

Location: Susan A. Thompson Building  
2nd Floor, 510 Main Street.  
Date: Tuesday, November 14, 2017  
Time: 12:30 p.m. – 3 p.m.

Attendees (City of Winnipeg):
Brett Andronak  
Michael LeGary  
Morgan Vespa  
Dave Wardrop

Attendees (Stakeholders):
Aboriginal Council of Winnipeg  
Access Advisory Committee  
Green Action Centre  
Taxicab Driver  
Taxicab Owner  
Tourism Winnipeg  
Uber Canada  
Winnipeg Community Taxi Coalition  
Winnipeg Safe City Initiative

Meeting Notes

What are your priorities for the working group meeting?

- Taxicab Owner representative  
  o Winnipeg needs more taxicab companies, especially companies that offer accessible services.  
  o Currently, there is a monopoly in the taxicab industry, with only three or four major players in Winnipeg.  
  o Wait times for regular vehicles can be up to 45 minutes. The wait time for an accessible vehicle is higher.  
  o Restraints and other equipment are frequently out of service and there is a lack of driver training on how to use the equipment properly.

- Green Action Centre  
  o Concerns with more vehicular traffic and the impacts to sustainability.  
  o Concerns with impacts on access to transportation from Transportation Network Companies (TNCs).  
  o Concerns with the impacts of TNC drivers being able to select what neighbourhoods they serve.

- Taxicab Driver representative  
  o Safety and security is a top priority.
Shields are needed across the entire driver and front passenger seats (currently only shielding the driver’s side).

- Drivers are not getting assistance from the police when there is an incident.
- There are language barriers but drivers take English classes.
- There are safety concerns with Uber.

**Winnipeg Safe City Initiative**

- Reduction and prevention of sexual violence against women and girls in public spaces is a top priority.
- Both drivers and passengers need to be protected.
- Certain demographics experience higher rates of sexual violence in taxicabs.
- Education and training is necessary so women can feel safe while taking a vehicle-for-hire and so drivers know their responsibilities.
  - Winnipeg Safe City Initiative would like to work with City to develop that education and training.
- Recognition of the impacts of colonization on sexual violence in taxicabs.
- There is a need for a clear complaint reporting system for all vehicles-for-hire.

**Access Advisory Committee**

- The end goal should be that all vehicles-for-hire vehicles are accessible to all Winnipeggers, similar to Winnipeg Transit busses.
- Training is occurring but enforcement of training is an important issue.
- A lot of necessary training is already in place but drivers are not being held accountable for not following the training.
- Restraints and specialized equipment must be in good working order.
- There is no proper complaint reporting system in place with proper follow-up and tracking.

**Aboriginal Council of Winnipeg**

- Training, accessibility and safety in terms of the driver and the passengers are top priority.
- Drivers take risks when entering certain neighbourhoods.
- Both drivers and passengers can be vulnerable, especially Indigenous women.
- There must be education for the public and drivers on rights and responsibilities in vehicles-for-hire.

**Tourism Winnipeg**

- Would like visitors to have the best possible experience while in Winnipeg.
- Vehicles-for-hire drivers are often visitors’ first encounter with a local when visiting.
- Would like to promote Winnipeg as a safe, accessible and modern City.
- Tourism Winnipeg wishes to work with vehicles-for-hire companies to make visitors feel welcome and give a good impression of the City.

**Uber Canada**

- Share information about TNCs.
- Uber is keen to get to know Winnipeg and work with the City of Winnipeg.
Uber addresses safety concerns through accountability.
  - App tracking allows for accountability.
  - Safety incident teams and law enforcement liaisons also improve accountability.

Complaints reporting is easy and clear through the Uber app.

Safety features including the share your trip function, live GPS routing, driver star rating and real time written feedback.
  - If a driver’s star rating starts to slip, Uber will provide optional remedial training and may proceed to mandatory training if warranted.

Uber has an interest in sustainability, such as with Uber Pool (over 20% in Toronto are carpool trip)
  - App will match people in similar areas
    Participant correction: riders will be matched with people going the similar direction at the same time which puts multiple riders in one vehicle.
  - Reduces Uber’s footprint and increases sustainability.

Uber wants to work with cities to promote tourism opportunities.

How can we work together to ensure complaints are dealt with in a fair manner that keeps customers and drivers safe?

- There are privacy concerns with audio recording in taxicabs, for example a confidential business conversation may be recorded.
  - There is potential for legal concerns, as the inside of vehicles-for-hire may not be considered a public space.
- The City should educate the public on the rights of the passengers and drivers.
- Taxicabs have been moving towards higher definition cameras with audio recording capability - there should be high definition and voice recording cameras in every taxicab.
- No by-laws in Canada require shields and cameras in TNCs because TNCs provide a service more similar to limousines.
  - Rides are pre-arranged and cashless.
  - Drivers and passengers are known and their information is stored in the app for investigative purposes.
- If the City creates a single office for vehicles-for-hire complaints, would Uber be held to the same standard?
  - Customers might feel more comfortable contacting the City rather than Uber.
  - Vehicle-for-hire Companies might be too insular and complaint filing should be managed by a separate and independent body within the City.
- The Unicity and Duffy’s apps now provide driver information for complaints reporting.
- Tourism Winnipeg has received taxicab complaints from visitors.
  - Suggestion: it would be useful if the City could offer reverse training for Tourism Winnipeg on the complaints reporting process so they can help point visitors in the right direction when they have a complaint.
• KPMG conducted a study in Toronto on vehicles-for-hire that found that TNCs excel in customer service.
  
  Participant correction: KPMG conducted a study in Ottawa, not Toronto.
  
  o The TNC driver star rating encourages good customer service.
  o Tourism information can be added to the app and has been in other jurisdictions.

How can we ensure passengers are safe and drivers are held to a reasonable driver safety standard?

• There is no maximum time that vehicle-for-hire drivers can be on the road.
  
  o Many drivers are on the road for 12 hours per day, 7 days per week.
  o Handi-transit drivers are on the road for 16 hours per day (day and night shift).
  o Driving for more than 6 hours straight is dangerous.
  o Some drivers also work other jobs, like at Tim Hortons, which can contribute to fatigue.
  o Unfortunately drivers need to work long hours just to make a living.

• Health and well-being should be a component in training.

• It would be very challenging to enforce maximum shift lengths.
  
  o The City could look at semi-truck driver model.
  o There should be mandatory breaks for taxicab drivers.

• Suggestion: A rating system for taxicab drivers, similar to TNCs may be useful to improve customer service.

• What measures have been taken by the taxicab industry to ensure the safety of women and vulnerable people?
  
  o The video cameras in taxicabs are now high definition.
  o Police review the cameras when there is an incident.
  o Camera data is stored for 30 days.
    ▪ Camera data should be stored for longer.
    ▪ Sometimes it takes a long time for a victim to report an incident.
  o There is zero tolerance for any allegation of impropriety.
    ▪ Drivers are immediately suspended without pay.

• The current training for Handi-Transit is not adequate and the driver’s knowledge varies greatly based on contractor.
  
  o The training focuses on how to get around the city quickly, with only a couple hours on how to use restraints and other equipment.

• Uber drivers must take remedial training if their driver rating drops too low.
  
  o Remedial training is available online and helps drivers brush up on their skills.
  o Uber will monitor drivers after remedial training.
  o Telematics can identify drivers that are holding the phone while driving, speeding and swerving.

How can we ensure investments in taxicab businesses can be built and maintained over time?

• Taxicab licences were created by a legislative act.
- The taxicab industry is looking for recognition of the licensing categories e.g. limousine, handivan etc.
  - Maintaining those categories is important.
- Lease rates for taxicabs decrease after the introduction of TNCs in other cities.
  - The competition for drivers has given them leverage in lease rates, holidays and other employment standards.
- Winnipeg is not like other cities.
  - Poverty rates among the Indigenous population are high in Winnipeg.
  - People living in poverty may not have cell phones or online payment options required by TNCs.
  - TNCs will discriminate against the low-income segment of the population.
  - However TNCs charge less for ride services than taxicabs.
- TNCs take the premium ride market that subsidizes the shorter rides.
- Tourism Winnipeg has offered to provide taxicab drivers with training and tour drivers around Winnipeg to major destination and attractions.
  - Tourism Winnipeg has reached out to Duffy’s and Unicity but has not received a response.
  - Tourism Winnipeg would like taxicab drivers to be ambassadors to the City and offer suggestions to visitors.

How do we recognize the value of taxicabs as an important and unique service while introducing fair restrictions on TNCs that recognizes the occasional nature of those drivers?

- TNCs should not be allowed to refuse rides to passengers.
- TNCs must service all neighbourhoods in Winnipeg.
- In other cities, TNC drivers pick-up and drop-off passengers in bike lanes and in front of fire hydrants.
  - TNC vehicles are now parked in cycling lanes since their introduction.
  - It is difficult to prove the cars belong to TNCs because they are typically unmarked.

How can we ensure the industry is monitored and the regulatory system adjusted to ensure all populations receive the vehicles-for-hire services they require?

- A TNC driver can deny a passenger a ride just based on their cellphone picture and this is problematic.
  
  Participant correction: This is not true. A TNC driver does not receive a photo of a rider when a trip request is made. They only receive the rider’s first name.
- Taxicab drivers will refuse rides booked on the app if the trip is too short. This is discrimination based on the passenger’s location.
- With TNCs relying solely on technology, they are not providing services to certain segments of the population.
  - That is true but no single service can cater to everyone’s needs.
  - TNCs are a more affordable option in other cities for lower-income individuals.
How can we ensure those who require accessible vehicles for hire services have their needs met while considering the increased costs associated with accessible vehicle for hire services?

- The City could provide tax incentives for operators to run accessible vehicles-for-hire.
- The Accessibility for Manitobans Act will legislate how this accessibility in transportation will be implemented.
- New York State mandates a certain ratio of accessible vehicles-for-hire.
- Moving towards a 100% accessible vehicles-for-hire fleet must implemented overtime because it is very expensive to overhaul vehicles, especially if it is done all at once.
- Accessibility needs to be planned for and the City needs to set long term goals, similar to Winnipeg Transit.
- There is an opportunity for Winnipeg to be a leader in vehicles-for-hire accessibility.
- Does Uber have accessible vehicles in their fleet?
  - The Uber app is brail compliant and also has basic sign language.
  - The deaf and hard of hearing can become Uber drivers
    - This has been a life line for some deaf or hard of hearing people who can face employment challenges.
  - Uber is currently piloting ‘Uber Assist’, which is a door-to-door service for people with mobility issue instead of the traditional curb-to-curb service.
  - Uber is currently piloting ‘Uber Wave’, which waves fees for accessible rides.
    Participant correction: It should read "UberWAV" as in Wheel-chair Accessible Vehicle. UberWAV is priced at the same price as UberX.
  - Some cities have a model where a 7 cent per trip levy goes to the City to support accessible transportation.
    - The cities are responsible for spending the levy in the most effective way to improve accessible transportation.
    - Ottawa and Waterloo uses this model.
  - Drivers must take service animals.
- If TNCs push out Winnipeg’s existing taxicab companies, how will people who require accessible cabs receive service?
  - TNCs have less accessible vehicles than existing taxicab companies and may not be able to provide that service.

Participant additions:

The Winnipeg Community Taxi Coalition requested the following information be added to the meeting minutes:

- There are a number of statements by Uber that are factually incorrect including statements on accessibility and safety.
- We have no indication from the City of Winnipeg as to how they are going to proceed with the bylaws. Further, there has been little if any information about the City’s intentions including timelines, budget and research for the Vehicle-for-Hire bylaws.
• Members of the working group from the taxi industry were randomly selected. This is counterintuitive as one would expect that the City of Winnipeg would want to hear from empowered individuals from the industry most impacted by the Vehicle-for-Hire bylaws. In this case, the Winnipeg Community Taxi Coalition is the primary conduit for the City of Winnipeg with Duffy’s and Unicity (95% of taxis in Winnipeg are Duffy’s and Unicity), not a random driver.

• Our three primary recommendations are:
  1. To study this carefully and not rely on anecdotal opinions. More research is needed and rushing the Vehicle-for-Hire bylaws through would be irresponsible.
  2. The licensing structure for taxicabs should remain in place on an interim basis while the city takes the time to get this right.
  3. More robust consultations are needed including extensive public consultations, as well as direct dialogue with the stakeholders directly impacted by Bylaw changes.

Next steps – notes will be distributed following this meeting.

Close
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Appendix 1: Uber Submission Additional Material
Additional material provided below covers the following subjects which were discussed at the stakeholder working group:

- Safety and Accountability
- Audio Recording
- Uber's Community Guidelines
- Ottawa's Initial Bylaw Regulatory Review re Customer Experience
- Discrimination
- Decal
- How Uber contributes to accessibility where it does not offer accessible service
- Uber and Service Animal Policy
- Uber and Bicycle Safety

Safety and Accountability
In a world where five million trips occur on Uber every day, our approach to safety doesn’t just focus on prevention -- but also on accountability:

- In order to hold perpetrators accountable for their behavior, it is critical that incidents are reported and properly investigated. However, in cases of incidents occurring in analog services like taxi or public transit, it can be difficult for victims to report incidents.

- This why even organizations like the RCMP are exploring ways to expand third-party reporting because incidents of assaults are vastly under reported.

- Technology can help to alleviate this burden for victims, help law enforcement to investigate reports of crime, and hold people accountable for their behavior. We believe that Uber’s technology is one model for improving accountability:

  - With Uber, all information about a trip, including route taken, driver's name, photo, vehicle make and model and licence plate, is stored historically in the rider's Uber app for easy retrieval at any time by a rider reporting an incident to Uber, 3-11, a City official, or the police.

  - With Uber, all trips must be arranged via the app -- so there is no anonymity for the people in the car together.

  - GPS tracking for every trip on the Uber platform means that there is a record for the exact time and location of trips -- providing accurate, objective information that can be crucial to law enforcement investigations.

  - Uber’s "Share your Trip" function allows riders to share details of their trip (including driver & vehicle information and real-time GPS routing) with loved ones or friends at a tap of button while on a trip. Watch video: https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=dbc-r5FL6o

  - By asking riders and drivers for feedback on every trip, Uber encourages and easily facilitates incident reporting via the app.
• Uber has specially trained customer service agents to deal with serious reports. They've learned how to interview victims without being judgmental, and how to refer them to police and a crisis hotline.

• Uber has an in-house team of former law enforcement officials, who can work directly with local police on investigations. Uber has an online law enforcement portal to help facilitate collaboration with local police: www.lert.uber.com Watch the video: https://youtu.be/ct1vN2KN2II

Audio Recording
Uber riders often conduct personal and private telephone conversations on their phones or with fellow passengers and have strong privacy interests in those conversations. The Ottawa City Solicitor recognizes the privacy interests at stake with respect to audio recording conversation inside taxi or ridesharing vehicles (see attached report)

No city in the world in which Uber operates requires audio recording in ridesharing vehicles.

Uber's Community Guidelines
Attached to this email are Uber's Canadian Community Guidelines for drivers and riders issued on April 6, 2017. These ground rules are designed to ensure that Uber riders and drivers have a five star ride when using Uber. The Community Guidelines speak to a number of items including: respect, safety, why Uber riders and drivers can lose access to the Uber platform, compliance with the law, etc.

You can read more by seeing the attached PDF or by viewing the following links:

Uber Blog Post Announcement: https://newsroom.uber.com/canada/community-mutual-respect/

Ottawa’s Initial Bylaw Regulatory Review re Customer Experience
Core Strategies, as part of the KPMG initial bylaw regulatory review in Ottawa found (see below and attached):

"The research found resoundingly higher customer service and customer experience ratings for Uber over Taxi. Leading the way are impressions about the lower cost of using Uber as well as significant advantages of the Uber App as compared to Taxi Apps."

Discrimination
Uber works to prevent "directional discrimination" through the Uber app itself - Uber drivers don't know where a passenger is going until they are already in the Uber vehicle (they also do not have a photo of the rider in app). If you choose to enter your destination before you enter an Uber vehicle, the destination is not known by the driver until they swipe the app to "begin trip" once you're in the Uber vehicle. Drivers are not to begin trip until you're in the car or once you've told them in car where you are going. If an Uber driver denied you a short trip (i.e. once viewing the trip destination on the app), you would have an ability to report this via the star ranking system and written feedback option or via email with Uber who monitors these issues 24 hours a day.

Decal
In a large majority of markets in which ridesharing/Uber is regulated in the U.S., decals or vehicle identification is not required. It is often not required due to the fact that an Uber passenger already receives the picture of the driver, name of the driver, make and model of the vehicle and driver plate licence number when making a request for a ride on the Uber platform (this information is also stored in the user’s App for historical reference).

Making a decal or identifier mandatory on Uber vehicles presents the possibility that drivers not affiliated with Uber (in addition to be subject to the background check and vehicle inspection requirements of the Uber platform) may try to use a decal to street hail passengers similar to ways in which “bandit” cabs currently street hail passengers. Likewise, a decal could increase the likelihood that riders try and street hail (like a taxi) an identifiable Uber vehicle rather than secure a trip through the app itself.

With respect to street enforcement by bylaw officers, enforcement officers can use the Uber app to order Uber rides for compliance purposes. Uber Driver partners would not know who is ordering a ride when they receive a request.

Where cities in Canada have required a vehicle decal (i.e. Toronto and Mississauga), they have opted to harmonize the decal so as to be consistent across the Province.

**How Uber contributes to accessibility where it does not offer accessible service**

Uber drivers are independent contractors who driver their own personal/family vehicle. A majority of Uber drivers across Ontario drive 5-10 hours a week for periods of less than a year to supplement income from employment. Requiring an Uber driver to purchase a wheelchair accessible vehicle or retrofit an existing family vehicle at cost to them of >$50,000 is, as a result, not viable.

However, Uber is committed to accessibility in a # of important ways such as:

**Fees in lieu of Accessible Service**

- In Ottawa and Waterloo Region for example, Uber pays to the City and Region a voluntary $0.07 per trip in lieu of accessible service. The fees collected can be directed towards local accessible priorities in consultation with accessible advisory committees.
- In Ottawa in 2017, the City will collect an estimated $450,000 for accessibility from Uber alone. (If the City/Region licences another Transportation Network Company like Uber, these voluntary fees collected for accessibility on a per trip basis would presumably increase further).

**Blind and Low-Vision**

- With VoiceOver iOS and wireless braille display compatibility, the Uber app provides a safe and reliable transportation option for the blind and visually impaired community.

**Deaf & Hard-of-hearing**

- Uber designed product innovations to further economic opportunity for our deaf and hard of hearing driver partners. Visible and vibrating alerts aid deaf and hard of hearing riders, and in-app features such as the ability to enter destination ensure effective communication from the rider to the driver partner.
Uber and Service Animal Policy
To reduce and hopefully eliminate instances of denial of service to a rider with a service animal and to ensure Uber driver-partners are fully aware of their obligations and consequences for failing to provide service to an individual with a service animal, this week Uber launched a new Service Animal policy. See here for the policy: https://www.uber.com/en-CA/legal/policies/service-animal-policy/en-ca/

It is both against Canadian laws and Uber's Non-Discrimination policy to deny an individual a ride in an Uber because they are traveling with a service animal. Under this new policy, a confirmed denial of service will lead to an Uber driver-partner losing service to Uber's platform.

In conjunction with releasing the policy we will be adding a blocking feature to our app which will require Uber drivers to click to confirm acknowledgement and understanding of this animal service policy. Going forward, all Uber drivers will receive the in-app prompt in their first few days on the Uber platform. Further, we'll be releasing ongoing education via email each quarter.

Uber and Bicycle Safety
This past summer Uber announced a partnership with Share the Road to educate Toronto Uber driver partners and riders on bicycle safety.

Our partnership with Share the Road means that:

- Uber Driver partners and Uber riders in Toronto receive vital information to help prevent collisions, like remembering to keep bike lanes clear and watching for bicyclists when they open car doors into traffic.

- New information on cycle safety is featured in the Uber driver and rider apps. See examples below.

- For new Uber driver partners, Uber is airing Share the Road safety videos in Toronto's Greenlight Hubs and will be including bicycle safety information in materials for Uber driver partners.

Uber is also actively examining the potential to use technology to improve bicycle safety by:

- having the Uber app direct you to cross the street to get picked up by your Uber driver so as to avoid pickups in bike lanes on thoroughfares like Sherbourne, Richmond and Adelaide.
- turning certain locations into “venues” on the Uber app which would move rider pick-ups on the Uber app away from bike lanes to alternative pick-up areas.
Understanding bike lanes

Ending your ride near a bike lane? Ask your driver to look for open curb space or offer to be dropped off on an adjacent street.

No matter what time of year it is, Toronto’s always on the move! Together, we can help keep the roads safe.

Learn more about our partnership with Share The Road.

Uber Driver App Screenshot

ANNOUNCEMENT

Help make our roads safer

We’ve partnered with Share The Road to bring you important information to help keep the streets safe for you and people on bikes. Here’s one way you can help make our streets safer:

Check over your shoulder, and always check your blind spot. A bicyclist could be there.

MORE WAYS YOU CAN HELP

Further Details

In partnership with Share The Road, Uber is sending riders and driver-partners information to help prevent collisions on our streets:

For drivers
• Respect bicyclists as legal road users with the same rights and responsibilities as motorists. Drive courteously and with tolerance. That cyclist is your neighbor and you are sharing the same road.
• Check over your shoulder, and always check your blind spot. A bicyclist could be there.
• Maintain a safe distance between your vehicle and the bicycle in front of you.
• Yield to pedestrians and bicyclists in and entering crosswalks.
• If you plan to turn right or pull into a parking space shortly ahead of a bicycle in front of you, do not pass.
• Pass bicyclists only if it is safe to do so. Exercise patience.

For riders

• When you’re requesting a pickup on a street with a bike lane, give your driver enough curb space to pull over. Not only is it illegal for vehicles to stop in the bike lane, you’ll also help keep people on bikes safe.
• Be aware of your surroundings. When your driver arrives, make sure the coast is clear before you open the door.
• When you’re getting dropped off on a street with a bike lane, ask your driver to look for open curb space or offer to be dropped off on an adjacent side street.
• Look back before exiting your vehicle. Exit on the side closer to the curb to avoid colliding with people on bikes.

"Cycling can be a fun, convenient and affordable way to commute and exercise, but we know that Ontarians are concerned about safety," says Jamie Stuckless, Executive Director of Share the Road. "This partnership is an opportunity for us to get important road safety information into the hands of thousands of driver partners at Uber and ensure that people get the space and respect they deserve while out on their bikes."

For more information: https://www.uber.com/en-CA/blog/ontario/making-ontario-streets-safe-for-cyclists/
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SUBJECT: Privacy Considerations of Audio Recordings in Private Transportation Company (PTC) Vehicles

OBJET : Questions de protection de la vie privée entourant les enregistrements audio dans les véhicules des exploitants de transport privé

PURPOSE

The purpose of this Memorandum is to respond to the following Direction to Staff provided by the Community and Protective Services Committee on April 7th and 8th 2016 during its consideration of the Report “Regulating Vehicles for Hire in the City of Ottawa – Taxis, Limousines and Private Transportation Companies”: 
That Legal Staff examine the privacy concerns of installing audio recording technology in Private Transportation Company (PTC) vehicles such as Uber and report back to Committee six months following enactment of the new By-law.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The imposition of a requirement for PTC vehicles to have audio recording technology will trigger protection of privacy obligations under the Municipal Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act (“MFIPPA”) for the City of Ottawa in its role as regulator. Audio recordings present a high degree of risk to privacy because they capture the personal information of law abiding individuals as they go about their everyday activities. It is therefore recommended that Council, prior to adopting such a by-law if it wishes to do so, require that there be further consideration and consultation to determine whether use of audio technology in PTC vehicles is justifiable for safety or other important municipal purposes. If the City adopts a by-law, an access and privacy policy would need to be established to meet specific MFIPPA requirements such as ensuring that all persons entering the vehicle are provided with notice that their personal information is being recorded and ensuring the proper management of any personal information collected by an audio recording system.

BACKGROUND

Council approved the report “Regulating Vehicles for Hire in the City of Ottawa” on April 13th, 2016, with the new Vehicle-for-Hire By-law that resulted from that approval having taken effect on September 30th, 2016. Since that time one (1) PTC – Uber Canada – became licensed under the by-law. The City does not impose a requirement for PTC vehicles to have audio recording or security camera technology.

DISCUSSION

The Office of the City Clerk and Solicitor (Legal Services Branch) has reviewed this issue with input of the By-law & Regulatory Services Branch. The imposition of a requirement for PTC vehicles to have audio recording technology will trigger protection of privacy obligations under MFIPPA for the City of Ottawa in its role as regulator. Information recorded by audio recording in a PTC vehicle would be considered personal information under MFIPPA whenever either the passenger(s) or the driver is identifiable because of the audio itself, or where other available information used in combination with the audio recording identifies the individual(s), such as a video recording or photos from a security camera, if applicable.
As was the case with security cameras in licensed taxicabs, it is recommended that Council, prior to adopting such a by-law if it wishes to do so, require that there be further consideration and consultation to determine whether use of audio technology in PTC vehicles is justifiable for safety or other important municipal purposes and how audio recording technology would be used in PTC vehicles. Also, if the City adopts a by-law, an access and privacy policy would need to be established to meet specific MFIPPA requirements such as ensuring that all persons entering the vehicle are provided with notice that their personal information is being recorded and ensuring the proper management of any personal information collected by an audio recording system. These recommendations and requirements are summarized in further detail below.

**Consideration of and Consultation on the Use of Audio Technology:**

Pursuant to s.10(2), paragraphs 6, 8 and 11, of the *Municipal Act, 2001*, the City may pass by-laws for the health, safety and well-being of persons, the protection of persons and of property including consumer protection, and for business licensing. As a result, the City has legal authority to require by by-law that PTC vehicles of third parties that it regulates have in place surveillance systems for the purposes of investigating breaches of the law including City by-laws and for the protection of the public and drivers.

Although the City may have legal authority to enact a by-law, audio recordings present a high degree of risk to privacy because they capture the personal information of law abiding individuals as they go about their everyday activities. Consistent with the 2015 Guidelines for the Use of Video Surveillance that has been published by the Information and Privacy Commissioner of Ontario (IPC), it is recommended that audio recording should not be used “unless it is directly related to the problem to be addressed....” It is therefore recommended that the City, as regulator and as an MFIPPA institution, ensure that enactment of such a by-law be preceded by further consideration and consultation with stakeholders including the Ottawa Police Service (OPS), PTCs and their drivers, and the public to determine whether the use of audio recording in PTC vehicles is desirable and justifiable for public safety or other important municipal purposes, and that these considerations be balanced against the right of privacy of individuals.

Consultations would also allow the City to consider specific privacy related obstacles. For example, ensuring that the audio technology is only functional when the vehicle is used to transport passengers who are customers may pose a challenge given that PTC vehicles are typically used for personal use in addition to transporting customers, sometimes more the former than the latter.
**Specific Requirements of MFIPPA:**

If the City requires by by-law that PTC vehicles have audio recorders in place when providing passenger transportation services, the City, as regulator and as an MFIPPA institution, must ensure that the audio recording system meets the collection, use and disclosure of personal information requirements of MFIPPA. Any access and privacy policy for an audio recording system would therefore need to take the following rules into account:

*Providing Notice:* Section 29 of MFIPPA requires that prior notice of the recording be provided to passengers and drivers of the PTC vehicles whose information may be recorded. As is the case with taxicabs, notice may be provided by means of decals on the outside of the vehicle, in addition to notice on the City's website. The content of the notice is prescribed by subsection 29(2) of MFIPPA, and must include the purposes of collecting the information, in turn which creates the parameters for the uses that will be made of the information and assists in determining which individuals will have access to the recorded information.

*Uses of Audio Recordings:* In the case of PTC vehicles, it will be necessary to determine what uses will be made of the recordings, and therefore who will have access to the information. Under MFIPPA, uses made of the information must be identical to or consistent with the purposes for which the information was collected in the first place. For example, in the case of taxicabs, information from the cameras may be disclosed by police to the City's Chief License Inspector on a case-by-case basis where such is required in compelling circumstances affecting the health and safety of a taxicab driver or of the public.

*Disclosure of Audio Recordings:* In accordance with Section 32 of MFIPPA, personal information can only be disclosed either with the consent of the individual in question or in circumstances where the legislation specifically authorizes disclosure without consent. For example, under MFIPPA, personal information recorded from an audio recording system in PTC vehicles could be disclosed without consent: to a law enforcement agency such as the OPS to aid in an investigation; among law enforcement agencies in certain circumstances; in compelling circumstances affecting the health and safety of an individual; or, where a statute or legislative requirements compel disclosure of the information.
Retention and Disposal: It will be necessary to ensure that any audio recording system that is required for PTC vehicles provides that any personal information that is not retrieved from the system is routinely and securely erased from the system. Ideally this secure routine disposal should be built into the system parameters. The retention period for the information collected by the system prior to disposal will need to be determined and should be the amount of time that is reasonably necessary for an incident to be discovered and reported, and the information retrieved by authorized individuals.

Security: As is the case with taxicab security cameras, any requirement for an audio recording system in PTC vehicles should address security of the information that is being recorded. The security features of such as system will need to be reviewed and determined. For example, system features will need to be considered to ensure they are tamper proof and protect the information from inadvertent damages or modification.

Development of Access and Privacy Policy:

Finally, as noted above, it is recommended that an access and privacy policy be established should Council wish to amend the Vehicle-for-Hire By-law to require an audio recording system for PTC vehicles. In line with the IPC’s Guidelines noted above, such a policy should be informed by prior consultation, and set out in what circumstances personal information that has been collected by the system will be collected, used and disclosed, and should limit access to the information to only those individuals who require it. System checks and balances should be put into place to ensure compliance.

Once established, the policy should be regularly reviewed and amended in keeping with regulatory/by-law changes. Staff or third parties such as law enforcement officials having access to or regulating the use of the recording system should be regularly trained on the Policy’s requirements.

CONCLUSION

Staff will to continue collect, use and disclose information in accordance with existing provisions of the Vehicle-for-Hire By-law and monitor any developments in respect of the use of surveillance systems in vehicles for hire.
OBJECTIF

La présente note de service a pour but de répondre à la directive au personnel ci-dessous donnée par le Comité des services communautaires et de protection les 7 et 8 avril 2016, durant son étude du rapport Réglementation des véhicules de location à la Ville d’Ottawa – Taxis, limousines et exploitants de transport privé :

Que le personnel de la Direction des services juridiques examine les questions de respect de la vie privée entourant l’installation d’une technologie d’enregistrement audio dans les véhicules des exploitants de transport privé (comme Uber) et rende compte de ses conclusions au Comité dans les six mois suivant l’adoption du nouveau règlement municipal.

RÉSUMÉ

Le fait d’imposer un système d’enregistrement audio dans les véhicules des exploitants de transport privé obligera la Ville d’Ottawa, à titre d’autorité de réglementation, à prendre des mesures de protection de la vie privée comme l’exige la Loi sur l’accès à l’information municipale et la protection de la vie privée (LAIMPVP). Les enregistrements audio présentent un haut risque d’atteinte à la vie privée, car ils recueillent les renseignements personnels de personnes respectueuses de la loi au quotidien. Il est donc recommandé que le Conseil, avant d’adopter un règlement municipal sur la question, exige d’autres études et consultations pour établir si l’utilisation d’un tel système est justifiable au regard de la sécurité ou d’autres motifs d’ordre municipal importants. En cas d’adoption d’un règlement, il faudrait élaborer une politique sur l’accès à l’information et la protection de la vie privée afin de répondre à des exigences particulières de la LAIMPVP, par exemple veiller à ce que tout conducteur ou passager du véhicule sache que ses renseignements personnels sont enregistrés et assurer la bonne gestion des renseignements ainsi recueillis.

CONTEXTE

Le Conseil a approuvé le rapport Réglementation des véhicules de location à la Ville d’Ottawa le 13 avril 2016, ce qui a mené à l’entrée en vigueur du Règlement sur les véhicules de location le 30 septembre de la même année. Depuis, un seul exploitant de transport privé – Uber Canada – a obtenu un permis en vertu de ce règlement. La Ville n’oblige pas les exploitants de transport privé à installer dans leurs véhicules un système d’enregistrement audio ou une caméra de sécurité.
ANALYSE

Le Bureau du greffier municipal et de l’avocat général (Services juridiques) a étudié la question en demandant conseil aux Services des règlements municipaux. Le fait d'imposer un système d'enregistrement audio dans les véhicules des exploitants de transport privé obligera la Ville d’Ottawa, à titre d'autorité de réglementation, à prendre des mesures de protection de la vie privée comme l’exige la LAIMPVP. Aux termes de cette loi, les renseignements enregistrés constitueraient des renseignements personnels s’il était possible d'identifier le passager ou le conducteur, dans l’enregistrement même ou à l’aide de renseignements complémentaires tirés par exemple d’une vidéo ou d’images d’une caméra de sécurité.

Comme pour les caméras de sécurité dans les taxis autorisés, il est recommandé que le Conseil, avant d’adopter un règlement municipal sur la question, exige d’autres études et consultations pour établir si l’utilisation d’un tel système est justifiable au regard de la sécurité ou d’autres motifs d’ordre municipal importants, et pour déterminer comment l’utiliser dans les véhicules des exploitants de transport privé. En cas d’adoption d’un règlement, il faudrait élaborer une politique sur l’accès à l’information et la protection de la vie privée afin de répondre à des exigences précises de la LAIMPVP, par exemple veiller à ce que tout conducteur ou passager du véhicule sache que ses renseignements personnels sont enregistrés et assurer la bonne gestion des renseignements ainsi recueillis. Ces recommandations et exigences sont décrites en détail ci-après.

Études et consultations sur l'utilisation d’un système d’enregistrement audio

Selon les alinéas 6, 8 et 11 du paragraphe 10(2) de la *Loi de 2001 sur les municipalités*, la Ville peut adopter des règlements relatifs à la santé, à la sécurité et au bien-être des personnes, à la protection des personnes et des biens (y compris la protection des consommateurs) et à la délivrance de permis aux entreprises. Elle est donc habilitée à exiger par règlement que les véhicules des exploitants de transport privé tiers relevant de sa compétence installent des systèmes de surveillance pour lui permettre d’enquêter sur les infractions à la loi et aux règlements municipaux, et de protéger les conducteurs et le public.

La loi autorise donc la Ville à adopter un règlement, mais il reste que les enregistrements audio présentent un haut risque d’atteinte à la vie privée, car ils recueillent les renseignements personnels de personnes respectueuses de la loi au
quotidien. Selon les lignes directrices sur l'utilisation de caméras de surveillance publiées en 2015 par le Commissaire à l’information et à la protection de la vie privée de l’Ontario (CIPVP), l'utilisation d’enregistrements audio est déconseillée, sauf en cas de lien direct avec le problème à régler. Il est donc recommandé que la Ville, à titre d’autorité de réglementation et d’institution visée par la LAIMPVP, commence par mener d’autres études et consultations auprès des parties concernées, notamment le Service de police d’Ottawa (SPO), les exploitants de transport privé et leurs conducteurs ainsi que le public. Elle pourra ainsi déterminer si ces enregistrements audio sont souhaitables et justifiables au regard de la sécurité publique ou d’autres motifs d’ordre municipal importants. Qui plus est, elle devra concilier ces motifs avec le droit des personnes à la vie privée.

Les consultations permettraient aussi à la Ville de se pencher sur des obstacles à la vie privée en particulier. Il pourrait par exemple être difficile de garantir que le système d’enregistrement ne sera en marche que durant le transport de clients : en général, les conducteurs utilisent aussi leur véhicule à des fins personnelles, parfois plus souvent que pour conduire des clients.

**Exigences particulières de la LAIMPVP**

Si la Ville oblige par règlement les exploitants de transport privé à doter leurs véhicules d’enregistreurs audio pour offrir des services de transport de passagers, elle doit, à titre d’autorité de réglementation et d’institution visée par la LAIMPVP, veiller à ce que le système d’enregistrement répond aux exigences de cette loi en matière de collecte, d’utilisation et de divulgation de renseignements personnels. Dans ce contexte, une éventuelle politique sur l’accès à l’information et la protection de la vie privée devra tenir compte de certaines règles :

**Préavis** : Selon l’article 29 de la LAIMPVP, il faudra aviser à l’avance les passagers et conducteurs que leurs renseignements pourraient être enregistrés, le cas échéant. Comme pour les taxis, on pourra apposer des autocollants sur l’extérieur du véhicule, en plus de l’avis publié sur le site Web de la Ville. Aux termes du paragraphe 29(2), l’avis doit notamment préciser les buts de la collecte de renseignements, information qui servira ensuite à établir les conditions de leur utilisation et à déterminer qui y aura accès.

**Utilisations des enregistrements** : Dans le cas des véhicules des exploitants de transport privé, il faudra déterminer comment seront utilisés les enregistrements et, par
conséquent, qui aura accès aux renseignements. Conformément à la LAIMPVP, l’utilisation faite des renseignements doit concorder avec les buts de la collecte. Par exemple, dans le cas des taxis, la police peut divulguer les renseignements tirés d’enregistrements vidéo à l’inspecteur en chef des permis de la Ville au cas par cas, dans des circonstances impérieuses touchant la santé et la sécurité d’un conducteur ou du public.

**Divulgation des enregistrements** : Aux termes de l’article 32 de la LAIMPVP, les renseignements personnels ne peuvent être divulgués qu’avec le consentement de la personne concernée ou lorsque la loi autorise expressément le contraire. Aussi pourrait-on divulguer sans consentement les renseignements personnels recueillis par un système d’enregistrement audio dans les véhicules des exploitants de transport privé : à un organisme chargé de l’exécution de la loi, comme le SPO, dans le cadre d’une enquête; entre organismes chargés de l’exécution de la loi, dans certaines situations; dans des circonstances impérieuses touchant la santé et la sécurité d’une personne; ou si une loi ou une disposition législative l’exigeaient.

**Conservation et suppression** : Il faudra pouvoir supprimer régulièrement et en toute sécurité les renseignements personnels non extraits de tout système d’enregistrement audio imposé dans les véhicules des exploitants de transport privé. Idéalement, cette procédure serait intégrée aux paramètres du système. La période de conservation des renseignements avant leur suppression devrait correspondre au temps raisonnablement nécessaire pour découvrir et signaler un incident, et pour faire extraire les renseignements par les autorités compétentes.

**Protection** : Comme pour les caméras de sécurité dans les taxis, le système devra assurer la protection des renseignements recueillis. Il faudra donc étudier et déterminer ses caractéristiques de sécurité. Le système devra par exemple être inviolable et protéger les renseignements contre les modifications ou dommages accidentels.

**Élaboration d’une politique sur l’accès à l’information et la protection de la vie privée**

Rappelons enfin qu’il est recommandé d’élaborer une politique sur l’accès à l’information et la protection de la vie privée si le Conseil souhaite ajouter au *Règlement sur les véhicules de location* l’obligation d’installer un système d’enregistrement audio dans les véhicules des exploitants de transport privé. Suivant les lignes directrices du CIPVP susmentionnées, la politique devra s’appuyer sur les résultats des consultations,
préciser les situations où les renseignements personnels recueillis par le système seront extraits, utilisés et divulgués, et limiter l’accès à ces derniers aux personnes qui en ont besoin. Il y aura aussi lieu d’établir un mécanisme de contre-vérification qui assurera le respect de ces règles.

Une fois en place, la politique devrait être révisée et modifiée au fil des changements apportés à la réglementation, y compris aux règlements municipaux. Il faudra d’ailleurs former régulièrement sur cette politique le personnel ou les tiers, tels que les responsables de l’application de la loi, qui ont accès au système d’enregistrement ou en contrôlent l’utilisation.

CONCLUSION

Le personnel continuera de recueillir, d’utiliser et de divulguer les renseignements conformément aux dispositions actuelles du Règlement sur les véhicules de location et suivra l’évolution de la situation quant à l’utilisation de systèmes de surveillance dans les véhicules de location.

M. Rick O’Connor
City Clerk and Solicitor /
Greffier municipal et avocat général

c.c. : Anthony Di Monte, General Manager, Emergency and Protective Services /
directeur général, Services de protection et d’urgence
Roger Chapman, Manager, By-law & Regulatory Services / gestionnaire,
Services des règlements municipaux
Appendix 3: Uber Community Guidelines (submitted by Uber)
UBER COMMUNITY GUIDELINES

We want Uber to be enjoyable and safe for everyone. These ground rules are designed to ensure that riders and drivers have a five star ride when using Uber. Please take a moment to read them. Because whether you’re a rider trying to get from A to B—or a partner wanting to earn money as a driver — your behavior matters.

Respect each other

Treat your fellow riders and drivers as you would like to be treated yourself: with respect. It’s common courtesy not to shout, swear or slam the car door. And by tidying up after yourself — whether it’s taking your trash home or cleaning up a spilled drink — you’ll keep the car in good condition and ensure the next person has a pleasant ride too. Most important of all, remember that when you use Uber you will meet people who may look different or think differently from you. Please respect those differences. We want everyone to feel welcome when they use Uber.

Give riders and drivers some personal space

We all value our personal space and privacy. It’s OK to chat with other people in the car. But please don’t comment on someone’s appearance or ask whether they are single. As a passenger, if you need to make a phone call keep your voice down to avoid disturbing your driver or other riders. And don’t touch or flirt with other people in the car. As a reminder, Uber has a no-sex rule. That’s no sexual conduct between drivers and riders, no matter what.

Safety first
Everyone wants to get from A to B safely. So please ensure that you follow the local law. Check out our rider safety tips. Whether you’re in the front or the back seat, buckle up when you get into the car. Of course, drivers have a particular responsibility when it comes to safety at Uber. That means keeping to the speed limit; not texting while driving; always using a phone mount; and never driving under the influence of alcohol or drugs. And if you’re driving and feel tired, take a break. As the experts say, “sleep is the only true preventative measure against the risks of drowsy driving.”

**Children must be supervised**

Only adults can have an Uber rider account. If your child is using your account, a parent or guardian must be with them at all times.

**Feedback makes us all better**

Whether you are a rider or driver, please rate your journey at the end of the trip. Honest feedback helps ensure that everyone is accountable for their behavior. This accountability creates a respectful, safe environment for both riders and drivers. And if something happens during a ride — whether it’s a traffic accident or an argument — make sure to report it by tapping “Help” in the app so that our customer support team can follow up.

The guidelines below help explain some of the specific kinds of behavior that may cause you to lose access to Uber as a rider or driver.

**WHY RIDERS CAN LOSE ACCESS TO UBER**

This policy helps explain the kinds of behaviour that may lead riders to lose access to Uber. Please remember that if you’re traveling in a group, or you allow other people to take trips with your account, you are responsible for their behaviour in the car.

**Ensuring a respectful, safe environment for all drivers and riders**
The way you behave while using Uber can have a big impact on the safety and comfort of drivers, as well as your fellow passengers. Courtesy matters. That’s why you are expected to exercise good judgment and behave decently towards other people in the car when riding with Uber—just as you would in any public place.

Here are some reasons you could lose access to Uber as a rider:

- **Damaging drivers’ or other passengers’ property.** For example, damaging the car, breaking or vandalizing a phone, intentionally spilling food or drink, smoking, or vomiting due to excessive alcohol consumption.

- **Physical contact with the driver or fellow riders.** As our community guidelines make clear, you shouldn’t touch or flirt with other people in the car. As a reminder, Uber has a no-sex rule. That’s no sexual conduct with drivers or fellow riders, no matter what. And you should never hit or otherwise hurt a driver or fellow passenger.

- **Use of inappropriate and abusive language or gestures.** For example, asking overly personal questions, using verbal threats, and making comments or gestures that are aggressive, sexual, discriminatory, or disrespectful.

- **Unwanted contact with the driver or fellow passenger after the trip is over.** For example, texting, calling, or visiting someone in person after a ride has been completed. Remember, in Canada you can call and text your driver directly from the Uber app without ever having to share your personal phone number. This means that your phone number stays anonymous and is never given to the driver.

- **Breaking the local law while using Uber.** For example, bringing open containers of alcohol or drugs into the car; traveling in large groups that exceed the number of seat belts in the car; asking drivers to break local
traffic laws such as speed limits; or using Uber to commit a crime, including drug and human trafficking or the sexual exploitation of children.

If we are made aware of these kinds of problematic behavior, we will contact you so we can investigate them. Depending on the nature of the concern, we may put a hold on your account during our investigation. If the issues raised are serious or a repeat offense, or you refuse to cooperate, you may lose access to Uber. Any behavior involving violence, sexual misconduct, harassment, discrimination, or illegal activity while using Uber can result in the immediate loss of your account. Additionally, when law enforcement is involved, we will cooperate with their investigation in accordance with our Law Enforcement Guidelines.

Terms of Use

As a rider, you agree to our Terms of Use when you sign up for your account. We may take action against you for violating these terms, including permanently closing your account. For example the failure to maintain accurate, complete, and up-to-date account information, including having an invalid or expired payment method on file; allowing a person who does not meet the minimum age requirement to use your account while unaccompanied, or if you don’t meet that age requirement yourself.

Firearms Ban

Uber prohibits riders and drivers from carrying firearms in a vehicle while using our app. You can learn more about our firearms prohibition policy here. [1] If you violate Uber’s firearms prohibition policy, you may lose access to Uber.

Discrimination

Uber has a zero tolerance policy towards discrimination of any kind. This
means you will lose access to your account if you are found to have discriminated against drivers or other riders based on their race, religion, national origin, disability, sexual orientation, sex, marital status, gender identity, age or any other characteristic protected under applicable law.

**Fraud or Illegitimate Behavior**

Fraudulent or illegitimate behavior undermines the trust on which Uber is built. We may deactivate any account(s) associated with this type of activity, including: abusing promotions; collusion between rider and driver; disputing fares for fraudulent or illegitimate reasons; or duplicate accounts.

**WHY DRIVERS CAN LOSE ACCESS TO UBER**

If you are a driver, and your account is temporarily blocked or deactivated, it limits your ability to earn income. That’s why we believe it is important to have clear policies that explain the circumstances in which you may be denied access to Uber; how (if at all) you can use the app again; and if you can appeal these decisions. [2]

There will always be unforeseen events that may ultimately lead to you losing access to your driver account — and we’ll update this policy regularly—but the following are sufficient cause for Uber to take action: quality; safety; fraud; and discrimination.

**Quality**

Riders who use Uber expect their drivers to drive safely, and also to be courteous and professional. The higher the quality of the service, the more riders want to take trips, which in turn means more opportunities for drivers to earn money. Poor service has the opposite effect over time. There are several ways we measure driver quality, with the most important being Star Ratings and Cancellation Rate.

**Star Ratings**
After every trip, drivers and riders are able to rate each other on a scale of one to five stars, as well as give feedback on how the trip went. This two-way system holds everyone accountable for their behavior. Accountability helps create a respectful, safe environment for both drivers and riders. Drivers can see their current rating in the Ratings tab of the Uber Partner app.

**How is my rating as a driver calculated?** Your rating is based on an average of the number of post-trip stars riders gave you (from 1 to 5 stars), up to your last 500 rated trips or the total number of rated trips you’ve taken, if less than 500.

The easiest way to keep your average rating high is to provide good service on every trip. Drivers using Uber typically provide excellent service, so most trips run smoothly. But we know that sometimes a trip doesn’t go well—that’s why we only look at your average rating over your most recent 500 trips (or your total rated trip count, if under 500). This gives you the chance to improve over time.

**What leads to you losing access to your account?** There is a minimum average rating in each city. This is because there are cultural differences in the way people in different cities rate each other. We will alert you over time if your rating is approaching this limit, and you’ll also get information about quality improvement courses that may help you improve. However, if your average rating still falls below the minimum after multiple notifications, you will lose access to your account. We may allow you to regain access to your account if you can provide proof that you completed one of these quality improvement courses.

**Cancellation Rate**

A driver cancellation is when you accept a trip request and then cancel the trip. Cancellations create a poor rider experience and negatively affect other drivers. We understand that there may be times when something comes up
and you have to cancel an accepted trip. But minimizing cancellations is critical for the reliability of the system.

**How is my cancellation rate calculated?** Your cancellation rate is based on the number of trips canceled out of the total number of trips you accept. (For example, if you’ve accepted 100 trips and 4 of them are canceled, your cancellation rate would be 4%.) High-quality drivers typically have a cancellation rate lower than 5%.

*What leads to you losing access to your account?* Each city has a maximum cancellation rate, based on the average cancellation rate of drivers in that area. We will alert you multiple times if your cancellation rate is much higher or if you are consistently canceling more often than other drivers in your city, after which you may be logged out of the app. If your cancellation rate continues to exceed the maximum limit, you may lose access to your account.

**Acceptance Rates**

High acceptance rates are a critical part of reliable, high-quality service, but not accepting trip requests does not lead to permanent loss of your account.

Consistently accepting trip requests helps maximize earnings for drivers and keeps the system running smoothly. We know that sometimes things come up that prevent you from accepting every trip request, or you may want to take a break. But not accepting dispatches causes delays and degrades the reliability of the system. If you don’t want to accept trips, just log off.

If you consistently decline trip requests, we will assume you do not want to accept more trips and you may be logged out of the app.

**Safety**

Uber uses technology to keep drivers and riders safe, for instance by GPS-tracking every ride and allowing riders to share their journeys in real time.
with families or friends. This is all backed up by a robust system of pre-screenings of drivers. We also have a dedicated incident response team on call 24/7 to investigate safety incidents.

Actions that threaten the safety of drivers and riders will be investigated and, if confirmed, lead to permanent deactivation of your account. For example:

- **Physical contact with riders.** As our community guidelines make clear, you shouldn’t touch or flirt with other people in the car. As a reminder, Uber has a no-sex rule. That’s no sexual conduct with riders, no matter what. And you should never hit or otherwise hurt a rider.

- **Use of inappropriate and abusive language or gestures.** For example, asking overly personal questions, using verbal threats, and making comments or gestures that are aggressive, sexual, discriminatory, or disrespectful.

- **Unwanted contact with riders after a trip is over.** For example, texting, calling, or visiting someone in person after a ride has been completed.

- **Breaking the local law while using Uber.** For example, texting while driving; speeding or otherwise breaking local traffic laws; and using Uber to commit a crime, including drug and human trafficking or the sexual exploitation of children.

- **Safe Driving.** Uber expects drivers using the app to drive safely at all times.

*What leads to you losing access to your account?* If we are made aware of these kinds of problematic behavior, we will contact you so we can investigate them. Depending on the nature of the concern, we may put a hold on your account during our investigation. If the issues raised are serious or a repeat offense, or you refuse to cooperate, you may lose access to
Uber. Any behaviour involving violence, sexual misconduct, harassment, discrimination, or illegal activity while using Uber can result in the immediate loss of your account. Uber will also deactivate the account of any driver who receives several or serious complaints of poor, unsafe, or distracted driving while using the Uber app. Additionally, when law enforcement is involved, we will cooperate with their investigation in accordance with our Law Enforcement Guidelines.

Zero Tolerance for Drugs and Alcohol

Uber does not tolerate the use of drugs or alcohol by partners while driving.

What leads to you losing access to your account? The account of any driver found to be under the influence of drugs or alcohol while using the Uber app will be permanently deactivated. Uber may also deactivate the account of any driver who receives several unconfirmed complaints of drug or alcohol use.

Compliance with the Law

We expect drivers using the Uber app to act in compliance with all relevant provincial, federal and municipal laws and the rules of the road at all times. This includes meeting the regulatory requirements to drive with Uber in your area.

What leads to you losing access to your account? Uber may permanently deactivate your account for activities such as: engaging in serious illegal activity while using the Uber app; not maintaining valid vehicle registration or driver’s license; and receiving serious traffic citations, or several traffic citations that indicate unsafe driving, while using the Uber app.

Firearms Ban

Uber prohibits riders and drivers from carrying firearms in a vehicle while using our app. You can learn more about our firearms prohibition policy here.
If you violate Uber’s firearms prohibition policy, you may lose access to Uber.

**Background Checks**

All drivers wanting to use the Uber app are required to undergo a screening process, like motor vehicle record and background checks, to ensure safety and compliance with our criteria.

*What leads to you losing access to your account?* We will permanently deactivate a driver’s account if a routine motor vehicle record or background check uncovers a violation of Uber’s safety standards or of other criteria required by local regulators.

**Unacceptable Activities**

To maintain the transparency and safety of each trip for all users, activities conducted outside of Uber’s system—like anonymous pickups—are prohibited.

*What leads to you losing access to your account?* We will take action against a driver for activities such as: accepting illegal street hails while using the Uber app; harming the business or brand, like unauthorized use of Uber’s trademark or intellectual property, or otherwise violating the drivers’ agreement with Uber; and soliciting payment of fares outside the Uber system.

**Fraud**

Fraudulent activity undermines the trust on which Uber is built. That’s why we are constantly on the lookout for fraud by riders and drivers who are gaming our systems.

*What leads to you losing access to your account?* We will deactivate any account or accounts associated with fraudulent activity, which may include:
deliberately increasing the time or distance of a trip; accepting trips without the intention to complete, including provoking riders to cancel; creating dummy rider or driver accounts for fraudulent purposes; claiming fraudulent fees or charges, like false cleaning fees; and intentionally accepting or completing fraudulent or falsified trips.

**Accurate Personal Information**

The Uber app is designed to give riders identifying information about drivers and their vehicles, like their name, profile picture, vehicle model and license plate number, before the trip begins. Inaccurate or outdated information creates confusion among riders and can diminish their experience with Uber.

*What leads to you losing access to your account?* We will deactivate your account for activities such as: providing Uber with inaccurate information; allowing someone else to use your account; and taking a trip using an unapproved vehicle.

In addition, we will take action to prevent any driver whose required documentation becomes invalid — like a driver’s license that expires — from going online until the driver provides Uber with updated information.

**Discrimination**

Uber’s mission is to connect riders to reliable transportation, everywhere for everyone. We have a zero-tolerance policy towards discrimination of any kind at Uber.

*What leads to you losing access to your account?* It is unacceptable to refuse to provide services based on where someone is going, or characteristics like a person’s race, religion, national origin, disability, sexual orientation, sex, marital status, gender identity, age or any other characteristic protected under relevant provincial, state or municipal law. Actions like these will result in permanent deactivation of your account.
We want to help increase the transportation options for riders with disabilities. That’s why we have information available for drivers on this topic. See here for more on Uber’s commitment to accessibility. We expect drivers using the Uber app to comply with all relevant provincial, federal and municipal laws governing the transportation of riders with disabilities, including transporting service animals. A partner’s violation of such laws, including with respect to the use of service animals, constitutes a breach of his or her contractual agreement with Uber.

**Getting Back on the Road After Deactivation**

If your account has been deactivated for quality reasons like low star ratings, you may have the opportunity to get back on the road if you provide proof that you’ve successfully taken a quality improvement course offered online by third-party experts -- and if you’re in Quebec, visit a Greenlight Hub for more information. Check with your local Uber team or help.uber.com to find out more.

Uber BV
Last updated: April 6, 2017

[1] To the extent permitted by applicable law.
[2] This policy does not apply to drivers using the Uber app for UberRUSH and UberEATS.
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Ottawa Taxi and Limousine Regulations and Services Review – Customer Experience

Executive Summary

In September of 2015 Core Strategies conducted a series of focus groups among a sample of vehicle for hire customers in Ottawa. The main purpose of the research was to acquire a sense of the customer experience using “vehicles for hire” as a viable mode of travel in Ottawa and to assess any differences in the customer experience between Taxi vs. Uber.

The research found resoundingly higher customer service and customer experience ratings for Uber over Taxi. Leading the way are impressions about the lower cost of using Uber as well as significant advantages of the Uber App as compared to Taxi Apps.

Focus group participants also rate Uber more favourably on numerous other customer experience attributes including but not limited to; shorter wait times, faster travel times, driver courtesy and professionalism, vehicle comfort and cleanliness, safety and security. However concerns about Uber arise on matters such as vehicle insurance and taxation.

Focus group participants report that ~62% of their vehicle for hire rides taken in Ottawa are now with Uber versus ~38% in Taxis. Lower cost, App convenience, and shorter wait times are what drive decision making in favour of Uber.

Cost, convenience, personal safety and security, as well as vehicle safety are what customer view as most important. Women rated Uber higher for personal safety and security, while men rated taxis higher.

Customers desire and expect that regulatory reviews will serve to instill a new, more level playing field in which Uber is “here to stay” yet operates in concert with the Taxi industry. Customers expect to see slightly higher fares as regulatory issues are resolved, however still anticipate significant long term customer benefits resulting from an overall more competitive industry.
Methodology

- **Focus Groups:** (3) Focus Groups, September 22, 2015.
- **Respondent Selection:** Focus Group participants were recruited from the adult general population in Ottawa. Panel and random-digit dial participants were quota-targeted and screened on demographic and other criteria pertinent to the study including but not limited to; Gender, Age, Education, Occupation, and Income.
  - Focus Group participants were screened on the basis of frequency of vehicle for hire use. ~80% of the recruits had to have used Taxis and/or Uber in Ottawa a minimum of 3-5 times in the past three months.
  - Focus groups were age delimited as follows: Group 1 (17-29 Years), Group 2 (30-45 years), Group (46-75 years).
  - 12 Focus group respondents were recruited per group for a total of 36 participants.
- **Discussion Guide:** Focus groups were 90 minutes in length and followed a discussion guide focusing on the customer experience as it relates to:
  - Experience using Taxis/limo
  - Experience using Uber
  - Differences in experience: Taxi vs. Uber
  - Current debate between Taxi & Uber
  - Focus group participants also completed a brief survey to assess any changes in their frequency of vehicle for hire use and to provide performance ratings on 14 vehicle for hire service attributes, including their respective importance in the customer experience.

  The survey questions posed at the end of the focus group sessions appear as Appendix B:

- ***Note*** The figures obtained are the results of a self-completed quantitative survey conducted during qualitative focus groups. The data is NOT necessarily consistent with findings from a quantitative survey following industry standard survey practices and methodologies and should therefore be treated with caution. However, the figures are considered accurate in reporting the results from among focus group participants, but the same figures collected from a sample-based survey of the total population could be different.
Findings

Focus group discussions in all three session were animated and the subject of strong opinion. From these discussions a clear customer experience theme emerged and is presented here in the form of Top 10 findings:

1. Uber earns significantly higher customer experience ratings over Taxi
2. Primary advantage of Uber over Taxi: Cost and App Convenience
3. On most secondary customer experience factors, Uber rated higher than Taxi
4. Vehicle Insurance is a significant concern about Uber
5. Customer Experience Ratings differ by Gender. Women show much stronger preference for Uber, rating it higher on all categories except vehicle insurance. While men still rate Uber better than taxi over-all, they rate taxis higher on safety/security, travel time, and knowing the route
6. The most important service attributes were the cost, feeling safe and secure, vehicle safety, travel time and wait time. Some Uber strengths, like the convenience of the App, were rated less important
7. Older adults more familiar with Taxi than Uber
8. Focus Group participants say 62% of their Vehicle for Hire rides are with Uber
9. Public opinion has been shaped by recent incidents/behaviour within the industry
10. Regulatory awareness is mixed; customers want a new competitive/level playing field

Each of these findings is addressed in the sections that follow.
1. Uber earns significantly higher customer experience ratings over Taxi

Focus group participants describe and rate the overall customer service experience using Uber as significantly higher than the taxi customer experience.

Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Experience</th>
<th>Taxi</th>
<th>Uber</th>
<th>Diff</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cost</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>9.1</td>
<td>5.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Convenience using App</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>9.4</td>
<td>4.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Payment Process</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>9.6</td>
<td>3.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Driver Courtesy</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>8.7</td>
<td>3.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wait Times</td>
<td>5.8</td>
<td>8.8</td>
<td>3.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Driver Professionalism</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>8.3</td>
<td>2.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vehicle Comfort</td>
<td>6.4</td>
<td>8.2</td>
<td>1.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vehicle cleanliness</td>
<td>7.1</td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td>1.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Functionality in other cities</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>8.4</td>
<td>0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Travel Time / Arriving on time</td>
<td>7.4</td>
<td>7.9</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feeling safe and secure</td>
<td>7.6</td>
<td>7.8</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vehicle Safety</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>7.7</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Knowledge of the route</td>
<td>7.9</td>
<td>7.9</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vehicle is properly insured</td>
<td>9.0</td>
<td>6.1</td>
<td>-2.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average</td>
<td>6.6</td>
<td>8.3</td>
<td>1.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Uber factors receiving the greatest number of mentions and most praise include:

- Lower cost
- App convenience
- Driver courtesy, attitude, and overall friendliness
- Faster pick-up times (shorter wait time)
- Ability to track vehicle on route to pick up
- Ability to rate the driver and for the driver to rate the customer
- Vehicle comfort: Including bottle of water and music service
- Payment process: happens automatically, including gratuity
2. Primary advantage of Uber over Taxi: Cost and App Convenience

Table 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Experience</th>
<th>Taxi</th>
<th>Uber</th>
<th>Diff</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Cost</strong></td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>9.1</td>
<td>5.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Convenience using App</strong></td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>9.4</td>
<td>4.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Payment Process</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>9.6</td>
<td>3.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Driver Courtesy</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>8.7</td>
<td>3.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wait Times</td>
<td>5.8</td>
<td>8.8</td>
<td>3.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Driver Professionalism</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>8.3</td>
<td>2.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vehicle Comfort</td>
<td>6.4</td>
<td>8.2</td>
<td>1.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vehicle cleanliness</td>
<td>7.1</td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td>1.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Functionality in other cities</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>8.4</td>
<td>0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Travel Time / Arriving on time</td>
<td>7.4</td>
<td>7.9</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feeling safe and secure</td>
<td>7.6</td>
<td>7.8</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vehicle Safety</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>7.7</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Knowledge of the route</td>
<td>7.9</td>
<td>7.9</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vehicle is properly insured</td>
<td>9.0</td>
<td>6.1</td>
<td>-2.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Average</strong></td>
<td>6.6</td>
<td>8.3</td>
<td>1.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

While customers list many factors attributable to Uber’s overall higher customer ratings, the two primary factors are lower cost and the convenience and perceived superiority of the Uber app over the various Taxi Apps.

- There is some acknowledgement that over time and as regulatory issues are addressed and resolved there may be greater parity in cost between Uber and Taxi.
- There is also some acknowledgement the Taxi industry is trying to improve its apps, however, customer believe the Uber App will always be superior.
- Uber’s single App versus a Taxi App for each Taxi company/Brand is a significant advantage.
3. Secondary customer experience factors: Uber rated higher than Taxi

Where cost and App convenience are considered the primary factors attributable to Uber’s overall higher customer ratings, Uber similarly outperforms Taxi on nearly every other secondary factor in rating the customer service experience.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Experience</th>
<th>Taxi</th>
<th>Uber</th>
<th>Diff</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cost</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>9.1</td>
<td>5.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Convenience using App</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>9.4</td>
<td>4.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Payment Process</strong></td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>9.6</td>
<td>3.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Driver Courtesy</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>8.7</td>
<td>3.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wait Times</td>
<td>5.8</td>
<td>8.8</td>
<td>3.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Driver Professionalism</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>8.3</td>
<td>2.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vehicle Comfort</td>
<td>6.4</td>
<td>8.2</td>
<td>1.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vehicle cleanliness</td>
<td>7.1</td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td>1.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Functionality in other cities</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>8.4</td>
<td>0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Travel Time / Arriving on time</td>
<td>7.4</td>
<td>7.9</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feeling safe and secure</td>
<td>7.6</td>
<td>7.8</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vehicle Safety</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>7.7</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Knowledge of the route</td>
<td>7.9</td>
<td>7.9</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vehicle is properly insured</td>
<td>9.0</td>
<td>6.1</td>
<td>-2.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Average</strong></td>
<td>6.6</td>
<td>8.3</td>
<td>1.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- By a significant margin, customers report that Uber drivers seem more caring, courteous, and professional. Customers report Uber drivers as more interested in the customer including more engaged in dialogue and more attentive to ensuring customer satisfaction. Whereas taxi drivers are often described as uncaring, spend more time on their phones, searching for their next fare…etc.

- Uber vehicles (because it’s the driver’s own vehicle) are considered cleaner, more comfortable, and are often perceived as more mechanically sound.

- Shorter wait times and seamless payment processing are significant factors in making the Uber trip faster and less costly.
4. Vehicle Insurance is a significant Uber concern

Despite the overall higher customer ratings received by Uber, there are nevertheless a few areas of concern about Uber many of which customers say revolve around the current Taxi/Uber debate including insurance coverage and other regulatory issues.

Table: 4

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Experience</th>
<th>Taxi</th>
<th>Uber</th>
<th>Diff</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cost</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>9.1</td>
<td>5.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Convenience using App</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>9.4</td>
<td>4.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Payment Process</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>9.6</td>
<td>3.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Driver Courtesy</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>8.7</td>
<td>3.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wait Times</td>
<td>5.8</td>
<td>8.8</td>
<td>3.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Driver Professionalism</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>8.3</td>
<td>2.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vehicle Comfort</td>
<td>6.4</td>
<td>8.2</td>
<td>1.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vehicle cleanliness</td>
<td>7.1</td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td>1.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Functionality in other cities</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>8.4</td>
<td>0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Travel Time / Arriving on time</td>
<td>7.4</td>
<td>7.9</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feeling safe and secure</td>
<td>7.6</td>
<td>7.8</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vehicle Safety</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>7.7</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Knowledge of the route</td>
<td>7.9</td>
<td>7.9</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Vehicle is properly insured</strong></td>
<td><strong>9.0</strong></td>
<td><strong>6.1</strong></td>
<td><strong>-2.9</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Average</strong></td>
<td><strong>6.6</strong></td>
<td><strong>8.3</strong></td>
<td><strong>1.7</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Customer concern about Uber vehicle insurance was not a concern they had initially or that was based on direct personal experience. Instead, focus group participants readily admit their awareness and concern about the Uber insurance issue stems from media coverage of the debate between Uber and the Taxi Industry.

- Customers mention hearing other claims and rumours similar to the insurance issue; taxation for example and the claim that Uber and Uber drivers are not paying taxes.

- Customers expect Uber vehicles to be properly insured, paying taxes...etc., and look for regulatory reviews and governments to ensure that effective policies guidelines are in place.
5. Customer Experience Ratings differ by Gender

Both men and women give higher customer service ratings to Uber over taxi, however the ratings on individual service attributes differ by gender. The charts below depict notable differences.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Performance Rating</th>
<th>Men</th>
<th>Uber</th>
<th>Diff</th>
<th>Women</th>
<th>Uber</th>
<th>Diff</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Experience</td>
<td>Taxi</td>
<td>Uber</td>
<td>Diff</td>
<td>Taxi</td>
<td>Uber</td>
<td>Diff</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cost</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>8.8</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>9.4</td>
<td>6.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Convenience using App</td>
<td>6.6</td>
<td>9.3</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>9.5</td>
<td>7.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Payment Process</td>
<td>5.9</td>
<td>9.6</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>9.5</td>
<td>3.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Driver Courtesy</td>
<td>5.9</td>
<td>8.8</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td>3.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wait Times</td>
<td>6.2</td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>5.8</td>
<td>9.1</td>
<td>3.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Driver Professionalism</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>8.3</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>8.3</td>
<td>3.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vehicle Comfort</td>
<td>6.8</td>
<td>8.2</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>5.9</td>
<td>8.3</td>
<td>2.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vehicle cleanliness</td>
<td>6.9</td>
<td>8.7</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>7.2</td>
<td>8.3</td>
<td>1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Functionality in other cities</td>
<td>8.2</td>
<td>8.4</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>6.6</td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td>1.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Travel Time / Arriving on time</td>
<td>7.7</td>
<td>7.4</td>
<td>-0.3</td>
<td>7.2</td>
<td>8.4</td>
<td>1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feeling safe and secure</td>
<td>8.4</td>
<td>7.8</td>
<td>-0.6</td>
<td>6.9</td>
<td>7.9</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vehicle Safety</td>
<td>7.8</td>
<td>7.8</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>7.3</td>
<td>7.7</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Knowledge of the route</td>
<td>8.6</td>
<td>7.9</td>
<td>-0.7</td>
<td>7.2</td>
<td>7.9</td>
<td>0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vehicle is properly insured</td>
<td>9.1</td>
<td>5.9</td>
<td>-3.2</td>
<td>8.9</td>
<td>6.3</td>
<td>-2.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>8.2</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>6.1</td>
<td>8.4</td>
<td>2.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Women give a slightly higher overall rating to Uber (8.4) than men, and similarly a slightly overall lower rating (6.1) to Taxi.
6. The importance of service attributes differ from rated service attributes

Earlier slides depicted performance ratings customers give to the vehicle for hire industry on 14 service attributes. However the service attributes customer view as most important are rated differently.

Table: 6

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Importance</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>Men</th>
<th>Women</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cost</td>
<td>9.6</td>
<td>9.2</td>
<td>10.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Convenience using App</td>
<td>7.9</td>
<td>8.3</td>
<td>7.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Payment Process</td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td>8.6</td>
<td>8.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Driver Courtesy</td>
<td>7.7</td>
<td>7.3</td>
<td>8.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wait Times</td>
<td>8.9</td>
<td>9.0</td>
<td>8.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Driver Professionalism</td>
<td>8.2</td>
<td>7.8</td>
<td>8.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vehicle Comfort</td>
<td>7.4</td>
<td>7.4</td>
<td>7.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vehicle cleanliness</td>
<td>8.2</td>
<td>8.4</td>
<td>8.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Functionality in other cities</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>6.8</td>
<td>7.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Travel Time / Arriving on time</td>
<td>9.0</td>
<td>9.0</td>
<td>8.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feeling safe and secure</td>
<td>9.2</td>
<td>8.8</td>
<td>9.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vehicle Safety</td>
<td>9.1</td>
<td>9.0</td>
<td>9.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Knowledge of the route</td>
<td>8.8</td>
<td>8.8</td>
<td>8.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vehicle is properly insured</td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td>8.1</td>
<td>8.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Average</strong></td>
<td><strong>8.4</strong></td>
<td><strong>8.3</strong></td>
<td><strong>8.5</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Cost remains at the top of the list of service attributes customers view as important;
- However, service attributes having to do with safety and security, vehicle safety, travel time and wait times fill the remaining top 5 most important factors to customers.
- Of significant note is that service attributes such as Driver Courtesy & Professionalism, App Functionality, Payment process and Vehicle Comfort appear lower on the list of important service attributes, yet these factors combined with Cost are the factors customers most talked about during focus group discussions.
• Safety and security, vehicle safety…etc. are important “expected”
factors versus cost and app convenience factors which “drive”
decision making on which service they will use.

7. Older adults more familiar with Taxi than Uber
The first and second focus groups were conducted among 17-29 year
olds and 30-45 years olds respectively, whereas the third focus group
consisted of adults between the ages of 46-75. Significant differences
existed between the two younger groups and the older group. By a
significant margin the two younger groups were:
• Much more familiar with and experienced at using Uber
• Slightly more harsh in their assessment of their experiences using
taxis and more complementary of their Uber experiences
• More certain of the cost benefit of Uber
• More appreciative and complimentary of the benefits of the Uber
  App.
• More resounding in their desire to see Uber succeed and flourish
By contrast older focus group participants were:
• Less familiar and experienced using Uber
• More prone to discuss the virtues of Uber from what they heard
  from others versus what they experienced personally
• Slightly more forgiving of the Taxi industry’s alleged failings
• More keen to see that a level playing field emerges as a result of
  regulatory reviews
• Nevertheless equally prone to believing Uber is “here to stay.”

Note – Despite the differences noted above, Performance and Importance
ratings were not significantly different by age group.
8. Focus Group participants say 62% of their Vehicle for Hire rides are with Uber

At the conclusion of each focus group, participants were asked to complete a brief survey including three questions aimed at determining any shift in frequency of vehicle for hire use.

1. Prior to Uber how many Taxi rides would you take per month: 4.4
2. Since Uber, how many Taxi and Uber rides do you take in a month: 5.5
3. Of the total rides you now take, how many are with Uber: 3.4 ...

61.8%

• Since Uber, total vehicle for hire rides by focus group participants has increased 25%.
• Of the 5.5 vehicle for hire rides per month, 3.4 or 62% are Uber rides.
• The remaining 38% of rides taken by Taxi (2.1 rides) represents ~50% decrease in Taxi rides.

*** Note *** The figures obtained are the results from a self-completed quantitative survey conducted during qualitative focus groups. The data is NOT necessarily consistent with findings from a quantitative survey following industry standard survey practices and methodologies and should therefore be treated with caution. However, the figures are considered accurate in reporting the results from among focus group participants, but the same figures collected from a sample-based survey of the total population could be different.

9. Public opinion shaped by recent incidents/behaviour within the industry

Customer service ratings are based on customer experience, not recent events as reported in the news.

• Nevertheless, according to focus group participants:
  • Public opinion has been shaped by recent incidents and behaviour involving taxi drivers.
  • News reports of “thugs” and “bullies” have had an impact in shaping public opinion.
  • Some participants recognize the airport taxi dispute as a separate issue from the Uber dispute, however most
participants lump the issues together in forming their more negative opinion of the Taxi Industry.

- Most if not all focus group participants expect that Uber is “here to stay” but believe and expect new rules, policies, and guidelines will emerge to create a more level playing field.
- Most believe that both Uber and Taxis will change the way they operate in the future.
- Most believe Uber has been good for creating competition and while many believe costs may rise in the future, the overall net effect will be positive and good for customers.

10. **Regulatory awareness is mixed; customers want new competitive/level playing field**

   Awareness among focus group participants of the regulatory issues surrounding the vehicle for hire industry is mixed.

   - Public knowledge and awareness of regulatory issues is influenced heavily by media reporting.
   - PR campaigns by Taxi Industry and Uber also serve to heavily influence knowledge and awareness of the issues in a highly spin-doctored way. Example…
     - “It’s illegal for passengers to take an Uber ride and police can fine or arrest passengers.”
     - “Taxi drivers have to pay hundreds of thousands of dollars for a taxi plate/permit.”
     - “Uber vehicles are not insured to operate as a vehicle for hire, meaning passengers are at risk in the event of an accident.”
     - “Uber and Uber drivers do not pay taxes.”
   - With regard to Uber’s argument “they are not a taxi service; they are merely a technology platform that simply connects two or more parties,” an overwhelming majority of focus group participants reject this argument and in “nudge-nudge wink-wink” fashion fully recognize the argument as an attempted business strategy intended to create the impression that existing vehicle for hire licensing By-laws do not apply to Uber. This recognition of strategy in no way diminishes customers’ desire for Uber to remain part of the vehicle for hire business and social fabric, however, it solidifies the notion that Uber is perceived by customers as a quasi
“dispatcher” and the Uber vehicle/driver as providing a Taxi type of service.

- The participants are generally aware of and highly supportive of the vehicle for hire industry review currently taking place in many Canadian cities. The most common desired outcomes include:
  - New rules, policies, and regulations that allow both Taxi and Uber to operate.
  - Continued lower fares as a result of competition, although most believe Uber costs will increase as regulatory issues are resolved.
  - That all vehicle for hire agencies be regulated in terms of safety and security standards.
Appendix A – Chart summarizing all data from tables 1 through 6

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Attribute</th>
<th>Performance Ratings</th>
<th>Importance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total (All participants)</td>
<td>Men</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Experience</td>
<td>Taxi</td>
<td>Uber</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cost</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>9.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Convenience using App</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>9.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Payment Process</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>9.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Driver Courtesy</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>8.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wait Times</td>
<td>5.8</td>
<td>8.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Driver Professionalism</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>8.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vehicle Comfort</td>
<td>6.4</td>
<td>8.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vehicle cleanliness</td>
<td>7.1</td>
<td>8.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Functionality in other cities</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>8.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Travel Time / Arriving on time</td>
<td>7.4</td>
<td>7.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feeling safe and secure</td>
<td>7.6</td>
<td>7.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vehicle Safety</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>7.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Knowledge of the route</td>
<td>7.9</td>
<td>7.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vehicle is properly insured</td>
<td>9.0</td>
<td>6.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average</td>
<td>6.6</td>
<td>8.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Appendix B – Survey questions completed at the conclusion of Focus Groups

1. Prior to Uber how many Taxi rides would you take per month:

2. Since the existence of Uber, how many Taxi and Uber rides TOTAL do you take in a month:

3. Of the total (Taxi + Uber) rides you take as listed in Question 2, how many are with Uber:

4. Your impression of using “for hire vehicles” may consist of various factors related to your Taxi and Uber experience. For each of the following factors please tell me what rating you give to the Taxi and Uber experience using a scale of 1 to 10, where 10 means you have a very positive impression and 1 means you have a very negative impression:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Experience</th>
<th>Taxi</th>
<th>Uber</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Wait Times</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cost</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vehicle Comfort</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Driver Courtesy</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Driver Professionalism</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Travel Time / Arriving on time</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Knowledge of the route</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Convenience using App</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Functionality in other cities</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feeling safe and secure</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vehicle cleanliness</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vehicle Safety</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vehicle is properly insured</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Payment Process</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
5. For each of the following factors when using a “for hire vehicle” how important are each of the following using a scale of 1 to 10, where 10 means it is very important and 1 means it is not at all important:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Experience</th>
<th>Importance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Wait Times</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cost</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vehicle Comfort</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Driver Courtesy</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Driver Professionalism</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Travel Time / Arriving on time</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Knowledge of the route</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Convenience using App</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Functionality in other cities</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feeling safe and secure</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vehicle cleanliness</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vehicle Safety</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vehicle is properly insured</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Payment Process</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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FOR MORE INFORMATION PLEASE CONTACT:
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416.230.6503